

Surfaces



INTRODUCTION TO DOUGLAS J. OSLER'S "IMAGES OF LEGAL HUMANISM"

K. Ludwig Pfeiffer

Volume 9, 2001

TROISIÈME CONGRÈS INTERNATIONAL SUR LE DISCOURS
HUMANISTE. LA RÉSISTANCE HUMANISTE AU DOGMATISME
AUJOURD'HUI ET À LA FIN DU MOYEN ÂGE
THIRD INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON HUMANISTIC
DISCOURSE. HUMANISTIC RESISTANCE TO DOGMATISM TODAY AND
AT THE END OF THE MIDDLE AGES

URI: <https://id.erudit.org/iderudit/1065065ar>

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.7202/1065065ar>

[See table of contents](#)

Publisher(s)

Les Presses de l'Université de Montréal

ISSN

1188-2492 (print)

1200-5320 (digital)

[Explore this journal](#)

Cite this document

Pfeiffer, K. L. (2001). INTRODUCTION TO DOUGLAS J. OSLER'S "IMAGES OF LEGAL HUMANISM". *Surfaces*, 9. <https://doi.org/10.7202/1065065ar>

Article abstract

In the context of the Third International Conference on Humanistic Discourse, this text introduces Douglas J. Osler's "Images of Legal Humanism".

Copyright © K. Ludwig Pfeiffer, 2001



This document is protected by copyright law. Use of the services of Érudit (including reproduction) is subject to its terms and conditions, which can be viewed online.

<https://apropos.erudit.org/en/users/policy-on-use/>

érudit

This article is disseminated and preserved by Érudit.

Érudit is a non-profit inter-university consortium of the Université de Montréal, Université Laval, and the Université du Québec à Montréal. Its mission is to promote and disseminate research.

<https://www.erudit.org/en/>

INTRODUCTION TO DOUGLAS J. OSLER'S « IMAGES OF LEGAL HUMANISM »

K. Ludwig Pfeiffer

Surfaces vol IX 101.5 (v1.0a - 15.12.01) - ISSN:
1188-2492

Tout texte reste la propriété de son auteur. Néanmoins,
SURFACES demande d'être citée à l'occasion de toute
autre publication du texte en question.

ABSTRACT

In the context of the Third International Conference on Humanistic Discourse, this text introduces Douglas J. Osler's « Images of Legal Humanism ».

RÉSUMÉ

Dans le cadre du troisième congrès international sur le discours humaniste, le texte propose une introduction à « Images of Legal Humanism » de Douglas J. Osler.

The Munich meeting viewed humanistic discourses in the perspective of a resistance to dogma. Given the connotations of dogma, this seems to invest humanistic discourses with various forms of immediately plausible values. Logically, though, the perspective is a negative one in that it does not reliably guide the selection and adoption of such values. Consequently, humanistic discourses can also be seen as generative machines producing surplus values with uncertain status. In other papers (Kabnitz, Müller), it is obvious that the production of such values is hardly controllable by stopping rules,

that therefore some form of "wild energy" (Michelet; cf. also Burckhardt) may loom threateningly behind them. There is, then, a constant oscillation between 'humane' values in a more narrow, as it were positive sense (from freedom of and for the individual to human rights), and forms of thinly veiled 'naturalisms' (that is atavisms with topical functions). The double bind of humanistic discourses lies in the commitment to human concerns, however vague, and the uncontrollable side effects - sometimes main effects - these commitments produce.

Osler's paper is highly instructive in these respects. In recalling Kristeller's definition, it shows that *studia humanitatis* may be conceived and practised with complete neutrality to what has become in discourse history - the 'humanistic', that is the value orientation of humanism. The "model humanist" of the Renaissance, the master of languages, the cultivated polymath who combined classical learning with Christian piety (M. A. Delrio, cf. chap. III in Osler) is perfectly capable of writing a handbook on the law of witchcraft dealing in sophisticated detail with the detection, torture and execution of miscreant women. Legal humanism must then be seen as a "technical" humanism (chap. IV), that is as the historical and philological study of Roman law, indifferent or neutral with respect to the 'barbarity' Roman law and culture at large may have involved. Osler then demonstrates how the history of legal humanism, technical in its beginning, progresses step by step into a full-fledged humanism inventing values which have nothing to do with the Roman sources which they are said to be ultimately based upon (Roman law being mainly concerned with property questions, chap. V). In his *studia humanitatis*, the legal humanist, for a long time, is not at all interested in creating a good man, a worthy jurist, from the wholesome education purportedly provided by Latin and Greek literature (chap. VIII). It is perhaps mainly with the 18th century (and perhaps more markedly in Germany) - for reasons dealt with in other meetings of the International Conference on Humanistic Discourse (ICHHD) - that a latent, and then open 'humanistification' of legal humanism sets in. Osler quotes Jugler to that effect (1755: "Legal humanism [Osler's translation; the term as such does not appear in Jugler] is the study of Roman law joined together in the tightest bond with philosophy (particularly that of the Stoics), antiquities, the Greek and Latin language, the art of textual criticism, Roman history and literature"; chap. VII). This culminates, in the 19th century, in the pathos of Theodor Mommsen extolling the "noble and liberal art of law (...), this Roman law, like a noble gold smelted again and again, today shines with a splendour

which has not been diminished but rather augmented by age" (1870, chap. IX).

Legal humanism, as analyzed by Osler, appears as perhaps the clearest case of an *a(anti)human(e)*, *formalistic core or at least potential* in what is traditionally portrayed as humanism. This might even hold true for the "philologia perennis" advocated by Gavrilov. In the *intracultural* frictions of the term within European legal and literary humanistic studies we may detect the prefigurement of *intercultural* tensions with which we are wrestling today. It does not help much, in such a situation, to redefine, with or in the wake of Habermas, humanism (e.g. classical studies) as a training in discourse skills.

[Accueil Surfaces](#) | [Table des matières](#) | [Recherche Surfaces Home Page](#) | [Table of Contents](#) | [Search](#)

[PUM](#) | [Livres](#) | [Revues](#) | [Publications électroniques](#) | [Vente et distribution](#)
