Phytoprotection



Inhibition of *Pratylenchus penetrans* by intercroping of *Rudbeckia hirta* and *Lycopersicon esculentum* in pot cultivation

Inhibition du *Pratylenchus penetrans* par culture intercalaire de *Rudbeckia hirta* et *Lycopersicon esculentum* en culture en pot

J.W. Potter et A.W. McKeown.

Volume 83, numéro 2, 2002

URI: https://id.erudit.org/iderudit/706234ar DOI: https://doi.org/10.7202/706234ar

Aller au sommaire du numéro

Éditeur(s)

Société de protection des plantes du Québec (SPPQ)l

ISSN

0031-9511 (imprimé) 1710-1603 (numérique)

Découvrir la revue

Citer ce document

Potter, J. & McKeown., A. (2002). Inhibition of *Pratylenchus penetrans* by intercroping of *Rudbeckia hirta* and *Lycopersicon esculentum* in pot cultivation. *Phytoprotection*, 83(2), 115–120. https://doi.org/10.7202/706234ar

Résumé de l'article

Dans la culture en pot en serre chaude sur une période de 6 semaines, la densité de population du *Pratylenchus penetrans* a diminué sévèrement avec *Rudbeckia hirta* seul et avec un mélange de *R. hirta* et de tomate, tandis que la tomate seule a supporté la population de nématodes. La réduction de population est attribuée en partie à l'effet nématicide connu du thiarubrine C de *R. hirta*. De façon générale, la présence du *R. hirta* dans le pot de tomate a simplement supprimé légèrement la croissance de l'une ou l'autre des plantes durant les 6 semaines.

La société de protection des plantes du Québec, 2002

Ce document est protégé par la loi sur le droit d'auteur. L'utilisation des services d'Érudit (y compris la reproduction) est assujettie à sa politique d'utilisation que vous pouvez consulter en ligne.

https://apropos.erudit.org/fr/usagers/politique-dutilisation/



Communication brève / Short communication

Inhibition of *Pratylenchus penetrans* by intercropping of *Rudbeckia hirta* and *Lycopersicon esculentum* in pot cultivation

John W. Potter¹ and Alan W. McKeown²

Received 2001-07-26; accepted 2002-04-18

PHYTOPROTECTION 83: 115-120

In greenhouse pot culture over a 6-week period, population density of *Pratylenchus penetrans* declined pronouncedly both under *Rudbeckia hirta* alone and under a *R. hirta* - tomato combination, whereas tomato alone supported the nematode population. Population reduction is attributed in part to the known nematicidal effect of thiarubrine C in *R. hirta*. Overall, the presence of *R. hirta* in the tomato pot only slightly suppressed growth of either plant during the 6 weeks.

[Inhibition du *Pratylenchus penetrans* par culture intercalaire de *Rudbeckia hirta* et *Lycopersicon esculentum* en culture en pot]

Dans la culture en pot en serre chaude sur une période de 6 semaines, la densité de population du *Pratylenchus penetrans* a diminué sévèrement avec *Rudbeckia hirta* seul et avec un mélange de *R. hirta* et de tomate, tandis que la tomate seule a supporté la population de nématodes. La réduction de population est attribuée en partie à l'effet nématicide connu du thiarubrine C de *R. hirta*. De façon générale, la présence du *R. hirta* dans le pot de tomate a simplement supprimé légèrement la croissance de l'une ou l'autre des plantes durant les 6 semaines.

The root-lesion nematode *Pratylenchus* penetrans Cobb is an important pest in production of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.), potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) and other vegetables in Ontario (Potter and Olthof 1977, 1993), requiring remedial control by chemical or other means (McKeown and Potter 2001). Suppression of *P. penetrans* by the native sand-prairie plant species *Rudbeckia hirta* L. (brown-eyed susan) and *Rudbeckia serotina* Nutt. (black-

eyed susan) was reported previously (McKeown and Potter 1994; McKeown et al. 1994). In addition, Ambrosia artemisiifolia L. (common ragweed) was considered a poor host for P. penetrans and a non-host for the northern rootknot nematode Meloidogyne hapla Chitwood (McKeown and Potter 1994).

In several studies on the Asteraceae family, various authors commented on the presence of polyacetylene-deriva-

Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Research Station, Box 6000, Vineland Station, Ontario, Canada LOR 2E0; e-mail: potteri@em.agr.ca

University of Guelph, Department of Plant Agriculture, Box 587, Simcoe, Ontario, Canada N3Y 4N5.

tive compounds, including nematicidal thiophenes and thiarubrines, in Rudbeckia spp. and Ambrosia spp. (Freeman et al. 1993: Gomez-Barrios et al. 1992: Guillet et al. 1997; Lu et al. 1993; Sanchez de Viala et al. 1998), and changes to sex ratios of nematodes (El-Zawahrv et al. 1998). Since the active nematicidal chemical in Tagetes marigolds (Tagetes erecta L., T. patula L., T. tenuifolia Cav.) was identified as a thiophene, α -terthienyl (Gommers and Voor in't Holt 1976), it seemed reasonable that the active antihelmintic compound in Rudbeckia spp. might also be either a thiophene or a closely related thiarubrine. Interestingly, Gommers and Voor in't Holt (1976) mentioned nematicidal red-coloured dithio compounds in Rudbeckia or Ambrosia spp., which they suggested were probably acetylenic but did not identify as α -terthienyl. Recently, Sanchez de Viala et al. (1998) have shown that the compound thiarubrine C in R. hirta was toxic to P. penetrans and M. incognita Kofoid & White, and pointed out that such compounds are prevalent in roots of plants in the family Asteraceae. Soil fertility may also impact nematodes, as Walker (1971) found that concentrations of nitrite over 70 μL L⁻¹ can be toxic to nematodes. This could have implications for various nematological studies performed in greenhouse pot culture with inorganic fertilization.

Notwithstanding the modes of lethal action demonstrated above, they must be translated into practical solutions in the field environment. The potential of Asteraceae as rotation crops with assorted solanaceous agricultural crops has been studied recently (El-Zawahry et al. 1998; Kimpinski et al. 2000; Reynolds et al. 2000; Riga and Potter 1998). In most cases, the concept has taken the traditional agricultural approach of planting a rotational cover crop for most or part of a growing season, i.e. growing the nematode-suppressive plant prior to the desired agricultural crop. A preferable alternative approach might be to intercrop the suppressive plant at the same time and in adjacent space with the preferred agricultural crop, thus eliminating the problem of devoting agricultural land to plants with no direct economic return. Since other suppressive plant species require soil incorporation for activity (McKeown and Potter 2001), a crop that was nematicidal *in situ* would be most useful for a no-till high residue soil conservation production system.

The objective of this research was to determine the effect on changes in population densities of the root-lesion nematode *P. penetrans*, of intercropping brown-eyed susan (*R. hirta*) in the same pot with the lesion nematode-susceptible tomato cv. Bonny Best. Pots with soil alone were used as a reference to account for any physical effects on populations.

Seeds of R. hirta and L. esculentum cv. Bonny Best were planted on 14 March 1995 in 200-cell Blackmore plug trays (Blackmore Co. Inc., Beltsville, MD) in a commercial soilless mix (Promix; Plant Products, Brampton, Canada) and the seedlings were grown to transplanting stage (fourth expanded leaf). A sufficient volume of Scotland sandy loam to fill 48 15-cm diam plastic pots was obtained from a field infested with P. penetrans at the Simcoe, Ontario, campus of the University of Guelph, Department of Plant Agriculture. The presence of root-lesion nematodes in this soil, at a level of approximately 1100 nematodes kg⁻¹, was verified by using the Baermann pan extraction method (Townshend 1963). This soil was mixed in a concrete mixer before filling 48 pots, and plants were transplanted into pots containing 500 g of unsterilized soil on 4 April 1995. Watersoluble greenhouse fertilizer, consisting of 200 mL of 20-20-20 with trace elements (Plant Products, Brampton, Canada) at 100 µL L⁻¹, was applied on 4, 13, 20 April and 2 May, to half the pots; the other pots were not fertilized. Plants were grown in a greenhouse with day/ night set temperatures of 25/15°C. Plants were harvested on 17 May 1995, when fresh and dry weights were measured.

The experiment was designed to compare the two levels of fertilization at a single nematode population density, under each of four plant treatments: 1) tomato alone; 2) *Rudbeckia* alone; 3)

Table 1.	Nematode	population	of	tomato	and	Rudbeckia	hirta	grown	with	or	without
fertilizatio	on ^a							_			

	Nematodo				
Treatments	per root system	in soil, per pot	Total number		
Fertilizer -	57.8	26.7	84.4		
Fertilizer +	79.5	10.8	90.3		
R. hirta	2.4	0.4	2.8		
Tomato	134.9	37.1	172.0		
Anova (P values)					
Fertilizer	0.3842	0.1943	0.8834		
Plant	< 0.0001	0.0040	< 0.0001		
Fertilizer * plant	0.4586	0.1724	0.9578		

^a Means of six replications.

tomato + Rudbeckia; 4) no host plant. For treatments 1 and 2, a single seed-ling of the appropriate plant was transplanted into each pot. For treatment 3, one seedling of each plant species was transplanted into the same pot. Treatment 4 contained no plant, to test the survival of the nematode in the absence of a plant. Treatments were arranged in a randomized complete block factorial design with six replications.

After harvesting the top growth from each pot, roots were removed from the soil, and nematode numbers in a 50-g soil subsample were determined by Baermann pan extraction (Townshend 1963). Nematodes were extracted from the weighed fresh root system by mist extraction (Goodey 1963) for 2 wk, following which the roots were dried and weighed. Total number of nematodes per pot was derived from summation of the calculated number in the soil + the total number extracted from each root. Fresh and dry top weights of both the tomato and Rudbeckia were determined. Data were analyzed as a fertility x plant species factorial using the General Linear Model procedure in SAS (SAS Institute Inc. 1985); pots with soil alone (treatment 4) were not included in the factorial analysis but were used to monitor any physical effects on nematode population.

As expected, the nematode population in pots containing no host plant declined substantially over the course of the experiment from an initial num-

ber of 550 nematodes 0.5 kg⁻¹ soil; a residual population of 45 and 37 nematodes 0.5 kg⁻¹ soil persisted in the "unfertilized soil" and "fertilized soil" pots, respectively. However, in pots with plants, fertilization had no detectable effect on nematodes (Table 1). Rudbeckia hirta reduced the number of nematodes per root system, per pot, and total nematodes, compared to tomatoes (Table 1). No or very few nematodes were recovered from the soil from any treatment in which Rudbeckia was planted alone or co-planted with tomato. Thus, in almost all pots where Rudbeckia was planted, P. penetrans was nearly eliminated from the soil within 42 d of transplanting, irrespective of the presence or absence of a concomitant tomato plant.

Tomato had higher fresh and dry weights of shoots than *R. hirta* (Table 2). There was more recoverable fresh and dry root weight of *R. hirta* than tomato. However, there was a differential effect of fertilization and plant species for shoots. Tomato shoots had higher fresh and dry weights when fertilized, but *R. hirta* shoots did not appear to respond to fertilization (Table 3). There was no observable phytotoxic effect of *R. hirta* on the growth of coexistent tomatoes.

Our observation of nematode suppression by native sand-prairie species of Asteraceae, along with published reports by other nematologists, stimulated our curiosity about practical agri-

Table 2. Effect of co-planted Rudbeckia and tomato on fresh and dry weights (g) of shoots and roots in pots^a

	Sho	ots	Roots			
Treatment	Fresh weight	Dry weight	Fresh weight	Dry weight		
Fertilizer -	21.3	2.6	12.0	0.8		
Fertilizer +	29.3	14.6	13.8	0.9		
R. hirta	20.7	2.6	19.8	1.3		
Tomato	29.9	12.8	6.0	0.3		
Anova (P values)						
Fertilizer	0.0149	0.0521	0.5006	0.6053		
Plant	0.0058	0.0021	< 0.0001	< 0.0001		
Fertilizer * plant	0.0376	0.0128	0.7215	0.8932		

^a Means of six replications.

cultural uses of this effect and led to the present study. The demonstration by Sanchez de Viala et al. (1998), that thiarubrine C was active as a nematicidal agent against plant-parasitic nematodes when the pure compound was added to soil containing nematodes and a susceptible host (tomato), was an important advance in understanding the modes of action of species of Asteraceae. When Gommers and Voor in't Holt (1976) commented on the presence of strongly nematicidal unstable red-coloured dithio compounds in Ambrosia artemisiifolia and Ambrosia trifida L., they were very close to implicating nematicidal thiarubrine in ragweeds, perhaps the same thiarubrine C which Sanchez de Viala et al. (1998) identified as the active nematicidal material in Rudbeckia hirta. The importance to agriculture of nematode-suppressive weeds should be fairly obvious.

However, investigation of a mechanism of action must be transferred into practical terms, to become a benefit to "real-world" agriculture. Our principal concern was whether protectant activity against nematodes could be demonstrated by co-planting Rudbeckia along with a nematode-susceptible host. Because our previous field studies had already demonstrated that nematode suppression occurred in the presence of R. hirta for an entire growing season (McKeown et al. 1994), we did not emphasize studying its mode of action. In investigating protectant activity, we imposed the additional constraint that the coexistence must not be detrimental to either plant partner; this concern has been allayed by the finding that root growth and top growth of both partners was not seriously diminished by the co-planting approach.

Table 3. Differential response of shoot growth of *Rudbeckia hirta* and tomato in response to fertilizer^a

Fertilizer	R. hirta	Tomato				
	Fresh weight					
Fertilizer -	20.1	22.5				
Fertilizer +	21.3	37.3				
	Dry v	veight				
Fertilizer -	2.7	3.0				
Fertilizer +	2.5	4.9				

^a Means of six replications. LSD (P = 0.05) for fresh weight = 9.0; dry weight = 1.2.

The nematode data obtained in the present study require interpretation to evaluate the reasons for the rapid changes from the initial population of 550 nematodes per pot to the much lower populations presented in Table 1. Quite possibly, the nematodes remaining in the unplanted soil represent those which survived the initial mechanical soil mixing as well as 6 wk without a food source. Walker's (1971) observation on nitrite toxicity effects might explain somewhat lower numbers observed in the fertilized, unplanted controls, and could be investigated. The reason for the near-complete eradication of nematodes in the Rudbeckia-planted pots is partly explained by the research of Sanchez de Viala et al. (1998). However, given that thiophenes and thiarubrines are not very water-soluble (B. McGarvey, personal communication), the question of how nematodes could be killed at some distance from a Rudbeckia root, or in a tomato root, remains unexplained. In the tomato-alone pots, it appears that nematodes probably entered the roots in considerable numbers, and may have reproduced to some extent, as the 6-wk experimental period is about the length of one life cycle. If so, does this imply that they were killed in situ in the tomato roots in the "tomato + R. hirta" pots? If a water-soluble antihelmintic compound occurs in R. hirta, biocontrol could be achieved without incorporating the plant tissue into the soil, as is done now with most cover crops.

The reduction of nematode numbers in a confined pot is evidence that a similar mechanism may function in the field. Extensive field sampling of R. hirta, a remnant sand-prairie species (McKeown et al. 1994), over several yr (McKeown et al. unpublished) has demonstrated that this plant is highly suppressive; in fact, no nematodes were collected under it in 6 yr of sampling effort. As well, Kimpinski et al. (2000) have shown suppression of field populations of root-lesion nematodes by R. hirta, as a precursor to potato cultivation. This suggests that intercropping with R. hirta or similar species may protect susceptible hosts. We contend that, in their natural sand-prairie habitat, Rudbeckia spp. and possibly other Asteraceae may function as protectants for highly nematode-susceptible species such as wild Leguminosae (legumes) and Labiatae (mints) (McKeown et al. 1994). Even common ragweed has its ecological niche and might prove useful as a model for studying suppressive mechanisms or as a source of nematode-suppressive genes, although its use for controlling nematodes in agriculture and/or home gardens is limited unless it could be rendered non-allergenic. The opportunity and challenge is to utilize our ecological knowledge to develop practical nematode suppressive cropping systems.

REFERENCES

- El-Zawahry, A.M., T.H.A. Olthof, and J.W. Potter. 1998. The nematicidal effects of *Tagetes* spp. on the final population of *Pratylenchus penetrans*. Int. J. Nematol. 8: 117-122.
- Freeman, F., M. Aregullin, and E. Rodriguez. 1993. Naturally occurring 1,2-dithiins. Rev. Heteroatom Chem. 9: 1-19.
- Gomez-Barrios, M.L., F.J. Parodi, D. Vargas, L. Quijano, M.A. Hjortso, H.E. Flores, and N.H. Fischer. 1992. Studies on the biosynthesis of thiarubrine A in hairy root cultures of *Ambrosia artemisiifolia* using 13C-labelled acetates. Phytochemistry 31: 2703-2707.
- Gommers, F.J., and D.J.M. Voor in't Holt. 1976. Chemotaxonomy of Compositae related to their host suitability for *Pratylenchus penetrans*. Neth. J. Plant Pathol. 82: 1-8.
- Goodey, J.B. 1963. Laboratory methods for work with plant and soil nematodes. Tech. Bull. No. 2., Min. Agr. Fish. Food. Her Majesty's Stationery Office, London. 72 pp.
- Guillet, G., B.J.R. Philogene, J. O'Meara, T. Durst, and J.T. Arnason. 1997. Multiple modes of insecticidal action of three classes of polyacetylene derivatives from *Rudbeckia hirta*. Phytochemistry 46: 495-498.
- Kimpinski, J., W.J. Arsenault, C.E. Gallant, and J.B. Sanderson. 2000. The effect of marigolds (*Tagetes* spp.) and other cover crops on *Pratylenchus penetrans* and on following potato crops. Suppl. J. Nematol. 32: 531-536.

- Lu, T., F.J. Parodi, D. Vargas, L. Quijano, E.R. Mertooetomo, M.A. Hjortso, and N.H. Fischer. 1993. Sesquiterpenes and thiarubrines from Ambrosia trifida and its transformed roots. Phytochemistry 33: 113-116
- McKeown A.W., and J.W. Potter. 1994. Native wild grasses and flowers: new possibilities for nematode control. Agrifood Res. in Ontario. Vol. 17 (4): 20-26.
- McKeown A.W., and J.W. Potter. 2001. Yield of 'Superior' potatoes (Solanum tuberosum) and dynamics of root-lesion nematode (Pratylenchus penetrans) populations following "nematode suppressive" cover crops and fumigation. Phytoprotection 82: 13-23.
- McKeown, A.W., J.W. Potter, M. Gartshore, and P. Carson. 1994. A note on the occurrence of root lesion nematodes under native sand-prairie plant species in the Regional Municipality of Haldimand-Norfolk, Ontario. Phytoprotection 75: 139-142
- Potter, J.W., and T.H.A. Olthof. 1977. Analysis of crop losses in tomato due to *Pratylenchus penetrans*. J. Nematol. 9: 290-295
- Potter, J.W., and T.H.A. Olthof. 1993. Nematode pests of vegetable crops. Pages 171-207 in K. Evans, D.L. Trudgill, and J.M. Webster (eds.), Plant parasitic nematodes in temperate agriculture. CAB International, Wallingford, UK.

- Reynolds, L.B., J.W. Potter, and B.R. Ball-Coelho. 2000. Crop rotation with marigold sp. is an alternative chemical fumigation for control of root-lesion nematodes. Agron. J. 92: 957- 966.
- Riga, E., and J.W. Potter. 1998. Marigolds as biological control agents of plant nematodes. Nematologica 44: 568-569 (Abstract).
- Sanchez de Viala, S., B.B. Brodie, E. Rodriguez, and D.M. Gibson. 1998. The potential of thiarubrine C as a nematicidal agent against plant-parasitic nematodes.

 J. Nematol. 30: 192-200.
- SAS Institute Inc. 1985. SAS procedures guide for personal computers, 6th ed. SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC. 956 pp.
- **Townshend, J.L. 1963.** A modification and evaluation of the apparatus for the Oostenbrink direct cottonwool filter extraction method. Nematologica 9: 106-110.
- Walker, J.T. 1971. Populations of *Pratylen-chus penetrans* relative to decomposing nitrogenous soil amendments. J. Nematol. 3: 43-49.