Journal of Teaching and Learning

Long-term impacts of COVID-19 on stress and depression among teachers: Differences by gender

Andrea Huseth-Zosel, Sarah L. Crary et Megan Orr

Volume 18, numéro 1, 2024

URI : https://id.erudit.org/iderudit/1111983ar
DOI : https://doi.org/10.22329/jtl.v18i1.7424

Aller au sommaire du numéro

Éditeur(s)

University of Windsor

ISSN

1492-1154 (imprimé)
1911-8279 (numérique)

Découvrir la revue

Citer cet article

Huseth-Zosel, A., Crary, S. & Orr, M. (2024). Long-term impacts of COVID-19 on stress and depression among teachers: Differences by gender. *Journal of Teaching and Learning*, *18*(1), 19–37. https://doi.org/10.22329/jtl.v18i1.7424

Résumé de l'article

This article explores the impact of changes in teaching modalities resulting from the COVID-19 pandemic on the mental health of K-12 teachers, by gender, during the first year of the pandemic. Teachers from a random sample of K-12 schools in North Dakota and Minnesota were surveyed in April 2020, October 2020, and March/April 2021 about their current levels of stress and depression, as well as the frequency with which they experienced certain physical conditions. One-way analysis of variance and multiple regression were used to compare time points for each of the outcomes by gender. Female teachers were more likely to experience higher levels of stress than male teachers, while male teachers were more likely to experience higher levels of depression than female teachers, with spikes in stress and depression levels experienced by both males and females in Time 2. Additionally, physical symptoms were more likely to be experienced by female teachers, with Time 2 respondents overall reporting significantly higher proportions of physical symptoms than Time 1 or Time 3 respondents. Consistently experiencing heightened levels of stress and depression can lead to burnout for teachers. School districts need to monitor stress, especially among females, and depression, especially among males, to recognize the difference in experience for each gender in the teaching profession, as well as provide supports and resources to their teachers to help them in coping with these mental-health issues.

Journal of Teaching and Learning
Vol. 18, No. 2 (2024), pp. 19–37
https://doi.org/ 10.22329/jtl.v18i1.7424
www.jtl.uwindsor.ca

Long-term Impacts of COVID-19 on Stress and Depression Among Teachers: Differences by Gender

Andrea Huseth-Zosel
North Dakota State University

Sarah L. Crary
North Dakota State University

Megan Orr
North Dakota State University

Abstract

This article explores the impact of changes in teaching modalities resulting from the COVID-19 pandemic on the mental health of K-12 teachers, by gender, during the first year of the pandemic. Teachers from a random sample of K-12 schools in North Dakota and Minnesota were surveyed in April 2020, October 2020, and March/April 2021 about their current levels of stress and depression, as well as the frequency with which they experienced certain physical conditions. One-way analysis of variance and multiple regression were used to compare time points for each of the outcomes by gender. Female teachers were more likely to experience higher levels of stress than male teachers, while male teachers were more likely to experience higher levels of depression than female teachers, with spikes in stress and depression levels experienced by both males and females in Time 2. Additionally, physical symptoms were more likely to be experienced by female teachers, with Time 2 respondents overall reporting significantly higher proportions of physical symptoms than Time 1 or Time 3 respondents. Consistently experiencing heightened levels of stress and depression can lead to burnout for teachers. School districts need to monitor stress, especially among females, and depression, especially among males, to recognize the difference in experience for each gender in the teaching profession, as well as provide supports and resources to their teachers to help them in coping with these mental-health issues.

Introduction

At the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic in March 2020, K-12 public education underwent a drastic and abrupt change to online/distance learning to protect the public's health and prevent further spread of the disease (Decker et al., 2020; Donohue & Miller, 2020; Young & Donovan, 2020). Nearly two years into the pandemic, schools are continuing to feel the impact of COVID-19 with increased use of mitigation efforts to stifle disease spread, such as social distancing, quarantining of students, and mandated mask usage (Krishnamachari et al., 2021). Teachers have continued to be on the frontlines of the pandemic, adjusting to the ongoing recommendations from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) along with requirements passed down by school boards to address pandemic-related issues, including, but not limited to, modifying their lessons to being available online or from a distance (Sangeeta & Tandon, 2021), modifying their classrooms to be safer places to educate students (Lohmann et al., 2021), and modifying their daily schedules to accommodate increased demands on their limited time (Jones et al., 2021). Early cross-sectional research indicates the pandemic has had an adverse impact on the overall health and well-being of teachers, as evidenced by increases in stress and depression (Pressley et al., 2021; Santamaría et al., 2021). Additionally, female teachers have experienced increased levels of stress and depression due to the pandemic, as compared to their male counterparts (Ozamiz-Etxebarria et al., 2021; Santamaria et al., 2021). However, there is limited empirical research on the long-term impact of COVID-19 on these issues among teachers overall or by gender (Pressley et al., 2021). This study addresses the need for research into the long-term impacts on the mental health of teachers, overall and by gender, as the COVID-19 pandemic continues.

Stress

Even prior to the pandemic, teaching had been viewed as a stressful job (Davidson, 2009; Johnson et al, 2005), with high burnout and teacher turnover (Califf & Brooks, 2020; Chang, 2009). Stress, defined as a range of physical and psychological reactions from threats to a person's stability or status quo (Hiebert & Farber, 1984), can influence teacher job satisfaction (Klassen & Chiu, 2010), teaching effectiveness (Trendall, 1989), and relationships with students leading to negative academic outcomes (Collie & Martin, 2017; Wentzel, 2010). Stress can also impact a teacher's overall physical and mental health (De Simone et al., 2016; Shernoff et al., 2011). A continued state of stress can lead to burnout (Herman et al., 2017). Teachers who are burnt-out, but remain in the profession, can see negative impacts on the quality of their instruction. Teachers who are experiencing burnout feel mentally drained, and thus are less likely to provide quality lessons, or to effectively deal with student classroom behaviour (Chang, 2009).

Prior to COVID, teacher stress was triggered by an increasing workload, grading, dealing with student discipline issues, and the constant feeling of never being done with their work (Davidson, 2009), many of which have been experienced to a greater extent during the pandemic (Ferren, 2021; Robinson et al., 2022; Westphal et al., 2022). Technology also triggered stress pre-pandemic (Califf & Brooks, 2020), and continued during the pandemic. Due to the transition to online learning and other technology requirements, because of the pandemic, teachers increased their use of technology, regardless of their experience or comfort level, which has had a direct impact on stress levels (Ferren, 2021; Goldberg, 2021). A study by Califf and Brooks (2020) found that technology-related stress for teachers is associated with a lack of technological knowledge, information overload, and issues with technology not working appropriately (or at all), which can lead to increased teacher turnover. Prior to COVID-19, almost 50% of teachers left the profession

in their first five years in the job, due to high levels of stress (Califf & Brooks, 2020), and this is expected to increase dramatically, as a result of the pandemic (Steiner & Woo, 2021). Teacher turnover is expensive, and costs upwards of $2.2 billion per year (Califf & Brooks, 2020). It can also have a negative effect on students. Constant turnover results in a decline in the quality of student instruction, due to a decline in the number of experienced teachers (Chang, 2009).

Recent research has identified pandemic-related issues, which increased teacher stress and levels of burnout. Ferren (2021) found that the rapid transition to online teaching, caused stress for many teachers, due to the sudden change, combined with the lack of time to prepare or receive training to teach in this manner. In addition to the stress from the rapid change, many teachers worried that they might lose their jobs due to the uncertainty and budget cuts from the pandemic (Ferren, 2021). Many teachers left the profession early during this time to take jobs with fewer hours (Dilberti et al., 2021). Nearly half of those teachers took lower paying jobs that had lower or no benefits (Dilberti, 2021). Overall, a majority of teachers who left the profession during the pandemic did so because of job dissatisfaction and not retirement (Dilberti, 2021; Ferren, 2021).

Depression

Depression is defined as "a common and serious medical illness that negatively affects how you feel, the way you think, and how you act" (American Psychiatric Association [APA], n.d.). Symptoms of depression can include, but are not limited to, fatigue, social withdrawal, loss of energy, difficulty concentrating, feelings of failure, and various physical health-related symptoms, such as sleep issues (APA, n.d.). Teacher depression has been linked to reduced teaching effectiveness within the classroom (Hamre & Pianta, 2004; Ripski et al., 2011; Uzman & Telef, 2015). Depression and stress have been found to be the most common mental-health issues experienced by teachers (Uzman & Telef, 2015), and there is a correlation between teacher burnout and increased rates of depression (Shin et al., 2013). Additionally, depression and stress are inextricably connected, with depression being one of the most common mental health issues experienced by individuals experiencing high levels of stress (Hammen, 2005; Tsai et al., 2006). Research has shown that not only have many teachers experienced high levels of depression since the start of the pandemic (Silva et al., 2021), but they have also experienced increases in depression from pre-pandemic in the early months of the pandemic (Markowitz et al., 2021).

Theoretical framework

The theoretical framework of work-life balance can assist in understanding potential gender differences in stress and depression among educators (Doble & Supriya, 2010). This framework describes the ability of an individual to dedicate equal amounts of time and energy to both work-related and non-work-related issues (Dave & Purohit, 2016). Women's workloads have increased steadily throughout the previous century, and although they are now working more hours, they have continued to maintain the same responsibilities within their households (Coverman, 1989; Power, 2020). The work-life balance framework provides a lens through which different expectations related to gender roles can be examined, especially as the demands of work and home life conflict (Leo et al., 2022). Recent studies have illuminated the increased burden placed on women because of the pandemic, such as taking a greater responsibility in childcare (Zamarro & Prados, 2021), because men were less likely to reduce their work hours than women (Reichelt et al., 2020). Additionally, cross-sectional studies conducted at the onset of the pandemic found differences in mental health outcomes in the general population by gender, in that women

have experienced increased rates of stress, depression, and anxiety during the pandemic as compared to their male counterparts (Elbay et al., 2020; Zamarro & Prados, 2021).

Few studies have examined the differences in levels of stress and depression experienced by K-12 teachers by gender during the pandemic, and of those that have, most are cross-sectional in nature, with either point-in-time interviews and focus groups, or surveys (Clark et al., 2021; Kara et al., 2021; Ozamiz-Etxebarria et al., 2021; Santamaria et al., 2021). The findings from these studies reveal higher rates of anxiety, stress, and depression among female teachers, as compared to males (Ozamiz-Etxebarria et al., 2021; Santamaria et al., 2021).

Current study

This paper provides an analysis of the long-term impact of COVID-19 on the mental health of K-12 educators overall and by gender, regarding stress and depression over the course of one year, from the beginning of the pandemic to spring 2021. Studies on teacher mental health during COVID often draw on cross-sectional data (Pressley et al., 2021; Santamaría et al., 2021). This study includes data collected at three different points during the first year of the pandemic, and helps to fill the gap of limited empirical research on the long-term impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on stress and depression among teachers overall and by gender, providing information needed to develop interventions to assist in addressing teachers' mental health (Pressley et al., 2021).

Materials and Methods

Design and participants

This study was designed to measure stress, depression, and related physical symptoms experienced by K-12 teachers during the first year of the COVID-19 pandemic. Following institutional review board clearance, a survey was sent to teachers at randomly selected public schools in North Dakota (ND) and Minnesota (MN). To randomly select schools, ND and MN counties were first stratified by metropolitan status. Urban counties were defined as metropolitan counties with 50,000 or more people, and less than 50,000 as rural (Economic Resource Service, n.d.). Two urban and 20 rural counties were randomly selected in ND, and seven urban and 20 rural counties were selected in MN, with additional urban counties selected in MN, due to its larger urban population (Economic Resource Service, n.d.). Five elementary, one middle, and one high school were randomly selected within each county. All K-12 teachers with publicly available email addresses, at the randomly selected schools, were emailed a survey invitation to participate in the online Qualtrics survey.

Survey dissemination occurred at three distinct time points: April 2020 (Time 1), October 2020 (Time 2), and March/April 2021 (Time 3). The Time 1 survey dissemination occurred after schools were reopened to online learning, after the initial lockdown due to the COVID-19 pandemic (MN Exec. Order No. 20-19, 2020; ND Exec. Order No. 2020-10, 2020). As there was limited information about how long the pandemic would last, and no expectation there would be long-term impacts, it was only anticipated that a single, cross-sectional survey would be conducted. However, as the pandemic continued into the fall 2020, it was determined that data collection should continue, so a second round of invitations was sent in October 2020 (Time 2) to the same group of teachers, with the final round being sent in March/April 2021 (Time 3). Because

the research did not use a matched sample, the results cannot be compared for individual respondents over time, but, rather, constituted a series of three cross-sectional surveys.

Measures

As part of a larger study, teachers responded to questions related to: a) perceived stress; b) depression; c) physical symptoms; and d) demographics.

The Perceived Stress Scale-10 (PSS-10) (Cohen et al., 1994) was utilized as a metric for stress. The PSS-10 is a self-report scale, which assesses stress levels, and is comprised of 10 items on a 5-point scale (0=never, 1=almost never, 2=once in a while, 3=often, 4=very often). For Time 1, participants responded to a series of statements, and selected how often they have felt, or thought, a certain way since schools were closed on account of the pandemic. This was modified slightly from the original scale time frame, which asked respondents to indicate how frequently they have felt, or thought, a certain way in the last month. Time 2 and Time 3 used the original scale time frame. In this study, the Cronbach alpha coefficient for the PSS-10 was 0.901. The scores were added together for a potential range from 0 to 40, with higher scores indicating a higher level of perceived stress. The scores were grouped into four distinct ranges: 0-9, 10-19, 20-29, 30-40, with scores of 20 or higher indicating increased levels of stress (Sharp Donahoo et al., 2018).

The Center for Epidemiologic Studies Short Depression Scale (CESD-R-10) was used to measure depression (Van Dam & Earleywine, 2011). The CESD-R-10 is also a self-report tool, which measures levels of depression. It is made up of 10 items on a 4-point scale (0=rarely or none of the time, 1=some or a little of the time, 2=occasionally or a moderate amount of time, 3=all of the time). For Time 1, participants responded to a series of statements and selected how frequently they have felt or behaved in a certain way since schools were closed because of the pandemic. This was modified slightly from the original scale time frame, which asked respondents to indicate how frequently they have felt or behaved in a certain way in the past week. Time 2 and Time 3 used the original scale time frame. In this study, the Cronbach alpha coefficient for the CESD-R-10 was 0.870. The scores were added together for a potential range from 0 to 30, with higher scores indicating higher levels of depression. The scores were grouped into three ranges: 0-9, 10-20, and 21-30, with scores of 10 or more indicating major depression (Björgvinsson et al., 2013).

Respondents also specified the frequency with which they experienced specified common stress-related physical and psychological symptoms (Marks, 2021) on a scale from 1 to 4 with 1 being “Rarely or none of the time” and 4 being “All of the time.” For Time 1, respondents were asked the frequency with which they felt these symptoms since schools were closed during the pandemic, and for Time 2 and Time 3, they were asked the frequency with which they felt these symptoms in the past week.

Respondents were also questioned regarding general demographic information, including age (open-ended), gender (male, female, other), marital status (single, never married; widowed; divorced, separated; married), number of children under age 18 in the home (open-ended), education (Bachelor’s, Master’s, Doctoral degree), race (American Indian/Alaska Native, Black, Asian, Hispanic Latino, White, Other - could select all that apply), and income ($19,999 or less; $20,000-$39,999; $40,000-$59,999; $60,000 to $79,999; $80,000 to $99,999; $100,000 to $149,999; $150,000 or more).

Data analysis

Demographic information and outcomes of interest were summarized by time point using class frequencies and percentages for categorical variables, along with means and standard deviations for continuous variables. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to compare the time point means for depression and stress scores followed by Tukey's multiple comparisons procedure. Symptom frequency, depression score range, and stress score range frequency distributions were compared across all three time points using the chi square test of association. Following statistical significance of the overall chi square test, each pair of time points was directly compared using additional chi square tests. Two multiple regression analyses were performed at each time point to determine factors significantly associated with depression score and stress score. Statistical significance was set at 5%. All analysis was performed in SPSS v. 25 (IBM Corp) and using the GLM procedure in SAS software v. 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary NC).

Results

The demographic distribution was similar across all three time points. More than 70% of respondents at all time points were female. Only two respondents indicated their gender as "other," and this was in Time 3. Because the number of respondents for this variable was extremely low, and did not have identified respondents for all three time points, this gender option was not included in the analysis. Mean age for both males and females ranged from 42 to 44 years, and more than two-thirds of both males and females at all time points were married (Table 1). Approximately 60% of both male and female respondents at all three time points had a master's degree, a significant majority were white, both males and females indicated, on average, that they had two children, and approximately half of respondents at all three time points had an annual household income of less than $100,000.

Table 1: Demographics.

		Time 1 (Apr '20) (n=765)				Time 2 (Oct '20) (n=551)				Time 3 (Mar/Apr '21) (n=334)			
		Male		Female		Male		Female		Male		Female	
		n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
		174	22.7%	591	77.3%	122	22.0%	433	78.0%	87	25.7%	250	73.7%
Years Teaching	Less than 1 year	5	2.9%	26	4.4%	2	1.6%	7	1.6%	1	1.1%	1	0.4%
	1-5 years	22	12.6%	101	17.1%	15	12.3%	92	21.2%	14	16.1%	35	14.0%
	6-10 years	26	14.9%	91	15.4%	32	26.2%	74	17.1%	15	17.2%	62	24.8%
	More than 10 years	121	69.5%	372	63.1%	73	59.8%	260	60.0%	57	65.5%	152	60.8%
Marital status	Single/ never married	29	16.8%	99	16.8%	22	18.0%	82	19.1%	19	21.8%	48	19.3%
	Widowed/ divorced/ separated	12	6.9%	52	8.8%	9	7.4%	48	11.2%	5	5.7%	32	12.9%
	Married	132	76.3%	439	74.4%	91	74.6%	299	69.7%	63	72.4%	169	67.9%
Children	Mean (Standard Deviation)	2.2 (1.3)		2.0 (1.2)		2.0 (1.2)		1.9 (1.2)		2.0 (1.3)		2.0 (1.3)	
Age (years)	Mean (Standard Deviation)	44.2 (11.2)		42.9 (11.8)		42.3 (11.1)		42.0 (11.7)		43.5 (11.5)		42.9 (10.7)	
Education	Bachelors	70	40.2%	245	41.5%	59	48.4%	179	41.5%	43	49.4%	97	39.0%

	Master's or doctorate	104	59.8%	346	58.5%	63	51.6%	252	58.5%	44	50.6%	152	61.0%
Race	White	167	96.0%	564	95.4%	115	94.3%	410	95.6%	81	94.2%	236	95.5%
	American Indian/ Alaska Native	1	0.6%	6	1.0%	0	0.0%	6	1.4%	1	1.2%	5	2.0%
	Hispanic/Latino	2	1.1%	5	0.8%	0	0.0%	1	0.2%	-	0.0%	2	0.8%
	Asian	2	1.1%	2	0.3%	0	0.0%	2	0.5%	-	0.0%	2	0.8%
	Black	1	0.6%	-	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.2%	-	0.0%	-	0.0%
	Other	1	0.6%	14	2.4%	7	5.8%	9	2.1%	4	4.7%	2	0.8%
Income	$39,999 or less	3	1.70%	28	4.8%	2	1.7%	21	4.9%	2	2.4%	8	3.2%
	$40,000 to $59,999	28	16.20%	103	17.7%	19	15.7%	82	19.2%	14	16.5%	56	22.7%
	$60,000 to $79,999	36	20.80%	82	14.1%	26	21.5%	73	17.1%	17	20.0%	33	13.4%
	$80,000 to $99,999	38	22.00%	97	16.7%	25	20.7%	66	15.5%	11	12.9%	49	19.8%
	$100,000 to $149,999	50	28.90%	197	33.9%	37	30.6%	127	29.8%	31	36.5%	70	28.3%
	$150,000 or greater	18	10.40%	74	12.7%	12	9.9%	57	13.4%	10	11.8%	31	12.6%

Stress

Overall, the lowest amount of stress was experienced by respondents at Time 1 with a significantly lower mean stress score of 19.25 (SE = 0.25), compared to both Time 2 (mean=22.69; SE=0.29; $p<0.001$) and Time 3 (mean=20.02; SE=0.41; $p=0.016$) (Table 2). Additionally, respondents experienced a significantly higher level of stress at Time 2, compared to Time 3 ($p<0.001$). Nearly half (49.8%) of respondents had scores of 20 or higher in Time 1, indicating increased levels of stress, while more than 72% had scores of 20 higher in Time 2, with 55.8% of respondents in Time 3 having scores of 20 or higher.

Table 2: Stress and depression among K-12 teachers.

		Time 1 (April '20)		Time 2 (Oct '20)		Time 3 (Mar/Apr '21)		p-values (SE)		
		n	%	n	%	n	%	T1 to T2	T2 to T3	T1 to T3
Stress	0-9	59	7.9%	23	4.3%	34	10.0%			
	10-19	314	42.3%	128	23.7%	119	35.1%			
	20-29	326	43.9%	301	55.6%	152	44.8%			
	30-40	44	5.9%	89	16.5%	34	10.0%			
Mean (SE)		19.25 (0.25)		22.69 (0.29)		20.02 (0.41)		<0.001	<0.001	0.016
Depression	0-9	255	33.7%	130	23.6%	119	35.1%			
	10-20	414	54.8%	322	58.3%	181	53.4%			
	21-30	87	11.5%	100	18.1%	39	11.5%			
Mean (SE)		12.58 (0.23)		14.64 (0.27)		12.41 (0.35)		<0.001	<0.001	0.615

SE=standard error

Females had significantly higher stress scores than males in Time 1 (Female: 20.4 vs. Male: 19.4; p=0.013) and Time 2 (Female: 22.8 vs. Male: 20.8; p<0.001) (Figure 1, Table 3), controlling for stress, gender, years teaching, age, marital status, education, race, income and number of children. While stress scores were not significantly different in Time 3 by gender, females maintained a higher level of stress than their male counterparts (Female: 20.3 vs Male: 19.4; p=0.08). Stress scores for both males and females peaked during Time 2.



Figure 1: Mean stress scores by gender.

Table 3: Multiple regression for stress.

		Time 1 (Apr '20)			Time 2 (Oct '20)			Time 3 (Mar/Apr '21)		
		Est.	SE	p-value	Est.	SE	p-value	Est.	SE	p-value
Gender	Male	-0.08	0.36	0.830	1.14	0.38	0.003	0.45	0.47	0.334
	Female	0.00	.		0.00	.		0.00	.	
Stress	Continuous	0.71	0.02	<0.001	0.80	0.02	<0.001	0.76	0.03	<0.001
Years Teaching	Less than 1 year	-0.18	1.00	0.046	-1.80	1.40	0.512	2.20	3.84	0.330
	1-5 years	-0.95	0.57		-0.50	0.61		1.21	0.81	
	6-10 years	0.58	0.49		-0.53	0.48		-0.07	0.62	
	More than 10 years	0.00	.		0.00	.		0.00	.	
Age	Continuous	0.00	0.02	0.883	0.05	0.02	0.028	0.10	0.03	<0.001
Marital Status	Single/never married	-0.13	0.56	<0.001	0.68	0.57	0.331	0.32	0.75	0.897
	WDS*	2.33	0.61		-0.27	0.58		0.28	0.79	

	Married	0.00	.		0.00	.		0.00	.	
Education	Bachelor's	0.21	0.33	0.523	0.01	0.34	0.970	0.10	0.44	0.811
	Master's/Doctorate	0.00	.		0.00	.		0.00	.	
Race	Non-white	-2.00	0.71	0.005	0.88	0.79	0.268	1.76	0.94	0.064
	White	0.00	.		0.00	.		0.00	.	
Income	$39,999 or less	-1.63	1.04	0.202	2.78	1.09	0.057	2.37	1.54	0.186
	$40,000 to $59,999	-1.27	0.68		0.61	0.73		2.42	0.96	

Depression

The overall mean depression scores were similar in Time 1 and Time 3, with average scores of 12.41 (SE = 0.23) and 12.58 (SE = 0.35), respectively (p=0.615), and significantly higher in Time 2, with an average score of 14.62 (SE = 0.27; p<0.001) (Table 2). Approximately two-thirds of respondents had scores of 10 or higher, indicating an increased risk for a clinical level of depression in Times 1 (66.3%) and 3 (64.9%), with more than three-fourths of respondents having scores of 10 or higher in Time 2.

Males had significantly higher depression scores than females in Time 2 (Male: 15.8 vs. Female: 14.7; p=0.003), controlling for stress, gender, years teaching, age, marital status, education, race, income, and number of children (Figure 2, Table 4). While depression scores were not significantly different in Time 3 by gender, males maintained a higher level of depression than their female counterparts (Male: 13.0 vs. Female: 12.5, p=0.334). Depression scores were similar by gender for Time 1 (Male: 12.1 vs. Female: 12.2, p=0.830). Depression scores for both males and females peaked during Time 2.



Figure 2: Mean depression scores by gender.

Table 4: Multiple regression for depression.

	Time 1 (Apr '20)	Time 2 (Oct '20)	Time 3 (Mar/Apr '21)

		Est.	SE	p-value	Est.	SE	p-value	Est.	SE	p-value
Gender	Male	-0.08	0.36	0.830	1.14	0.38	**0.003**	0.45	0.47	0.334
	Female	0.00	.		0.00	.		0.00	.	
Stress	Continuous	0.71	0.02	**<0.001**	0.80	0.02	**<0.001**	0.76	0.03	**<0.001**
Years Teaching	Less than 1 year	-0.18	1.00	0.046	-1.80	1.40	0.512	2.20	3.84	0.330
	1-5 years	-0.95	0.57		-0.50	0.61		1.21	0.81	
	6-10 years	0.58	0.49		-0.53	0.48		-0.07	0.62	
	More than 10 years	0.00	.		0.00	.		0.00	.	
Age	Continuous	0.00	0.02	0.883	0.05	0.02	**0.028**	0.10	0.03	**<0.001**
Marital Status	Single/never married	-0.13	0.56	<0.001	0.68	0.57	0.331	0.32	0.75	0.897
	WDS*	2.33	0.61		-0.27	0.58		0.28	0.79	
	Married	0.00	.		0.00	.		0.00	.	
Education	Bachelor's	0.21	0.33	0.523	0.01	0.34	0.970	0.10	0.44	0.811
	Master's/Doctorate	0.00	.		0.00	.		0.00	.	
Race	Non-white	-2.00	0.71	**0.005**	0.88	0.79	0.268	1.76	0.94	0.064
	White	0.00	.		0.00	.		0.00	.	
Income	$39,999 or less	-1.63	1.04	0.202	2.78	1.09	0.057	2.37	1.54	0.186
	$40,000 to $59,999	-1.27	0.68		0.61	0.73		2.42	0.96	
	$60,000 to $79,999	-0.35	0.60		0.12	0.61		1.70	0.85	
	$80,000 to $99,999	-0.93	0.56		0.21	0.60		1.25	0.78	
	$100,000 to $149,999	-0.15	0.50		-0.46	0.53		0.58	0.70	
	$150,000 or more	0.00	.		0.00	.		0.00	.	
Children	Continuous	-0.36	0.15	**0.015**	-0.14	0.16	0.384	0.24	0.19	0.200

*Est.=Estimate; SE = Standard Error; *Widowed, divorced, separated*

Physical symptoms

Respondents were asked about the specific stress-related symptoms that they were experiencing at all three time points. Overall, feeling more tired was the most frequently experienced symptom for all three time points, followed by difficulty falling and staying asleep, headaches, teeth grinding, stomach issues, and chest pain or rapid heartbeat (Table 5).

Females were more likely than males to experience all listed stress-related symptoms across all three time points. A minimum of 70% of females indicated that they experienced the following symptoms some of the time, occasionally or all of the time for all three time points: felt more tired, had difficulty falling asleep, and had difficulty staying asleep, while the only symptom experienced by at least 70% males some of the time, occasionally, or all of the time across all three time points was felt more tired. For Time 1, there were statistically significant differences by gender for experiencing the following symptoms at least some of the time: felt more tired ($p=0.020$), difficulty falling asleep($p<0.001$), difficulty staying asleep ($p<0.001$), headaches ($p<0.001$), clenched jaw/grinding ($p<0.001$), and chest pain or rapid heart rate ($p<0.001$). For Time 2, statistically significant differences existed by gender for experiencing the following symptoms

at least some of the time: felt more tired (p=0.003), difficulty falling asleep (p=0.010), headaches (p<0.001), clenched jaw/grinding (p<0.001), and stomach issues (p=0.049). For Time 3, statistically significant differences existed by gender for experiencing the following symptoms at least some of the time: headaches (p<0.001), clenched jaw/grinding (p<0.003), and stomach issues (p=0.007).

Table 5: Physical symptoms.

		Time 1 (April '20)			Time 2 (Oct '20)			Time 3 (Mar/Apr '21)		
		Male	Female	p-value	Male	Female	p-value	Male	Female	p-value
Felt more tired	Rarely/none	19.5%	13.9%	0.020	6.6%	3.2%	0.003	10.3%	6.8%	0.430
	SOA	80.5%	86.1%		93.4%	96.8%		89.7%	93.2%	
Difficulty falling asleep	Rarely/none	31.0%	16.0%	<0.001	23.0%	17.4%	0.010	27.6%	20.8%	0.325
	SOA	69.0%	84.0%		77.0%	82.6%		72.4%	79.2%	
Difficulty staying asleep	Rarely/none	31.0%	20.2%	<0.001	21.3%	16.0%	0.240	29.9%	18.8%	0.140
	SOA	69.0%	79.8%		78.7%	84.0%		70.1%	81.2%	
Headaches	Rarely/none	48.3%	28.6%	<0.001	36.1%	20.1%	<0.001	50.6%	29.2%	<0.001
	SOA	51.7%	71.4%		63.9%	79.9%		49.4%	70.8%	
Clenched jaw or grinding teeth	Rarely/none	62.4%	44.2%	<0.001	52.5%	35.0%	<0.001	58.1%	36.4%	0.003
	SOA	37.6%	55.8%		47.5%	65.0%		41.9%	63.6%	
Stomach issues	Rarely/none	64.9%	54.2%	0.084	50.0%	39.5%	0.049	60.9%	43.8%	0.007
	SOA	35.1%	45.8%		50.0%	60.5%		39.1%	56.2%	
Chest pain or rapid heartbeat	Rarely/none	75.9%	58.5%	<0.001	61.5%	51.2%	0.055	70.1%	54.2%	0.067
	SOA	24.1%	41.5%		38.5%	48.8%		29.9%	45.8%	
Cold or sweaty hands or feet	Rarely/none	81.0%	74.3%	0.183	76.2%	67.9%	0.370	76.7%	65.1%	0.258
	SOA	19.0%	25.7%		23.8%	32.1%		23.3%	34.9%	
Dry mouth or difficulty swallowing	Rarely/none	85.1%	76.6%	0.122	73.0%	63.2%	0.068	76.7%	66.1%	0.318
	SOA	14.9%	23.4%		27.0%	36.8%		23.3%	33.9%	
Trembling or shaking hands	Rarely/none	85.6%	83.1%	0.526	82.0%	75.5%	0.274	77.9%	79.1%	0.735
	SOA	14.4%	16.9%		18.0%	24.5%		22.1%	20.9%	

Discussion

The current study examined the gender differences from the long-term impacts of rapid and continuous changes in teaching and classroom management requirements due to COVID-19 on the mental health of K-12 teachers during the first year of the pandemic. Prior to COVID-19,

depression and stress were the most common mental health issues for teachers for both men and women (Uzman & Telef, 2015), often resulting from general workload issues such as increased grading requirements and addressing student behaviour (Davidson, 2009). While COVID-19 brought forth new stressors, such as requiring teachers to rapidly shift curriculum online using technology with which they might not have had previous experience, prior stressors were still present, resulting in dramatic increases in teacher stress and depression, but with differences seen by gender.

In regard to stress, this study shows that in general, teachers experienced stress at levels higher than those seen in the general population throughout the first year of the pandemic, with teacher stress levels spiking in October 2020. Less than one month after schools closed due to the pandemic in March 2020, the mean PSS-10 score for teachers was 19.25. This stress level was higher than levels found in the general North-American population using the same scale (Teachers: 19.25 vs. North America:16.8) (Gamonal-Limcaoco et al., 2021). The participant stress scores peaked at 22.69 during October 2020, with many schools in ND and MN continuing to teach online at this time (Lonetree, 2020). Although the average stress level for the study participants decreased to 20.02 at the end of the 2020-2021 school year (March/April 2021), it should be noted that a score of 20 or higher is an indicator of increased levels of stress (Sharp Donahoo et al., 2018), and at all three time points, at least half of the participants in the study were experiencing these heightened levels of stress.

When examined by gender, both male and female teachers were experiencing stress at levels higher than those seen in the general population for all three time points (Gamonal-Limcaoco et al., 2021). Women were experiencing stress to a greater extent than their male counterparts. There are many reasons as to why women, specifically teachers, may be experiencing higher rates of stress. This increase may be the result of work-life balance challenges, as discussed previously (Doble & Supria, 2010; Power, 2020). Research related to gender roles and caregiving, as well as workforce issues, have found that women shoulder the brunt of the responsibility for health-related decisions within families (Matoff-Stepp et al., 2014), and that they are often the ones to stay at home with sick children (Ranji & Salganicoff, 2014). Additionally, when it comes to taking care of aging parents, women are more likely than men to take on the role of caregiver (Swinkels et al., 2017). These gender-based issues, coupled with the added stress of having to teach from home, or teach online, as well as transitioning lesson plans, and ensuring that students were keeping up with schoolwork (Lagat, 2021) made the COVID-19 pandemic a perfect storm, bringing each of these gender-based and teaching issues to the forefront simultaneously. This resulted in female teachers experiencing increased levels of stress, as compared to their male counterparts. Stress, especially heightened levels, can impact teacher job satisfaction (Klassen & Chiu, 2010), teaching effectiveness (Trendall, 1989), and overall mental and physical health (De Simone et al., 2016; Shernoff et al., 2011). Future research should continue to track stress among female teachers. However, studies should also be conducted regarding the ongoing long-term impact of experiencing heightened levels of stress on the overall well-being of this population.

In addition, there was a focus on depression levels among teachers. Participant depression levels mirrored those of stress. At the beginning of the pandemic (March 2020), the average CESD-R-10 depression score for teachers was 12.58. This level of depression was higher than levels found in the general U.S. population during the same time period (Teachers: 12.58 vs. U.S.:10.49) (Dayton et al., 2021). The depression scores for study participants peaked at 14.64 in October 2020. Although depression scores dropped to 12.41 in March/April 2021, approaching the end of the 2020-2021 school year, it should be noted that scores of 10 or higher indicate an increased risk

of heightened levels of depression (Dayton et al., 2021). In essence, teachers in this study experienced heightened levels of depression for at least the first year of the pandemic.

When examined by gender, it was found that both male and female teachers were experiencing depression at levels higher than those seen in the general population (Dayton et al., 2021). However, while women were experiencing higher levels of stress than men, men were experiencing higher levels of depression than women. These results differ from other research focused on depression among teachers, which tended to find that female teachers were often experiencing higher levels of depression than men (Ozamaiz-Etxebarria et al., 2021; Santamaria et al., 2021). Men have fewer close relationships than women, including fewer friendships, and are less likely than women to reach out to friends via phone or text to connect and maintain friendships (Shaw et al., 2014). Additionally, many male friendships are often focused on some type of activity (Shaw et al., 2014). Due to the required social distancing recommended during the first year of the pandemic, men may have had a difficult time sustaining their friendships and other social connections, since they were not able to participate in activities with others, ultimately impacting their overall mental health, and resulting in increases in depression. As stated earlier, teacher depression can lead to reduced teaching effectiveness in the classroom (Ripski et al., 2011), and the increased levels of depression experienced by both men and women can have an impact on classroom interactions with students.

The heightened levels of stress and depression among both male and female teachers manifested through many symptoms for both populations. Sleep issues were most common for both men and women, as were headaches. The physical manifestations of mental health can be seen in all aspects of an individual's life (Worley, 2018). Sleep is imperative to maintain healthy functioning, including overall attention, cognition, and mood (Worley, 2018). Sleep deprivation, or lack of quality sleep, can impact psychological well-being, often resulting in increased levels of stress, depression, and anxiety (Worley, 2018). Therefore, in this respect, sleep issues resulting from increased stress and depression, can result in the increased likelihood of experiencing mental health issues, which may lead to additional sleep issues – a never-ending cycle.

Recommendations

Teachers, both men and women, have been experiencing heightened levels of stress and depression since the start of the pandemic, due in part to changes in teaching requirements that were put in place to prevent the community spread of COVID-19 (Pressley et al., 2021; Santamaría et al., 2021). The burnout potential for the participants, who have experienced these increased levels of stress and depression for an extended period of time, is concerning, especially for women (Pressley, 2021). School districts need to recognize the different experiences of both men and women, and take action to guide their teachers through this unprecedented mental-health crisis. It is important that school districts continually monitor teachers for stress and depression (Pressley et al., 2021). Additionally, providing training to identify appropriate coping mechanisms can be beneficial, as it has been found that the use of coping mechanisms can provide a way to reduce stress, or use it in a positive manner, which can buffer the negative consequences associated with stress (Mujtaba & Rice, 2013). Mindfulness training has also been shown to reduce levels of stress and depression among teachers, and could be a beneficial addition to required professional development for teachers (Roeser et al., 2013).

Moreover, as the pandemic caused many schools to transition their class content, assignments, and delivery methods online, increased levels of teacher stress and anxiety were the consequences (Pressley, 2021). As schools continue to integrate technology within the classroom, schools need to provide technology training to help alleviate the residual stress and anxiety

teachers have from teaching online during COVID. Many teachers did not have the technological background and knowledge for teaching online (Klapproth et al., 2020). Providing technological training for teachers can assist in reducing stress levels from this issue (Califf & Brooks, 2020). Additionally, training in coping strategies to manage increased requirements to use digital technology can also be effective at reducing levels of anxiety and stress (Toto & Limone 2021).

Limitations

While the study is of great importance and adds to the literature related to the impact of COVID-19 on educators, there are limitations. First, the findings are not generalizable to educators nationally, since the study was focused on a small geographic region of the U.S. in the upper mid-west. Additionally, the initial survey was not intended to be a longitudinal study, and did not use a matched sample, so the results cannot be compared for individual respondents over time, but rather, constituted a series of three cross-sectional surveys. Non-response bias was also a potential issue for this study, as participation was voluntary, and those who completed the surveys may have had different experiences with teaching and COVID-19, than those who decided not to participate. A final limitation is the attrition of study participants. There was a reduction in surveys completed between each of the data collection time points.

Conclusion

Prior to COVID-19, teachers experienced high levels of stress and depression, both of which have only increased during the pandemic. As constant stress and depression can lead to burnout, school districts need to be aware of the causes of mental-health issues among their teachers. Although this study found that women experienced higher levels of stress, and males experienced higher levels of depression, it is important to note that both genders experienced levels higher than the general population, as well as at a level of a possible clinical diagnosis. Therefore, schools need to provide supports and resources to their teachers to help them cope with these mental-health issues. This may include providing professional development on coping strategies, social-emotional learning for teachers, or a reduction of school initiatives to allow teachers more time to focus on their lessons. School districts also need to ensure they are continuing to monitor stress and depression among their teachers.

Declaration of Interest Statement
No potential competing interest was reported by the authors.

Author Bios

Dr. Andrea Huseth-Zosel is an associate professor in the Department of Public Health at North Dakota State University.
Dr. Sarah Crary is an assistant professor in the School of Education at North Dakota State University.
Dr. Megan Orr is an associate professor in the Department of Statistics at North Dakota State University.

References

American Psychiatric Association. (n.d.). What is depression? https://www.psychiatry.org/patients-families/depression/what-is-depression

Björgvinsson, T., Kertz, S. J., Bigda-Peyton J. S., McCoy K. L., & Aderka, I. M. (2013). Psychometric properties of the CES-D-10 in a psychiatric sample. *Assessment*, *20*(4), 429-436. https://doi.org/10.1177/1073191113481998

Chang, M. L. (2009). An appraisal perspective of teacher burnout: Examining the emotional work of teachers. *Educational Psychology Review, 21*(3), 193-218. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-009-9106-y

Califf, C. B., & Brooks, S. (2020). An empirical study of techno-stressors, literacy facilitation, burnout, and turnover intention as experienced by K-12 teachers. *Computers & Education, 157*. https://doi.org/0.1016/j.compedu.2020.103971

Clark, S., McGrane, A., Boyle, N., Joksimovic, N., Burke, L., Rock, N., & O'Sullivan, K. (2021). "You're a teacher you're a mother, you're a worker": Gender inequality during COVID-19 in Ireland. *Gender, Work & Organization, 28*(4), 1352-1362. https://doi.org/10.1111/gwao.12611

Cohen, S., Kamarck, T., & Mermelstein R. (1994). Perceived stress scale. Measuring stress: A guide for health and social scientists. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior, 24*, 386-96.

Collie, R. J., & Martin, A. J. (2017). Teachers' sense of adaptability: Examining links with perceived autonomy support, teachers' psychological functioning, and students' numeracy achievement. *Learning and Individual Difference*s, *55*, 29-39. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2017.03.003

Coverman, S. (1989). Role overload, role conflict, and stress: Addressing consequences of multiple role demands. *Social Forces, 67*(4), 965–982. https://doi.org/10.2307/2579710

Dave, J. D., & Purohit, H. (2016). Work life balance and perception: A conceptual framework. *The Clarion, 1*(1), 98-104.

Davidson, K. V. (2009). Challenges contributing to teacher stress and burnout. *Southeastern Teacher Education Journal, 2*(2). 47-56.

Dayton, L., Schneider, K., Strickland, J. C., & Latkin, C. (2021). Determinants of worry using the SARS-CoV-19 worry (CoV-Wo) scale among United States residents. *Journal of Community Psychology, 49*(5). 1487-1504. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcop.22577

Decker, S., Peele, H., Riser-Kositsky, M., Kim, H. Y., & Harris, E. (2020). The coronavirus spring: The historic closing of US schools. *Education Week*. https://www.edweek.org/leadership/the-coronavirus-spring-the-historic-closing-of-u-s-schools-a-timeline/2020/07

De Simone, S., Cicotto, G., & Lampis, J. (2016). Occupational stress, job satisfaction and physical health in teachers. *European Review of Applied Psychology, 66*(2), 65-77. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erap.2016.03.002

Diliberti, M., Schwartz, H. L., & Grant, D. M. (2021). *Stress topped the reasons why public school teachers quit, even before COVID-19*. Rand.

Doble, N., & Supriya, M. V. (2010). Gender differences in the perception of work-life balance. *Managing Global Transitions: International Research Journal, 8*(4).

Donohue, J. M., & Miller, E. (2020). COVID-19 and school closures. *JAMA, 324*(9), 845-847. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.13092

Economic Research Service (n.d.a). Rural-urban continuum codes. https://www.ers.usda.gov/data-products/rural-urban-continuum-codes.aspx

Economic Research Service (n.d.b). What is rural? https://www.ers.usda.gov/topics/rural-economy-population/rural-classifications/what-is-rural.aspx

Elbay, R. Y., Kurtulmuş, A., Arpacıoğlu, S., & Karadere, E. (2020). Depression, anxiety, stress levels of physicians and associated factors in Covid-19 pandemics. *Psychiatry Research*, *290*, 113130. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2020.113130

Ferren, M. (2021). *Social and emotional supports for educators during and after the pandemic*. Center for American Progress. https://www.americanprogress.org/article/social-emotional-supports-educators-pandemic/

Gamonal-Limcaoco, S., Montero-Mateos, E., Lozano-López, M. T., Maciá-Casas, A., Matías-Fernández, J., & Roncero. C. (2021). Perceived stress in different countries at the beginning of the coronavirus pandemic. *The International Journal of Psychiatry in Medicine*. https://doi.org/10.1177/00912174211033710

Goldberg, S. B. (2021). *Education in a pandemic: The disparate impacts of COVID-19 on America's students*. U.S. Department of Education.

Hamel, L., & Salganicoff, A. (2020). *Is there a widening gender gap in coronavirus stress?* https://www.kff.org/policy-watch/isthere-widening-gender-gap-in-coronavirus-stress/#:~:text¼One%20gender%20gap%20has%20gotten,37%25

Hammen, C. (2005). Stress and depression. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology, 1*, 293-319. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.clinpsy.1.102803.143938

Hamre, B. K., & Pianta., R. C. (2004) Self-reported depression in nonfamilial caregivers: Prevalence and associations with caregiver behavior in child-care settings. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly, 19*(2), 297-318. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecresq.2004.04.006

Herman, K. C., Hickmon-Rosa, J. E., & Reinke, W. M. (2018). Empirically derived profiles of teacher stress, burnout, self-efficacy, and coping and associated student outcomes. *Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions, 20*(2), 90-100. https://doi.org/10.1177/1098300717732066

Hiebert, B., & Farber, I. (1984). Teacher stress: A literature survey with a few surprises. *Canadian Journal of Education/Revue Canadienne de l'education,* 14-27. https://doi.org/10.2307/1494447

IBM Corp. Released 2017. *IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 25.0*. IBM Corp.

Johnson, S., Cooper, C., Cartwright, S., Donald, I., Taylor, P., & Millet, C. (2005). The experience of work-related stress across occupations. *Journal of Managerial Psychology, 20*(2), 178-18. https://doi.org/10.1108/02683940510579803

Jones, N. D., Camburn, E., Kelcey, B., & Quintero, E. (2021). Teachers' time use and affect before and after COVID-19 school closures. https://wheelockpolicycenter.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/07/COVID_TeacherTime_WP-1.pdf

Kara, S. B. K., Günes, D. Z., & Tüysüzer, B. S. (2021). Work-family conflict during working from home due to pandemic: A qualitative research on female teachers. *International Journal of Curriculum and Instruction, 13*(1), 251-273.

Klapproth, F., Federkeil, L., Heinschke, F., & Jungmann. T. (2020) Teachers' experiences of stress and their coping strategies during COVID-19 induced distance teaching. *Journal of Pedagogical Research, 4*(4), 444-452. https://doi.org/10.33902/JPR.2020062805

Klassen, R. M., & Chiu, M. M. (2010). Effects on teachers' self-efficacy and job satisfaction:

Teacher gender, years of experience, and job stress. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 102*(3), 741-756. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0019237

Krishnamachari, B., Morris, A., Zastrow, D., Dsida, A., Harper, B., & Santella. A. J. (2021). The role of mask mandates, stay at home orders and school closure in curbing the COVID-19 pandemic prior to vaccination. *American Journal of Infection Control, 49*(8), 1036-1042. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2021.02.002

Lagat, K. T. (2021). Factors affecting teachers' resiliency amidst the COVID-19 pandemic. *Recoletos Multidisciplinary Research Journal, 9*(1), 133-145. https://doi.org/10.32871/rmrj2109.01.12

Leo, A., Holdsworth, E. A., Wilcox, K. C., Khan, M. I., Ávila, J. A. M., & Tobin, J. (2022). Gendered impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic: a mixed-method study of teacher stress and work-life balance. *Community, Work & Family, 25*(5), 682-703.

Lohmann, M. J., Randolph, K. M., & Oh. J. H. (2021) Classroom management strategies for hyflex instruction: Setting students up for success in the hybrid environment. *Early Childhood Education Journal, 49*(5), 807-814. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10643-021-01201-5

Lonetree, A. (2020). Minnesota teachers juggling online, in-person classes fight stress in pandemic. *The Star Tribune*. https://www.startribune.com/minnesota-teachers-at-breaking-point-over-pandemic-stress/572897601/

Markowitz, A. J., & Mayaris. D. (2021). Spikes in teacher depression during the pandemic: Evidence from Virginia early educators. https://files.elfsightcdn.com/022b8cb9-839c-4bc2-992e-cefccb8e877e/a2417e02-9ed9-4e1e-8f0f-63b61c1ee8b4.pdf

Marks, H. (2020). *Stress symptoms*. https://www.webmd.com/balance/stress-management/stress-symptoms-effects_of-stress-on-the-body.

Matoff-Stepp, S., Applebaum, B., Pooler, J., & Kavanagh, E. (2014). Women as health care decision-makers: implications for health care coverage in the United States. *Journal of Health Care for the Poor and Underserved, 25*(4), 1507-1513. https://doi.org/10.1353/hpu.2014.0154

Mujtaba, T., & Reiss. M. (2013) Factors that lead to positive or negative stress in secondary school teachers of mathematics and science. *Oxford Review of Education, 39*(5), 627-648. https://doi.org/10.1080/03054985.2013.840279

MN Exec. Order No. 20-19 (2020, March 25). https://mn.gov/governor/assets/2a.%20EO%2020-19%20FINAL%20SIGNED%20Filed_tcm1055-425019.pdf

ND Exec. Order No 2020-10 (March 22, 2020). https://www.governor.nd.gov/sites/www/files/documents/executive-orders/Executive%20Order%202020-10.pdf

Ozamiz-Etxebarria, N., Santxo, N. B., Mondragon, N. I., & Santamaría, M. D. (2021). The psychological state of teachers during the COVID-19 crisis: The challenge of returning to face-to-face teaching. *Frontiers in Psychology, 11*.

Power, K. (2020). The COVID-19 pandemic has increased the care burden of women and families. *Sustainability: Science, Practice and Policy, 16*(1), 67-73. https://doi.org/10.1080/1548733.20202.1776561

Pressley, T., Ha, C., & Learn, E. (2021). Teacher stress and anxiety during COVID-19: An empirical study. *School Psychology, 36*(5), 367–376. https://doi.org/10.1037/spq0000468

Pressley, T. (2021). Factors contributing to teacher burnout during COVID-19. *Educational Researcher, 50*(5), 325-327. https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189X211004138

Ranji, U., & Salganicoff, A. (2014). Balancing on shaky ground: Women, work, and family health. Data Note, Henry J. Kaiser Family Foundation.

Reichelt, M., Makovi, K., & Sargsyan, A. (2021). The impact of COVID-19 on gender inequality in the labor market and gender-role attitudes. *European Societies, 23*(sup1), S228-S245. https://doi.org/10.1080/14616696.2020.1823010

Ripski, M. B., LoCasale-Crouch, J., & Decker, L. (2011). Pre-service teachers: Dispositional traits, emotional states, and quality of teacher-student interactions. *Teacher Education Quarterly, 38*(2), 77-96.

Robinson, L. E., Valido, A., Drescher, A., Woolweaver, A. B., Espelage, D. L., LoMurray, S., ... & Dailey, M. M. (2023). Teachers, stress, and the COVID-19 pandemic: A qualitative analysis. *School Mental Health, 15*(1), 78-89. https://doi.org.10.1007/s12310-022-09533-2

Roeser, R. W., Schonert-Reichl, K. A., Jha, A., Cullen, M., Wallace, L., Wilensky, R., ... & Harrison, J. (2013). Mindfulness training and reductions in teacher stress and burnout: Results from two randomized, waitlist-control field trials. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 105*(3), 787-804. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0032093

Sangeeta, & Tandon, U. (2020). Factors influencing adoption of online teaching by school teachers: A study during COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of Public Affairs, 21*(4), 1-11. https://doi.org/10.1002/pa.2503

Santamaría, M. D., Mondragon, N. I., Santxo, N. B., & Ozamiz-Etxebarria, N. (2021). Teacher stress, anxiety and depression at the beginning of the academic year during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Global Mental Health, 8*(14), 1-8. https://doi.org/10.1017/gmh.2021.14

Sharp Donahoo, L. M., Siegrist, B., & Garrett-Wright, D. (2018). Addressing compassion fatigue and stress of special education teachers and professional staff using mindfulness and prayer. *The Journal of School Nursing, 34*(6), 442-448.

Shaw, R., Gullifer, J., & Shaw, R. (2014). "I think it's a communal thing": Men's friendships in later life. *The Journal of Men's Studies, 22*(1), 34-52. https://doi.org/10.3149/jms.2201.34

Shernoff, E. S., Mehta, T. G., Atkins, M. S., Torf, R., & Spencer, J. (2011). A qualitative study of the sources and impact of stress among urban teachers. *School Mental Health, 3*(2), 59-69. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12310-011-9051-z

Shin, H., Noh, H., Jang, Y., Park, Y. M., & Lee, S. M. (2013). A longitudinal examination of the relationship between teacher burnout and depression. *Journal of Employment Counseling, 50*(3), 124-137. https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2161-1920.2013.00031.x

Silva, D. F. O., Cobucci, R. N., Lima, S. C. V. C., & de Andrade, F. B. (2021). Prevalence of anxiety, depression, and stress among teachers during the COVID-19 pandemic: A PRISMA-compliant systematic review. *Medicine*, 100(44). https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.0000000000027684

Steiner, E. D., & Woo, A. (2021). Job-related stress threatens the teacher supply: Key finding from the 2021 State of the US teacher survey. Technical appendixes. *Research Report. RR-A1108-1.* Rand Corporation. https://doi.org/10.7249/RRA1108-1

Swinkels, J., Tilburg, T. V., Verbakel, E., & Broese van Groenou, M. (2019). Explaining the gender gap in the caregiving burden of partner caregivers. *The Journals of Gerontology: Series B: Psychological Sciences and Social Sciences, 74*(2), 309-317. https://doi.org/10.1093/geronb/gbx036

Toto, G. A., & Limone, P. (2021). Motivation, stress and impact of online teaching on Italian teachers during COVID-19. *Computers, 10*(6), 75.

https://doi.org/10.3390/computers10060075

Trendall, C. (1989). Stress in teaching and teacher effectiveness: A study of teachers across mainstream and special education. *Educational Research, 31*(1), 52-58. https://doi.org/10.1080/0013188890310106

Tsai, E., Fung, L., & Chow, L. (2006). Sources and manifestations of stress in female kindergarten teachers. *International Education Journal, 7*(3), 364-370.

Uzman, E., & Telef, B. B. (2015). Prospective teachers' mental health and their help-seeking behaviours. Dusunen Adam: *Journal of Psychiatry & Neurological Sciences, 28*(3), 242-254. https://doi.org/10.5350/DAJPN2015280307

Van Dam, N. T., & Earleywine, M. (2011). Validation of the center for epidemiologic studies depression scale—Revised (CESD-R): Pragmatic depression assessment in the general population. *Psychiatry Research, 186*(1), 128-132. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2010.08.018

Wentzel, K. R. (2010). Students' relationships with teachers. In *Handbook of research on schools, schooling and human development* (pp. 93-109). Routledge.

Westphal, A., Kalinowski, E., Hoferichter, C. J., & Vock, M. (2022). K− 12 teachers' stress and burnout during the COVID-19 pandemic: A systematic review. *Frontiers in psychology, 13*, 920326.

Worley, S. L. (2018). The extraordinary importance of sleep: The detrimental effects of inadequate sleep on health and public safety drive an explosion of sleep research. *Pharmacy and Therapeutics, 43*(12), 758-763.

Young, J., & Donovan, W. (2020). *Shifting to online learning in the COVID-19 spring. Policy Brief.* Pioneer Institute for Public Policy Research. https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED604252.pdf

Zamarro, G., & Prados, M. J. (2021). Gender differences in couples' division of childcare, work and mental health during COVID-19. *Review of Economics of the Household, 19*(1), 11-40. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11150-020-09534-7