Philosophical Inquiry in Education

PHILOSOPHICAL INQUIRY IN EDUCATION
THE IDURAN OF THE CANADIAN PHILOSOPHY OF EDUCATION SOCIETY

If It's the Institution That's Causing the Decline, Change the Institution: Comment on Colgan

Harvey Siegel

Volume 25, Number 2, 2018

URI: https://id.erudit.org/iderudit/1070744ar DOI: https://doi.org/10.7202/1070744ar

See table of contents

Publisher(s)

Canadian Philosophy of Education Society

ISSN

2369-8659 (digital)

Explore this journal

Cite this document

Siegel, H. (2018). If It's the Institution That's Causing the Decline, Change the Institution: Comment on Colgan. *Philosophical Inquiry in Education*, 25(2), 226-227. https://doi.org/10.7202/1070744ar

Copyright © Harvey Siegel, 2018

This document is protected by copyright law. Use of the services of Érudit (including reproduction) is subject to its terms and conditions, which can be viewed online.

https://apropos.erudit.org/en/users/policy-on-use/



If It's the Institution That's Causing the Decline, Change the Institution: Comment on Colgan

HARVEY SIEGEL University of Miami

Andrew D. Colgan's (2018) insightful article in a previous issue of this journal makes a powerful case that the decline of philosophy of education in teacher education programs is best seen as the result of changes in teacher education institutions. His institutional analysis is compelling, and his proposed "deinstitutionalizing solutions" (p. 66; pp. 82–83)—revive teacher culture and return teacher education preparation to teachers' colleges—seem promising, if the recommended changes can be made, although they are, as he says, "long-term."

Here is a third remedy, equally de-institutionalizing and equally long-term: *change the institution*. That is, cultivate fertile ground for philosophers of education to ply their trade, and for students to study the subject, someplace other than teacher education programs. Where? In philosophy departments, of course!

The history of the treatment of philosophy of education by mainstream philosophers (by "mainstream" I mean simply those whose institutional homes are in departments of philosophy rather than faculties of education) is checkered, and the vast majority of current philosophy faculty members know nothing about the field and so are poorly placed to advance it in their departments. It is also true that humanities departments generally, and philosophy departments in particular, face their own institutional pressures and threats. But there are notable exceptions and some promising signs—meager signs, I grant—that philosophy departments are slowly becoming at least a bit more hospitable to the field. This hospitality can be cultivated. It should be, because it provides a different institutional home for the field and its practitioners. And, as Colgan has amply demonstrated, such a home is badly needed if philosophy of education is to flourish.

This change would be radical, in that it would require philosophers of education to be trained in ways very different from the way the majority are trained now. But if that's what it takes to preserve the scholarly integrity of the field, or even its very existence, shouldn't we try? I don't offer this proposal as a rival to Colgan's proposed solutions, but rather as an additional avenue worth pursuing. Those of us who care about the survival of the field should do whatever we can to ensure its survival. Working to cultivate a home for philosophy of education in departments of philosophy—along with working to revive teacher culture and return teacher preparation to teachers' colleges—can't hurt, and might help.

References

Colgan, A. D. (2018). Institutional theory and the literature on the decline of philosophy of education over the last three decades. Philosophical Inquiry in Education, 25(1), 66-87.

About the Author

Harvey Siegel is Professor of Philosophy at the University of Miami. His main interests are in epistemology, philosophy of science, and philosophy of education.