



Ten ways to get a grip on designing and implementing a competency-based medical education training program

Dix conseils pour réussir la conception et la mise en oeuvre d'un programme d'éducation médicale axée sur les compétences

Tina Hsu, Flávia De Angelis, Sohaib Al-Asaad, Sanraj K Basi, Anna Tomiak, Debjani Grenier, Nazik Hammad, Jan-Willem Henning, Scott Berry, Xinni Song et Som D Mukherjee

Volume 12, numéro 2, 2021

URI : <https://id.erudit.org/iderudit/1077197ar>
DOI : <https://doi.org/10.36834/cmej.70723>

[Aller au sommaire du numéro](#)

Éditeur(s)

Canadian Medical Education Journal

ISSN

1923-1202 (numérique)

[Découvrir la revue](#)

Citer ce document

Hsu, T., De Angelis, F., Al-Asaad, S., Basi, S., Tomiak, A., Grenier, D., Hammad, N., Henning, J.-W., Berry, S., Song, X. & Mukherjee, S. (2021). Ten ways to get a grip on designing and implementing a competency-based medical education training program. *Canadian Medical Education Journal / Revue canadienne de l'éducation médicale*, 12(2), e81–e87. <https://doi.org/10.36834/cmej.70723>

Résumé de l'article

Contexte : Partout dans le monde, on observe une tendance en faveur de l'éducation médicale axée sur les compétences (EMAC) à tous les niveaux du système d'éducation médicale. Une intervention complexe comme l'élaboration d'un programme d'EMAC représente un important changement de paradigme qui nécessite l'implication de plusieurs parties prenantes.

Méthode : L'objectif de cet article est de partager des conseils dégagés par les auteurs d'une revue de la littérature et de leur propre expérience afin d'aider les éducateurs à mieux s'orienter dans cette entreprise de taille qu'est la mise en œuvre de l'EMAC et à éviter les écueils.

Résultats : Une planification minutieuse avant, pendant et après la transition des programmes vers l'EMAC contribue à garantir son succès. L'implication des principales parties prenantes, telles que les stagiaires, le corps enseignant, les membres du comité du programme de résidence et l'administrateur du programme, avant et pendant la mise en œuvre est essentielle. La sélection attentive des éléments clés, comme les activités professionnelles fiables, les évaluations et l'utilisation appropriée de l'observation directe, favorisera l'adoption de l'EMAC. Des tests pilotes peuvent permettre la participation du corps professoral et des apprenants, et à déceler les problèmes logistiques qui peuvent entraver la mise en œuvre. Les conseillers pédagogiques, le recours à la cartographie des programmes d'études et le repérage et la mobilisation de ressources locales peuvent faciliter la mise en œuvre des programmes d'EMAC. L'évaluation planifiée de ces programmes est importante pour garantir que les choix faits lors de leur conception et mise en œuvre aboutissent aux résultats souhaités.

Conclusion : Puisque la transition vers l'EMAC peut comporter de nombreux défis, elle peut néanmoins être opérée avec succès grâce à une conception et une planification stratégique minutieuses.

© Tina Hsu, Flávia De Angelis, Sohaib Al-Asaad, Sanraj K Basi, Anna Tomiak, Debjani Grenier, Nazik Hammad, Jan-Willem Henning, Scott Berry, Xinni Song et Som D Mukherjee, 2021



Ce document est protégé par la loi sur le droit d'auteur. L'utilisation des services d'Érudit (y compris la reproduction) est assujettie à sa politique d'utilisation que vous pouvez consulter en ligne.

<https://apropos.erudit.org/fr/usagers/politique-dutilisation/>

Cet article est diffusé et préservé par Érudit.

Érudit est un consortium interuniversitaire sans but lucratif composé de l'Université de Montréal, l'Université Laval et l'Université du Québec à Montréal. Il a pour mission la promotion et la valorisation de la recherche.

<https://www.erudit.org/fr/>

Ten ways to get a grip on designing and implementing a competency-based medical education training program

Dix conseils pour réussir la conception et la mise en œuvre d'un programme d'éducation médicale axée sur les compétences

Tina Hsu,¹ Flávia De Angelis,² Sohaib Al-Asaaed,³ Sanraj K Basi,⁴ Anna Tomiak,⁵ Debjani Grenier,⁶ Nazik Hammad,⁵ Jan-Willem Henning,⁷ Scott Berry,⁵ Xinni Song,¹ Som D Mukherjee⁸

¹Division of Medical Oncology, University of Ottawa, Ontario, Canada; ²University of Sherbrooke medical oncology program; Department of Medicine, Sherbrooke University, Quebec, Canada; ³Division of Medical Oncology, Memorial University of Newfoundland and Labrador, Newfoundland and Labrador, Canada; ⁴Department of Oncology, University of Alberta, Alberta, Canada; ⁵Department of Oncology, Queen's University, Ontario, Canada; ⁶Section of Medical Oncology and Hematology, University of Manitoba, Manitoba, Canada; ⁷Division of Medical Oncology, University of Calgary, Alberta, Canada; ⁸Department of Oncology, McMaster University, Hamilton ON, Canada

Correspondence to: Tina Hsu MD, FRCPC, Division of Medical Oncology, The Ottawa Hospital Cancer Centre, 501 Smyth Road, Ottawa, Canada; fax: 613 247 3511; phone: 613 737 7700 x70185; email: thsu@toh.on.ca; Twitter handle: @THsuMD

Published ahead of issue: February 24, 2021; published April 30, 2021. CMEJ 2021, 12(2) Available at <http://www.cmej.ca>

© 2021 Hsu, De-Angelis, Al-Asaaed, Basi, Tomiak, Grenier, Hammad, Henning, Berry, Song, Mukherjee; licensee Synergies Partners

<https://doi.org/10.36834/cmej.70723>. This is an Open Journal Systems article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License.

(<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0>) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is cited.

Abstract

Background: Globally there is a move to adopt competency-based medical education (CBME) at all levels of the medical training system. Implementation of a complex intervention such as CBME represents a marked paradigm shift involving multiple stakeholders.

Methods: This article aims to share tips, based on review of the available literature and the authors' experiences, that may help educators implementing CBME to more easily navigate this major undertaking and avoid "black ice" pitfalls that educators may encounter.

Results: Careful planning prior to, during and post implementation will help programs transition successfully to CBME. Involvement of key stakeholders, such as trainees, teaching faculty, residency training committee members, and the program administrator, prior to and throughout implementation of CBME is critical. Careful and selective choice of key design elements including Entrustable Professional Activities, assessments and appropriate use of direct observation will enhance successful uptake of CBME. Pilot testing may help engage faculty and learners and identify logistical issues that may hinder implementation. Academic advisors, use of curriculum maps, and identifying and leveraging local resources may help facilitate implementation. Planned evaluation of CBME is important to ensure choices made during the design and implementation of CBME result in the desired outcomes.

Conclusion: Although the transition to CBME is challenging, successful implementation can be facilitated by careful design and strategic planning.

Résumé

Contexte : Partout dans le monde, on observe une tendance en faveur de l'éducation médicale axée sur les compétences (EMAC) à tous les niveaux du système d'éducation médicale. Une intervention complexe comme l'élaboration d'un programme d'EMAC représente un important changement de paradigme qui nécessite l'implication de plusieurs parties prenantes.

Méthode : L'objectif de cet article est de partager des conseils dégagés par les auteurs d'une revue de la littérature et de leur propre expérience afin d'aider les éducateurs à mieux s'orienter dans cette entreprise de taille qu'est la mise en œuvre de l'EMAC et à éviter les écueils.

Résultats : Une planification minutieuse avant, pendant et après la transition des programmes vers l'EMAC contribue à garantir son succès. L'implication des principales parties prenantes, telles que les stagiaires, le corps enseignant, les membres du comité du programme de résidence et l'administrateur du programme, avant et pendant la mise en œuvre est essentielle. La sélection attentive des éléments clés, comme les activités professionnelles fiables, les évaluations et l'utilisation appropriée de l'observation directe, favorisera l'adoption de l'EMAC. Des tests pilotes peuvent permettre la participation du corps professoral et des apprenants, et à déceler les problèmes logistiques qui peuvent entraver la mise en œuvre. Les conseillers pédagogiques, le recours à la cartographie des programmes d'études et le repérage et la mobilisation de ressources locales peuvent faciliter la mise en œuvre des programmes d'EMAC. L'évaluation planifiée de ces programmes est importante pour garantir que les choix faits lors de leur conception et mise en œuvre aboutissent aux résultats souhaités.

Conclusion : Puisque la transition vers l'EMAC peut comporter de nombreux défis, elle peut néanmoins être opérée avec succès grâce à une conception et une planification stratégique minutieuses.

Introduction

Medical training programs worldwide are transitioning to competency-based medical education (CBME).^{1,2} The key principles of CBME include explicit delineation of expected knowledge and skills, focusing on observable outcomes, de-emphasizing time-based training, and ensuring more frequent formative assessments.³ Key components common to CBME include Entrustable Professional Activities (EPAs) and milestones (Table 1). An Entrustable Professional Activity (EPA) is a unit of professional work, consisting of knowledge, skills and attitudes (competencies) that can be entrusted to a learner for unsupervised execution.⁴ Milestones represent developmental steps that mark progression in a competency which learners must demonstrate as they progress through training.^{1,5} Regular review of trainees' progress during training is monitored and adjudicated by a competence committee to determine whether competency within each EPA has been achieved.

CBME has been implemented in many countries and educational systems. In Canada, CBME has been implemented by the Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons of Canada in the form of Competence-by-Design (CBD), in which trainees progress through four stages of training (Transition to Discipline, Foundations, Core, Transition to Practice).⁶ Each stage of training includes specific EPAs, which trainees must achieve prior to progressing to the next stage. In Canada, all training programs in a specialty transition to CBD simultaneously and have a commonly agreed upon set of EPAs and milestones. Currently, there have been four cohorts (28 of 39 specialties) which have transitioned to CBD as of July 2020.

Implementation of a complex intervention such as CBME represents a marked paradigm shift involving multiple programs and stakeholders, including trainees, teaching faculty, residency training program committee members, and program administrators. Although the key concepts and elements of CBME have been defined, national specialty committees and individual programs are given the responsibility of determining how best to adapt and implement CBME in their specific environments and residency programs. The road to implementation can be bumpy and educators must be prepared to navigate the visible "potholes" as well as unexpected "black ice." Common "black ice" issues that educators may encounter include: skepticism regarding need for change and

potential benefits of CBME to trainee education; overly ambitious requirements for direct observation and complex assessment plans; limitations in resources and time for implementation of change; and feasibility of integration into daily clinic flow. Careful preparation enables educators to avoid and manage unexpected challenges and help facilitate a smoother journey to the destination.

As members of the medical oncology national committee, our group of medical oncology residency program directors and educators were early adopters of CBME in Canada, one of the first non-surgical specialties and just the second cohort in Canada. Through a series of workshops, the group developed subspecialty specific EPAs and milestones and worked to get a grip on designing and implementing CBME. In this article, we provide ten ways to get a grip on designing and implementing a CBME training program, sharing our collective experiences, successes and challenges to help other specialties avoid common patches of "black ice" as they transition to CBME.

No Research and Ethics Board approval was required.

Table 1. Definitions and examples of key terms in Competency-Based Medical Education

Term	Definition	Example
Entrustable Professional Activity	Unit of professional work Consists of competencies that can be entrusted to a learner for unsupervised practice	Perform a consultation Deliver serious news to a patient
Competency	Knowledge, skills and attitudes	Take a patient history
Milestone	Developmental steps that mark progression in a competency	Describe the elements of a history ↓ Gather relevant information from a patient encounter ↓ Actively listen and respond to patient cues ↓ Use patient-centred interviewing skills to gather a relevant patient history

*Modified from the Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons CanMEDS Milestones guide

Pre-implementation/design phase of CBME

Recommendations 1-4

1. *Encourage broad representation and processes for early and ongoing feedback*

Successful implementation of CBME requires the identification and engagement of stakeholders early in the process and should involve faculty, learners, and relevant members from related disciplines. Early engagement should educate stakeholders about CBME and the rationale for change.^{7, 8} Input should be sought to ensure their concerns are heard and that the final CBME product resonates with stakeholders. Educators should recognize that buy-in is an ongoing process rather than a one-time check-box in the process of change management. It is an integral part of the implementation process that evolves as change is internalized by the users and stakeholders. Ongoing efforts to engage stakeholders is important and buy-in can be said to have been reached when the point of ownership is reached; often times this is when the introduced change takes on a form that is relevant for the stakeholder's context.

2. *Start with the key concepts in the design process*

There are many potential approaches for developing a CBME framework. Our group of program directors and educators started by selecting competencies that we felt were essential for oncology trainees to acquire. EPAs were subsequently defined and developed and competencies were matched to specific EPAs. This resulted in a large number of competencies mapped to each EPA, which were too numerous to feasibly assess and required further selection. This also resulted in a number of "orphan" competencies which were not encompassed or assessed within any of the EPAs.

Based on our experience, we recommend developing EPAs first by determining the most significant tasks of the specialty that reflect professional practice. This ensures that the EPAs included will resonate with clinicians and learners. Furthermore, key competencies for the execution of each EPA can then be identified allowing selection of only those most critical to the EPA to be assessed.

3. *Design for success - Develop an assessment plan that is realistic, practical and achievable*

Development of the assessment plan should be done early during the design process to ensure it is integrated with

other elements of CBME design and implementation. When building an assessment plan, multiple components need to be considered. These components include:

- i. Selection of what should be assessed;
- ii. Choice of appropriate assessment tools;
- iii. Identification of the minimum acceptable number of observations (including settings, assessors) necessary for entrustment and promotion;
- iv. A system for capturing assessment elements that is easy to use in the workplace;
- v. An assessment platform that collates data in a manner that is helpful to multiple stakeholders including learners and decision makers.

The assessment plan should provide multiple data points to inform entrustment and promotion decisions.⁷ Evidence regarding the optimal number of assessments and data points is currently lacking⁹ and therefore pragmatic approaches are recommended. Careful consideration should be given to "numbers needed" to ensure sufficient data for decision making, feasibility, sustainability and to minimize both assessment fatigue and administrative burden. A community of practice of clinicians involved in medical education may be helpful in gathering consensus.

Assessment tools which have worked in similar contexts and with known reliability, feasibility and validity should be considered.^{10,11} Many of the current assessment tools are anchored using a scale based on "expectations" (below to above expectations) which have poor validity and reliability.^{12,13} These assessments often provide little discrimination between learners and yield little specific and actionable feedback needed to guide learner development. Assessments should therefore incorporate entrustability scales, which in contrast, are aligned with real-world judgements made by supervisors about when learners are trusted to act without supervision, and can be more meaningful to assessors and learners.¹⁴ Work associated with documentation and tracking of assessments should be kept to a minimum and not detract from learning.

4. *Choose where direct observation is needed*

Direct observation plays a key role in assessment and feedback in CBME. Observing learners provides valuable information to support formative assessment (feedback for learning) and coaching for professional development.¹⁵ Careful selection of which aspects of learner performance need to be observed is important. In addition consideration

of what faculty members can realistically observe in a given period of time is critical to facilitate uptake.¹⁶ Competencies that are essential to the profession should be identified and flagged for direct observation.¹⁷ While our group initially planned to include direct observation for the majority of EPAs, after identifying the core skill common to these EPAs (communication) we chose to limit direct observation to those EPAs that were the most critical and easily observed. This was important in convincing teaching faculty to perform direct observation, a challenging task, given it is not currently engrained in the culture of medical education.

Implementation of CBME

Recommendations 5-10

5. Run a pilot pre-implementation to engage learners and faculty

Field testing can serve several important functions during the implementation of CBME. It can crystallize concepts that have been introduced during faculty development, but may remain abstract and difficult to understand until faculty and learners carry out an assessment. It can also provide insight into practical elements of implementation. Identifying issues related to an electronic assessment platform, the impact on clinical care delivery flow, or to the functioning of the competence committee will allow them to be addressed prior to full scale implementation.¹⁸

6. Engage faculty and learners to help champion CBME

Engaging stakeholders to help implement CBME is important. Identifying faculty and learners who are already engaged can help facilitate communication about CBME, amplify support for implementation and help engage colleagues.⁸ Support from the department chair can also promote participation from other faculty members. Encouraging input from those who have not yet bought into CBME is important to stimulate dialogue and allow change leaders to address concerns.

As programs may experience similar challenges, lessons learned should be shared within institutions to help other programs at various stages of implementation tackle challenges more effectively. This can be facilitated by CBME faculty leads for each academic institution. Programs implementing nationally should establish a mechanism for regular feedback through teleconferences or web-based meetings or boards.

7. Develop and use a curricular map to ensure alignment between EPAs and rotations

Curriculum maps are visual representations of the curriculum and include educational strategies, course content, learning outcomes, educational experiences, assessment, and the individual learner's personal timetable and progress (Figure 1 and 2).¹⁹ Curricular maps ensure all elements of the curriculum are covered and that rotations align with the required elements for each stage of training.^{20,21} For example, an EPA requiring inpatient care can be correctly mapped to a rotation where residents are most likely to execute this type of task.

8. Utilize Academic Advisors to aid in trainee learning and navigation through CBME

Academic Advisors (AA) can play a key role in CBME and are faculty members assigned to guide the learner through the educational program and ensure learners complete EPAs and other required training activities as expected.²² AAs should be involved with regularly reviewing the learner's assessment portfolio, helping develop individualized learning plans, and preparing for competence committee meetings. AAs also provide coaching and support and engage in deliberate academic mentoring.²³ Mentors on the other hand provide trainees guidance about their career.²² Typically, the AA is a member of the teaching faculty with a demonstrated interest in learner education, a clear understanding of role and responsibility of AA, and familiarity with program, training opportunities and requirements.²² In small faculties they may also serve as a clinical supervisor for some rotations. AAs, unlike mentors, are typically formally assigned by the program and liaise with the program director.

9. Leverage unique opportunities and local resources for assessment

Existing clinical rotations and training experiences within the local institutions can be used to harness unique opportunities for assessment and feedback. For example, learner longitudinal clinics, which are often less busy, can be leveraged as opportunities for direct observation and allow assessment of progression through the stages of training. Objective structured clinical examinations (OSCE) and simulation can be helpful in assessing competencies that occur relatively infrequently in day-to-day clinical practice.²⁴

Medical Oncology Competence by Design Residency Training Program

Entrustable Professional Activities Curriculum Map

Resident X	Block	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Academic Coach	Stage	TTD	FD	FD	FD	FD	FD	Core	Core	Core	Core	Core	Core	Core
	Rotation	C	C	Selective	E	E	F	F	Pall Care	Elective	Rad Onc	Rad Onc	Core G	Core G
	EPAs to be evaluated	TTD 1	FD 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6	FD 1 - 6	FD 1, 2, 3, 4, 6	FD 1, 2, 4, 5, 6	FD 1, 2, 4, 5, 6	C 1, 2, 6, 7	C 1, 2, 4, 5, 6	C 1 - 7	C 1, 2, 5, 6	C 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7	C 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7	C 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7
	Assessment Forms	Form 1	Form 1 and/or Form 3					Form 1 and/or Form 3						
	Examinations OSCE, in-training, ASCO				OSCE November 2018 Written in-training examination December 2018					ASCO exam February 26	Royal College Written IM exam		Royal College Oral IM exam	
	Resident Meetings		Aug 3 @1:00pm Academic Coach		Academic Coach				Academic Coach			Academic Coach		
	Competence Committee Review		CC Review Aug 13			CC Review Nov 26				CC Review Mar 4			CC Review May 13	
	Teaching Rounds	Bread and Butter rounds												
	Research	Molecular Oncology Seminars Fridays 0800-0900 JCC 3-216										Research Curriculum Wednesdays 3:30 – 5:00 pm JCC 3-88		
														Student Research Day

TTD: Transition to Discipline; FD: Foundation of Discipline; C: Core; EPA: Entrustable Professional Activity

Rotation A	Rotation B	Rotation C	Rotation D	Rotation E	Rotation F	Rotation G
Lung Breast Sarcoma	Breast GI	GI Head and neck	GU CNS GI	Gyne Breast Melanoma CNS	Inpatient (all disease sites)	Lung Genetics GI GU Melanoma Sarcoma Head and neck

Figure 1. “Year at a glance” curriculum map

MEDICAL ONCOLOGY RESIDENCY TRAINING PROGRAM ENTRUSTABLE PROFESSIONAL ACTIVITIES (EPAs) CURRICULUM MAP

	Rotation A,B,C, D,E,G	Rotation F	Rad Onc	Hem Onc	Palliative	Selective	Elective	Longitudinal Clinic
Transition to Discipline								
EPA #1	X		X	X	X	X	X	X
Foundations								
EPA #1	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
EPA #2	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
EPA #3	X			X		X	X	X
EPA #4	X	X	X		X	X	X	X
EPA #5	X	X		X	X	X	X	X
EPA #6	X	X			X	X	X	X
Core								
EPA #1	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
EPA #2	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
EPA #3	X			X		X	X	X
EPA #4	X	X			X	X	X	X
EPA #5	X							X
EPA #6	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
EPA #7		X						
Transition to Practice								
EPA #1	X							X

Figure 2. Direct curriculum mapping

10. Plan for evaluation of the design and implementation process

Continuous evaluation of a new educational model is important to monitor the downstream intended and unintended consequences of decisions made during the design and implementation process, some of which are made through consensus and for pragmatic reasons. This facilitates an iterative process that allows for changes to be incorporated in response to the real world experience. In nationally coordinated implementation of CBME, planning allows for a cohesive approach to evaluation. Identifying common areas of interest for evaluation and research can allow for rich data collection and enhance the reliability of decision making based on results of the evaluation process.

Conclusion

CBME represents a major shift in the educational paradigm. Implementation of CBME will vary widely depending on the context of the specific training program, training specialty, hospital, university and country. However, the lessons learned and imparted by our group are not limited to medical oncology and may prove valuable to other programs undergoing this transition. Careful design of key foundational components of CBME including EPAs and assessment plans, are critical to the success of the program. In addition, such a broad undertaking requires the engagement of stakeholders not only within the program but also across the university and potentially nationally as well. Thoughtful and coordinated evaluation is important to monitor for and respond to intended and unintended consequences of decisions made during the transition to CBME.

The suggestions outlined are meant to help programs avoid the unexpected “black ice” issues that can arise during the implementation of CBME which will hopefully lead to a less treacherous transition.

Authorship: The authors write on behalf of the Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons of Canada medical oncology Competency By Design evaluation subcommittee

Conflicts of interest: Dr. Tina Hsu has received honorarium from Apobiologix, Celgene, Ipsen, Eisai and Genomic Health. All other co-authors report no conflicts of interest.

Funding: None

Prior presentations: This work has been previously presented at International Association for Medical Education (AMEE) 2018 and the World Competency Based Medical Education Summit 2018.

References

1. Nasca TJ, Philibert I, Brigham T, Flynn TC. The next GME accreditation system--rationale and benefits. *N Engl J Med*. 2012;366(11):1051-6. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMSr1200117>
2. ten Cate O, Scheele F. Competency-based postgraduate training: can we bridge the gap between theory and clinical practice? *Acad Med*. 2007;82(6):542-7. <https://doi.org/10.1097/ACM.0b013e31805559c7>
3. Iobst WF, Sherbino J, Cate OT, et al. Competency-based medical education in postgraduate medical education. *Med Teach*. 2010;32(8):651-6. <https://doi.org/10.3109/0142159X.2010.500709>
4. Englander R, Frank JR, Carraccio C, et al. Toward a shared language for competency-based medical education. *Med Teach*. 2017;39(6):582-7. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0142159X.2017.1315066>
5. Sklar DP. Competencies, milestones, and entrustable professional activities: what they are, what they could be. *Acad Med*. 2015;90(4):395-7. <https://doi.org/10.1097/ACM.0000000000000659>
6. Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons of Canada *What is Competence by Design?* Available from: <http://www.royalcollege.ca/rcsite/cbd/what-is-cbd-e>.
7. Schultz K, Griffiths J. Implementing competency-based medical education in a postgraduate family medicine residency training program: a stepwise approach, facilitating factors, and processes or steps that would have been helpful. *Acad Med*. 2016;91(5):685-9. <https://doi.org/10.1097/ACM.0000000000001066>
8. Kotter JP. *Leading change*. Boston: Harvard Business School Press; 1996.
9. Torsney KM, Cocker DM, Slesser AA. The modern surgeon and competency assessment: are the workplace-based assessments evidence-based? *World J Surg*. 2015;39(3):623-33. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00268-014-2875-6>
10. Holmboe ES, Sherbino J, Long DM, Swing SR, Frank JR. The role of assessment in competency-based medical education. *Med Teach*. 2010;32(8):676-82. <https://doi.org/10.3109/0142159X.2010.500704>
11. Probyn L, Glover Takahashi S, Ruetalo M, Abrahams C, Whittaker M-K. Best Practices in Evaluation and Assessment (BPEA) for Competency-Based Medical Education (CBME) in residency education 2017 Available from: https://pg.postmd.utoronto.ca/wp-content/uploads/2017/02/BPEA-Report_penultimate_17feb13_WEB.pdf
12. Yeates P, O'Neill P, Mann K, Eva K. Seeing the same thing differently: mechanisms that contribute to assessor differences in directly-observed performance assessments. *Adv Health Sci Educ Theory Pract*. 2013;18(3):325-41. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10459-012-9372-1>
13. Kreiter CD, Ferguson K, Lee WC, Brennan RL, Densen P. A generalizability study of a new standardized rating form

- used to evaluate students' clinical clerkship performances. *Acad Med.* 1998;73(12):1294-8. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00001888-199812000-00021>
14. Rekman J, Gofton W, Dudek N, Gofton T, Hamstra SJ. Entrustability scales: outlining their usefulness for competency-based clinical assessment. *Acad Med.* 2016;91(2):186-90. <https://doi.org/10.1097/ACM.0000000000001045>
 15. Kogan J, Holmboe E. Direct observation. In: Holmboe E, Durning SJ, Hawkins RE, editors. *Practical guide to the evaluation of clinical competence*. 2 ed. Boston: Elsevier; 2018.
 16. Holmboe E, Edgar L, Hamstra S. The Milestones guidebook: accreditation council for graduate medical education; 2016 Available from: <https://www.acgme.org/Portals/0/MilestonesGuidebook.pdf?ver=2016-05-31-113245-103>
 17. Humphrey-Murto S, Wood TJ, Ross S, et al. Assessment pearls for Competency-Based Medical Education. *J Grad Med Educ.* 2017;9(6):688-91. <https://doi.org/10.4300/JGME-D-17-00365.1>
 18. Al-Asaad S, Hsu T, Hammad N, et al., editors. Transitioning to Competency Based Medical Education: Challenges and strategies for pragmatic implementation in a non-procedural based specialty. *2018 World Summit on CBME*; 2018 August 25, 2018; Basel, Switzerland.
 19. Harden RM. AMEE Guide No. 21: Curriculum mapping: a tool for transparent and authentic teaching and learning. *Med Teach.* 2001;23(2):123-37. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01421590120036547>
 20. Komenda M, Vita M, Vaitis C, et al. Curriculum mapping with academic analytics in medical and healthcare education. *PLoS One.* 2015; 10(12): e0143748 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0143748>
 21. Hege I, Nowak D, Kolb S, Fischer MR, Radon K. Developing and analysing a curriculum map in Occupational- and Environmental Medicine. *BMC Med Educ.* 2010;10:60. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6920-10-60>
 22. Woods SK, Burgess L, Kaminetzky C, McNeill D, Pinheiro S, Heflin MT. Defining the roles of advisors and mentors in postgraduate medical education: faculty perceptions, roles, responsibilities, and resource needs. *J Grad Med Educ.* 2010;2(2):195-200. <https://doi.org/10.4300/JGME-D-09-00089.1>
 23. McEwen LA, Griffiths J, Schultz K. Developing and successfully implementing a competency-based portfolio assessment system in a postgraduate family medicine residency program. *Acad Med.* 2015;90(11):1515-26. <https://doi.org/10.1097/ACM.0000000000000754>
 24. Ten Cate O, Chen HC, Hoff RG, Peters H, Bok H, van der Schaaf M. Curriculum development for the workplace using Entrustable Professional Activities (EPAs): AMEE Guide No. 99. *Med Teach.* 2015;37(11):983-1002. <https://doi.org/10.3109/0142159X.2015.1060308>