



The Future of Higher Education: A Call for Radical Pedagogical Innovation in Post-Pandemic Times

Awu Isaac Oben  et Xu Hui

Volume 19, numéro 2, 2025

URI : <https://id.erudit.org/iderudit/1118269ar>

DOI : <https://doi.org/10.22329/jtl.v19i2.9710>

[Aller au sommaire du numéro](#)

Éditeur(s)

University of Windsor

ISSN

1492-1154 (imprimé)

1911-8279 (numérique)

[Découvrir la revue](#)

Citer ce document

Oben, A. & Hui, X. (2025). The Future of Higher Education: A Call for Radical Pedagogical Innovation in Post-Pandemic Times. *Journal of Teaching and Learning*, 19(2), 205–211. <https://doi.org/10.22329/jtl.v19i2.9710>

Résumé de l'article

The COVID-19 pandemic disrupted higher education globally, revealing both traditional pedagogies' strengths and weaknesses. As institutions turned to online learning, significant gaps in accessibility, digital literacy, and adaptability became apparent. This paper argues for a radical transformation of pedagogical innovation in post-pandemic higher education, advocating for a shift towards more flexible, inclusive, and student-centred learning models to bring the sustainable change we all want. It highlights key strategies, such as hybrid models, personalized learning, active and experiential learning, and rethinking assessment methods. These innovations, supported by digital tools, can better address diverse student needs and prepare learners for a rapidly evolving workforce. Nevertheless, institutional resistance to change, addressing the digital divide, and ensuring scalability remain potential barriers and challenges that must be overcome to achieve it. This paper, therefore, calls for collective and coordinated efforts by higher education institutions, stakeholders and policymakers to drive the required systemic change in higher education. By embracing these innovations, universities can build a more flexible, resilient, equitable, and future-ready education system that moves beyond the limitations of traditional pedagogies. The pandemic offers a unique opportunity to rethink the foundations of higher education and prioritize pedagogical practices that promote critical thinking, adaptability, and lifelong learning in an uncertain world.



The Future of Higher Education: A Call for Radical Pedagogical Innovation in Post-Pandemic Times

Awu Isaac Oben
Southwest University

Xu Hui
Southwest University

Abstract

The COVID-19 pandemic disrupted higher education globally, revealing both traditional pedagogies' strengths and weaknesses. As institutions turned to online learning, significant gaps in accessibility, digital literacy, and adaptability became apparent. This paper argues for a radical transformation of pedagogical innovation in post-pandemic higher education, advocating for a shift towards more flexible, inclusive, and student-centred learning models to bring the sustainable change we all want. It highlights key strategies, such as hybrid models, personalized learning, active and experiential learning, and rethinking assessment methods. These innovations, supported by digital tools, can better address diverse student needs and prepare learners for a rapidly evolving workforce. Nevertheless, institutional resistance to change, addressing the digital divide, and ensuring scalability remain potential barriers and challenges that must be overcome to achieve it. This paper, therefore, calls for collective and coordinated efforts by higher education institutions, stakeholders and policymakers to drive the required systemic change in higher education. By embracing these innovations, universities can build a more flexible, resilient, equitable, and future-ready education system that moves beyond the limitations of traditional pedagogies. The pandemic offers a unique opportunity to rethink the foundations of higher education and prioritize pedagogical practices that promote critical thinking, adaptability, and lifelong learning in an uncertain world.

Introduction

Many scholars agree that the COVID-19 pandemic didn't just disrupt higher education worldwide, it changed it forever (Barrett-Fox et al., 2020; de Wit & Altbach, 2022; García-Morales et al., 2021; Lemoine & Richardson, 2020; Ling Koh et al., 2023; Naibaho, 2022; Purcell & Lumbreras, 2021; Roesel & Dobrijević, 2024; Tilak & Kumar, 2022; Wang & Sun, 2022). It fundamentally transformed higher education, forcing institutions to quickly rethink and adapt to online teaching and learning, which was unfamiliar to many educators and students. It exposed some overlooked and critical issues deeply rooted in traditional teaching methods and approaches. The rapid shift to digital platforms has exposed weaknesses and vulnerabilities in our education systems. It highlighted the need for greater flexibility and inclusivity in education, revealing long-standing challenges such as accessibility and equity. What was initially a temporary response to a crisis sparked a much-needed conversation about the future of education. As we move into a post-pandemic era, it is clear that returning to pre-pandemic models is neither sustainable nor desirable (García-Morales et al., 2021). This paper argues that universities must embrace radical pedagogical innovation, shifting towards more flexible, student-centred learning models that can better meet the diverse needs of today's learners. The rigidity of traditional methods, centred on lectures and standardized testing, must give way to approaches that promote engagement, critical thinking, and adaptability, better preparing students for a rapidly evolving world. Higher education must evolve to stay relevant in an increasingly diverse and dynamic global landscape (Huang & Yanan, 2024; Judijanto et al., 2024).

The Pandemic as a Catalyst for Change (a Wake-Up Call)

Before diving into what needs to change in higher education, it is important to reflect on how the COVID-19 pandemic served as a wake-up call for change. When higher education institutions worldwide were forced to transition to online teaching and learning, it became evident that there was a big gap in digital preparedness for students and teachers (Adamson & Sloan, 2021). Not all students had reliable internet or access to essential technology, and many faculty who lacked experience with digital tools struggled to adapt to online teaching tools, revealing a gap in digital literacy across higher education, which put them at a distinct disadvantage (Ling Koh et al., 2023). We can only imagine the gravity of such an impact on the less-resourced institutions, especially those in third-world countries. These challenges indicated a larger issue: the traditional, one-size-fits-all approach to higher education was not treating or serving everyone equally.

The pandemic also accelerated the use of online tools and learning management systems (LMS), previously underutilized, becoming central to the learning experience. While some faculty adapted quickly, others found it challenging to engage students as they would in a physical classroom. Many universities adopted blended learning models, integrating synchronous and asynchronous instruction (Adamson & Sloan, 2021). The use of digital tools such as Zoom, LMS, and other virtual collaboration tools, and asynchronous learning methods demonstrated both the strengths and weaknesses of the digital learning environment. On the positive side, online education opened opportunities for flexibility, enabling students to learn on their own time. However, it also revealed how crucial in-person interactions can be for meaningful learning experiences. We now stand at a critical crossroads. Do we revert to outdated models, or do we take this moment to imagine the future of higher education?

The Need for Radical Pedagogical Innovation

Higher education has been slow to change, clinging to traditional methods like lecture-based teaching and instructor-focused methodologies, emphasizing the unidirectional knowledge transfer from a seasoned expert to the learner and standardized assessments. Although this system has endured through generations, its relevance in today's fast-paced environment is increasingly questioned following its significant limitations in today's context. However, the pandemic showed us that these methods aren't equipped or sufficient to handle the complexities of modern education. The swift evolution of the labour market makes the workforce to be changing, paired with the varied learning preferences, the evolving needs of students, diverse learning styles, and growing expectations of modern students, and the way we deliver education must adapt accordingly (García-Morales et al., 2021), hence calls for an educational renovation; one that is dynamic, embraces flexibility, and centers on the learner's experience (student-centred). Below are four key areas where radical pedagogical innovation is needed to ensure higher education remains relevant and effective.

1) Personalized and Student-Centred Learning

One of the most significant limitations of traditional education models is their inflexibility. With their rigid, lecture-based formats, traditional education models assume all students learn at the same pace and in the same way, which is far from true. In the post-pandemic era, universities must shift toward personalized learning, tailoring education to individual students' needs, strengths, and learning styles. Leveraging technology, such as adaptive learning platforms, allows for customized lessons that adjust based on students' progress. This approach enables learners to take ownership of their education, transitioning from passive recipients to active participants. Personalized learning fosters critical thinking and problem-solving, essential skills in the modern workforce (García-Morales et al., 2021). It also promotes deeper understanding and engagement by accommodating diverse learning preferences. The flexibility of personalized learning not only enhances student outcomes but also makes education more inclusive as it accommodates students with different learning styles. Ultimately, embracing this student-centred model empowers learners and transforms educational experiences, preparing them for lifelong success in an ever-evolving world.

2) Active and Experiential Learning

Another area of significance for innovation is the shift from passive to active learning. Traditionally, students have been expected to absorb information during lectures and then regurgitate it during exams. However, research consistently shows that students learn more effectively when actively engaged. Active learning techniques, such as group discussions, problem-solving exercises, and collaborative projects, encourage students to apply their knowledge in real-world contexts. Experiential learning goes further, offering students hands-on experiences that prepare them for the workforce (García-Morales et al., 2021). Internships, project-based learning, and service-learning opportunities enable students to apply their knowledge in practical settings. Not only do these experiences deepen learning, but they also develop critical skills such as teamwork, problem-solving, and adaptability skills that employers increasingly value.

3) Hybrid and Flexible Learning Models

Hybrid learning, which combines in-person and online instruction, proved its worth during the pandemic (Clift & Assiouras, 2022). This model offers the best of both worlds: online education's flexibility and face-to-face learning's interpersonal connections. Hybrid models allow students to attend in-person classes while participating in asynchronous online activities, such as discussion boards or digital group projects. Post-pandemic, hybrid learning should become the new normal (Liu et al., 2022), offering greater flexibility to students, particularly those who may be balancing work, family, or health concerns. It also allows universities to expand their reach, catering to students who are geographically remote or who prefer a blended learning environment. Ultimately, hybrid learning provides a more inclusive and adaptable framework for education, ensuring that more students can access higher education on their terms (Clift & Assiouras, 2022).

4) Rethinking Assessment and Evaluation of Students in Higher Education

Assessment has long been a sticking point in higher education. Traditional methods, such as standardized tests and essays, fail to capture the full range of a student's abilities and knowledge. These assessments often prioritize memorization over critical thinking, creativity, and real-world problem-solving. In the future, universities need to rethink how they evaluate student performance. Alternative assessments, such as portfolios, peer evaluations, and project-based assessments, provide a more holistic view of student learning. These methods encourage students to demonstrate their understanding more meaningfully, showcasing what they know and how they can apply that knowledge in various contexts. Additionally, formative assessment, where students receive ongoing feedback throughout a course, should replace summative assessment, which focuses on high-stakes exams at the end of a term. Formative assessments help students identify areas for improvement and encourage continuous growth, rather than one-time performance.

Overcoming Barriers or Resistance to Change in Higher Education

While the need for radical pedagogical innovation is clear (Adamson & Sloan, 2021), significant challenges must be addressed because change will not come easily. Resistance to change is perhaps the most significant barrier, particularly in institutions with deeply entrenched traditions and hierarchies (Roesel & Dobrijević, 2024). Faculty who have built their careers around traditional teaching methods may be hesitant to adopt new pedagogical models, particularly those that require unfamiliar technology or significant changes to their teaching styles. To overcome this resistance, universities must provide support and training. This includes professional development in areas like digital literacy, instructional design, innovative teaching practices, and active learning strategies. Universities must also foster a culture of experimentation and collaboration, encouraging faculty to innovate without fear of failure. Leadership plays a crucial role here. Institutions that prioritize and invest in pedagogical innovation will see more success in implementing these changes.

Another significant barrier is the digital divide. The shift to online learning during the pandemic highlighted stark inequalities in access to technology. Not all students have reliable internet, or the devices needed for digital learning (Liu et al., 2022). If higher education is to embrace technology-driven models, it must also address these disparities. To ensure pedagogical innovation benefits all students, institutions must prioritize equity in their technological investments and support students who may face barriers to digital learning. Universities and policymakers must work together to ensure that all students, regardless of their socio-economic background, have access to the tools they need to succeed.

Finally, scalability remains a challenge. While innovative pedagogical models have been successfully implemented in small pilot programs, scaling these initiatives across entire institutions or national higher education systems can be difficult. Universities will need to invest in infrastructure technology, training, and resources to support large-scale innovation, and policymakers must provide the necessary funding to ensure these initiatives are sustainable. Additionally, institutions must be willing to re-imagine their organizational structures and decision-making processes to support large-scale change. This will enable and ensure that all students can benefit from these innovations.

The Role of Policy-Makers and Institutions in Driving Change

The future of higher education is not just in the hands of faculty and students. Radical pedagogical innovation in higher education requires more than individual faculty members adopting new teaching methods. It necessitates coordinating efforts from institutions, policymakers, and stakeholders across the education sector. Policymakers, university leaders, and other stakeholders must play a role in driving change. Governments must provide funding and create policies that encourage innovation, rather than stifle it. This could include funding for research into new pedagogical models, grants for technology infrastructure, and support for professional development programs that equip faculty with the skills needed for modern teaching. University leaders, too, must take a proactive approach. This means prioritizing pedagogical innovation in strategic plans, investing necessary resources, and fostering a culture that embraces change. Collaboration with industry leaders and employers will also be crucial, ensuring that educational innovations are aligned with the needs of the modern workforce (García-Morales et al., 2021). Finally, stakeholders from across the education ecosystem, including employers, industry leaders, and community organizations, must be involved in shaping the future of higher education. By collaborating with these stakeholders, institutions can ensure that their pedagogical innovations aligned with the needs of the workforce and society at large.

Conclusion

The COVID-19 pandemic has forced higher education to confront its limitations and opened the door to unprecedented opportunities for radical innovation. The future of higher education must be flexible, inclusive, and student-centred, embracing personalized learning, active engagement, hybrid models, and new forms of assessment. However, this transformation will not be easy. It will require a concerted effort from faculty, institutions, policymakers, and stakeholders to overcome resistance to change, address the digital divide, and scale innovative practices. The time for radical pedagogical innovation is now. If higher education is to remain relevant in a rapidly changing world, it must adapt, evolve, and rethink its core principles. The pandemic has given us the push we need. It is now up to us to take the leap, a wake-up call for higher education. The future of higher education depends on our willingness to think boldly and act decisively in reimagining pedagogy for the post-pandemic world. Institutions that seize this opportunity to innovate will better serve their students and play a pivotal role in shaping the future of education and society. The time for radical pedagogical innovation is now.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author(s).

Funding

This paper did not receive any funding

Data availability statement

This is an opinion piece; no data was used in this article.

Ethical approval

The authors declare that this research did not use human subjects.

Author Bio

Dr. Awu Isaac Oben holds a PhD in Education Leadership and Management. He is a postdoctoral researcher at the College of International Studies, Southwest University, Chongqing, China. He is a researcher, teacher, creative writer, author, and mentor/team leader. His research interests include higher education, teacher education, curriculum and teaching theory, principles of education, artificial intelligence in education, educational psychology, educational technology, digital transformation of education, educational policy, educational leadership and management, teacher development, English language and literature teaching.

Prof. Xu Hui is a professor and PhD /Postdocs supervisor at Southwest University's Faculty of Education. His career spans prominent roles, including Dean of the Chongqing Research Academy of Education Sciences (2013–2018), Director of the Higher Education Department of Chongqing Municipal Commission of Education (2009–2013), and Senior Visiting Scholar at the University of Illinois (1998–1999). His research interests include comparative education, higher education, internationalization of education, educational policies, pedagogy, curriculum, and teacher education.

References

- Anderson, J. O. (1990). *The impact of provincial examinations on education in British Columbia: General report*. British Columbia Department of Education.
- Adamson, J., & Sloan, D. (2021). Developing a technology enabled learning framework supporting staff transitioning degree module content to a blended learning approach. *Innovations in Education and Teaching International*, 60(1), 59–69. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14703297.2021.1952642>
- Barrett-Fox, R., Bayne, B., Cooper, V., & Espinosa, G. (2020). How the coronavirus pandemic will change our future teaching. *Religion and American Culture*, 30(2), 147-186.
- Clift, S., & Assiouras, I. (2022). The transformation of post pandemic hybrid teaching and learning through experiences of remote digital learning in French business schools. *Innovations in Education and Teaching International*, 60(6), 810–823. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14703297.2022.2132982>
- Crawford, J., Butler-Henderson, K., Rudolph, J., & Glowatz, M. (2020). COVID-19: 20 countries' higher education intra-period digital pedagogy responses. *Journal of Applied Learning & Teaching*, 3(1), 1-20. <https://doi.org/10.37074/jalt.2020.3.1.7>

- de Wit, H., & Altbach, P. G. (2022). The impact of COVID-19 on the internationalisation of higher education, revolutionary or not? In *Global higher education during and beyond COVID-19: Perspectives and challenges* (pp. 219-231). Springer Nature Singapore.
- García-Morales, V. J., Garrido-Moreno, A., & Martín-Rojas, R. (2021). The transformation of higher education after the COVID disruption: Emerging challenges in an online learning scenario. *Frontiers in psychology*, 12, 616059.
- Garrison, D. R., & Vaughan, N. D. (2012). Blended learning in higher education: Framework, principles, and guidelines. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Huang, Z., & Yanan, S. (2024). The transforming landscape of higher Education: Trends and challenges. *Economic Sciences*, 20(1).
- Judijanto, L., Triolita, N., Machfiroh, R., Yunanto, M. K., & Siminto, S. (2024). Navigating the landscape of higher education in the 21st century: Challenges, innovations, and future perspectives. *International Journal of Teaching and Learning*, 2(1), 297-312.
- Lemoine, P. A., & Richardson, M. D. (2020). Planning for higher education institutions: Chaos and the COVID-19 pandemic. *Educational Planning*, 27(3), 43-57.
- Ling Koh, J. H., Daniel, B. K., & Greenman, A. C. (2023). Online teaching dexterity-implications for post-pandemic higher education online teaching competencies. *Innovations in Education and Teaching International*, 61(6), 1280–1293. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14703297.2023.2297022>
- Liu, J., Zhu, X., Huang, C., Wang, Y., & Chang, L. (2022). Study on factors influencing college students' motivation to engage with online learning during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Innovations in Education and Teaching International*, 61(2), 343–354. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14703297.2022.2130394>
- MacKenzie, A., Bacalja, A., Annamali, D., Panaretou, A., Girmé, P., Cutajar, M., ... & Gourlay, L. (2022). Dissolving the dichotomies between online and campus-based teaching: A collective response to the manifesto for teaching online (Bayne et al. 2020). *Postdigital Science and Education*, 4(2), 271-329.
- Naibaho, L. (2022). Impact of COVID-19 on higher education. In *Handbook of research on Asian perspectives of the educational impact of COVID-19*. <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/792e747a9ad1dad08235a779e25c3375d6c61531>
- Purcell, W. M., & Lumbreras, J. (2021). Higher education and the COVID-19 pandemic: Navigating disruption using the sustainable development goals. *Discover Sustainability*, 2(1), 6.
- Roesel, J., & Dobrijević, G. (2024). Moving the goal post: How the pandemic changed communication barriers in online course delivery in higher education for culturally diverse settings. *Innovations in Education and Teaching International*, 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14703297.2024.2432428>
- Tilak, J. B., & Kumar, A. G. (2022). Policy changes in global higher education: What lessons do we learn from the COVID-19 pandemic? *Higher education policy*, 35(3), 610.
- Wang, X., & Sun, X. (2022). Higher education during the COVID-19 pandemic: Responses and challenges. *Education as Change*, 26(1), 1-21.