Journal des traducteurs Translators' Journal

Μετα

Deictic Center Shifts in Literary Translation: the Spanish Translation of Nooteboom's *Het Volgende Verhaal*

Patrick Goethals and July De Wilde

Volume 54, Number 4, décembre 2009

URI: https://id.erudit.org/iderudit/038903ar DOI: https://doi.org/10.7202/038903ar

See table of contents

Publisher(s)

Les Presses de l'Université de Montréal

ISSN

0026-0452 (print) 1492-1421 (digital)

Explore this journal

érudit

Cite this article

Goethals, P. & De Wilde, J. (2009). Deictic Center Shifts in Literary Translation: the Spanish Translation of Nooteboom's *Het Volgende Verhaal. Meta*, *54*(4), 770–794. https://doi.org/10.7202/038903ar

Article abstract

In this article, we explore the phenomenon of deictic center shifts in literary translation, concentrating on the Spanish translation (*La historia siguiente*, 1992) of the Dutch novel *Het volgende verhaal* (1991 [*The Following Story*, 1993]). The empirical description focuses on lexical spatiotemporal markers and verbal tenses. We compare the source text and the target text in order to identify the translational shifts: we consider these shifts as textual traces of the translator's interpretive process of resetting the spatiotemporal coordinates of the discourse. We will argue that the deictic shifts between source and target text are related to occasional hesitations of the translator, who tends to emphasize the most salient deictic center. On a methodological level, we hope to show that a close reading of a translated text, taking into account its thematic and structural peculiarities, can contribute both to Translation and Literary Studies.

Tous droits réservés © Les Presses de l'Université de Montréal, 2009

This document is protected by copyright law. Use of the services of Érudit (including reproduction) is subject to its terms and conditions, which can be viewed online.

https://apropos.erudit.org/en/users/policy-on-use/

This article is disseminated and preserved by Érudit.

Érudit is a non-profit inter-university consortium of the Université de Montréal, Université Laval, and the Université du Québec à Montréal. Its mission is to promote and disseminate research.

https://www.erudit.org/en/

Deictic Center Shifts in Literary Translation: the Spanish Translation of Nooteboom's *Het Volgende Verhaal*

PATRICK GOETHALS

University College Ghent Translation Studies, Ghent, Belgium patrick.goethals@hogent.be

JULY DE WILDE

University College Ghent Translation Studies, Ghent, Belgium july.dewilde@hogent.be

RÉSUMÉ

Dans le présent article, nous explorons le phénomène des déplacements du centre déictique en traduction littéraire, en nous centrant sur la traduction espagnole (*La historia siguiente*, 1992) du roman néerlandais *Het volgende verhaal* (1991 [*L'histoire suivante*, 1991]). La description empirique porte sur les expressions lexicales spatio-temporelles ainsi que sur les temps verbaux. Nous comparons les versions source et cible afin d'identifier les changements induits par la traduction : ceux-ci se présentent comme des traces textuelles du processus interprétatif du traducteur qui rétablit les coordonnées spatio-temporelles du récit. Nous argumentons que les déplacements déictiques entre les versions source et cible correspondent à des hésitations occasionnelles du traducteur qui a tendance à accentuer le point d'ancrage déictique le plus saillant. D'un point de vue méthodologique, nous tentons de démontrer qu'une analyse détaillée du texte traduit prenant en compte les particularités thématiques et structurelles peut contribuer tant à la traductologie qu'aux études littéraires.

ABSTRACT

In this article, we explore the phenomenon of deictic center shifts in literary translation, concentrating on the Spanish translation (*La historia siguiente*, 1992) of the Dutch novel *Het volgende verhaal* (1991 [*The Following Story*, 1993]). The empirical description focuses on lexical spatiotemporal markers and verbal tenses. We compare the source text and the target text in order to identify the translational shifts: we consider these shifts as textual traces of the translator's interpretive process of resetting the spatiotemporal coordinates of the discourse. We will argue that the deictic shifts between source and target text are related to occasional hesitations of the translator, who tends to emphasize the most salient deictic center. On a methodological level, we hope to show that a close reading of a translated text, taking into account its thematic and structural peculiarities, can contribute both to Translation and Literary Studies.

MOTS-CLÉS/KEYWORDS

déplacement du centre déictique, traduction littéraire, expressions lexicales spatio-temporelles, temps verbaux, démonstratifs de proximité et d'éloignement deictic center shift, literary translation, lexical spatiotemporal markers, verbal tenses, proximal and distal demonstratives

1. Introduction

In recent years there has been a growing body of empirical research on deictic expressions in translated texts. The research questions vary from typological linguistic matter (Da Milano 2007) up to stylistically or ideologically oriented literary translation studies (Munday 2007). For linguistics, this type of research is valuable because the translated corpora give additional input for the difficult challenge of describing the semantics and stylistics of deictic expressions (Goethals 2007; Jonasson 2002; Whittaker 2004). For literary translation studies, these studies are valuable because they allow a search for rather volatile notions such as the narrator's voice or subjectivity by examining structurally identifiable expressions (Bosseaux 2007; Jonasson 2001; Mason and Şerban 2003).

Following the second line of research, the present study will examine the translation of deictic elements such as spatiotemporal adverbial expressions and verbal tenses in a literary text. We will examine to what extent the translation affects the organization of the deictic center(s) in the text. Concretely, we focus on the Spanish (ES) translation *La historia siguiente* of the Dutch (NL) novel *Het volgende verhaal* (*The Following Story*, 1993) by Cees Nooteboom (1991).¹ By studying a language combination that has been studied rarely until now, one of our essential aims is to find new translational issues and their solutions, which may complement the findings of previous studies. Let us start however by making explicit some of our fundamental methodological and conceptual assumptions.

We consider the translated deictic elements as textual traces of re-contextualization, which is the interpretive process, realized by the reader and/or translator (i.e., the interpreter), consisting of resetting the context of a certain story. Indeed, the interpreter not only construes a mental representation of the story that is told, but also of the story-telling, and of the deictic center(s) from which the story is conceptualized.

Unlike oral speech, written speech can only be connected to an extratextual reality through the referential elements encoded in the written text. This distancing between text and utterance of discourse (Ricoeur 1976) is even more complicated in the case of a *literary text* because of its autotelic world-creating capacity, since context is created by linguistic devices in the text and cannot be verified by extratextual real-world situations. We have concentrated on deictic elements because they are key aspects of this contextual anchoring, that is, the "process by which cues in narrative discourse trigger recipients to establish a more or less direct or oblique relationship between the stories they are interpreting and the contexts in which they are interpreting them" (Herman 2002: 8; see also Kleiber 2003; Macías Villalobos 2006 and Philippe 1998 on deictics in literary texts).

Further, we assume that the translator leaves certain traces of his translational interpretation in the translated text and that these latent traces can be made manifest through comparative ST/TT analysis (Koster 2000; Naaijkens 2002). The ST/TT comparison allows for identifying the translational changes between both texts and clarifying which deictic features in the TT reflect the ST's and which are to be attributed to the translational interpretation.

2. The deictic center: preliminaries

Although a complete review of deixis and the deictic center goes beyond the scope of this paper, we will give a brief outline of the narratological, translational, and linguistic aspects that are most relevant for our analysis.

2.1. Narratological preliminaries

Deictic elements in literary texts are related to the spatial, temporal and (inter)personal settings that orientate the reader whenever interpreting a narrative. Deictic elements are referring expressions whose semantics is not restricted to referring to an entity, but also includes invoking a deictic center, that is, the focalization point from which the spatial, temporal and (inter)personal information is given.

Within structuralist narratology, much attention has been paid to the observation that deictic centers may shift within one and the same narrative. One of the best-known shifts is the one between focalization points that are anchored to the narrating level (*who speaks*) and focalization points that are anchored to the narrated level (*who perceives*) (Genette 2007: 340). This narrating technique is frequently used in *The Following Story* (hereinafter TFS), as illustrated in excerpt 1.

 En ineens wist ik wat ik de vorige avond [...] gedacht had. [...] [Ik] dacht aan Maria Zeinstra, [...] al weet ik niet waarom ik hier ben, ik weet in ieder geval dat zij er iets mee te maken heeft.

Nu doe ik een stap terug, maar dat vreemde blijft, alsof ik van binnen verlicht ben. Als het gisteravond angst was, is het nu ontroering. (Nooteboom 1991: 22-23)

And suddenly I knew what I had been thinking the previous evening [...] [I] thought of Maria Zeinstra, [...]although I still do not know why I am here, I know she has something to do with it.

[Now] I am going back a bit, but the strangeness remains, as if I had an inner glow. If I was afraid last night, now I am moved.² (Nooteboom 1993: 21-22; translated by I. Rilke)

In this fragment, different deictic centers are activated, prompting the reader to adopt alternatively the narrator's perspective and the perspective of the character focalizing the event. The past tense (wist/knew) refers to a situation in the past, thus invoking a posterior deictic center, presumably anchored to the narrating level. Then we notice a shift coded linguistically by the use of a present tense (weet ik niet/do not know). The deictic center is now situated at the narrated level: it is the main character of the described situation who focalizes the events. Adverbial expressions like *the previous evening* versus *last night* confirm this deictic shift: whereas *the previous evening* suggests a moment situated before another moment in the past, *last night* refers to a past perceived from the deictic center.

It is important to note that shifting focalizations are not limited to above-sentence or paragraph level but also occur within one sentence. This is for instance the case when the author combines a past tense with adverbial expressions indicating proximity such as *now* (Vandelanotte 2004). This strategy is also frequently used in TFS. In excerpt 2, the past tenses (<u>stond ik/I was standing</u>; <u>kende/knew</u>) invoke the story*teller*'s viewpoint whereas the temporal proximity in the adverb <u>nu/now</u> activates a deictic center anchored to the perspective of the character. (2) <u>Ik was teruggekomen, ik was</u> langs het pathetische standbeeld van de Duque de Terceira <u>gelopen</u>, [...], en <u>nu stond ik</u> aan de rivier, dezelfde van ooit en toen, alleen, ik <u>kende</u> hem <u>nu</u> beter. (Nooteboom 1991: 29)

<u>I had returned</u>, I <u>had walked</u> past the pathetic statue of the Duque de Terceira [...], and <u>now</u> I <u>was standing</u> by the river, the same as ever, only I <u>knew</u> it better <u>now</u>. (Nooteboom 1993: 28; translated by I. Rilke)

In addition to the structuralist interpretation of deictic shifts, a broader cognitive interpretation of the concept is advanced in recent works on narratology (Herman 2002; Zubin and Hewitt 1995). Instead of focusing on the shifts of the focalization point as textual features, the cognitive approach defines the concept as the general process "whereby narrators prompt their interlocutors to relocate from the here and now of the act of narration to other space-time coordinates – namely, those defining the perspective from which the events of the story are recounted" (Herman 2002: 271).

In our view, the cognitive interpretation of the concept *deictic center shift* offers an almost natural link to Translation Studies, since the translator is of all interpreters of a story probably the one who feels most compelled to execute this deictic shift, in order to be able to re-create the same story world for a new audience. Moreover, in translations we expect to find textual traces of the difficulties of performing this cognitive deictic shift. If we look at these difficulties not as personal errors made by the translator (or as unfortunate deviations with respect to the construct of an ideal interpretation), but rather as traces of the mental representation that interpreters prototypically construe when reading the story, studies on translations may actually enrich narratological research. Therefore, it is rather surprising that in reference works on narratology such as Genette 2007 or Herman 2002 very little is said about translation, or translated texts.

2.2. Translational preliminaries

Within Translation Studies, Richardson (1998) was one of the first to draw attention to the potential of studying deixis in translation. Later studies (Bosseaux 2007; Doiz-Bienzobas 2003; Krein-Kühle 2002; Mason and Şerban 2003; Şerban 2004; Whittaker 2004) have made important contributions by describing the translational deictic shifts in different text types, thereby considering different language pairs. All authors found that deictic shifts are pervasive in translations and may indeed affect the contextualization of the text. One of the most explicit conclusions was formulated in Mason and Şerban (2003), who in a corpus of Romanian-English literary translations made by non-native speakers of English found a consistent distancing trend: distals were relatively more frequent in the English translations than in the Romanian source texts. Thus, according to Mason and Şerban (2003: 290), the English translations "project a reader role which invites less involvement than that projected by the source texts": whereas the source texts frequently activate a deictic center anchored to the narrated level, the translations tend to anchor it to a more distanced, and less involved narrator. Similar general conclusions about the translation of deixis may be found in Jonasson (2001), who observes a more *objective* rendering of demonstrative deictics in translation, or Bosseaux (2007), who finds a loss of deictic anchorage in the translation of deictic adverbs or pronouns.

However, an analysis similar to Mason and Şerban (2003) on a bidirectional Dutch-Spanish corpus did not confirm the general distancing trend (Goethals 2007). On the contrary, it revealed that the proximal-distal alternation varies considerably between different samples of the same translation direction. This sample variation was significantly higher than was the case with the shifts between demonstrative modifiers and definite articles (e.g. *this house* vs. *the house*), or the shifts between pronouns and explicit nominal constructions (e.g. *this vs. this house*).³ So, for example, in the Spanish translation of the Dutch youth novel *Belledone*, there were five times more *distancing* shifts than *approximating* shifts, whereas in *The Following Story*, with the same translation direction, there were eighteen times more *approximating shifts* than *distancing shifts*. In Goethals (2007) we referred explicitly to the TFS case to argue that corpus research on translated texts should not only try to describe overall tendencies, but also pay attention to the individual samples which are part of the corpus (see Goethals 2008 for a similar point).

Hence, our starting point is a translation that shows a clear *approximating* trend. This quantitative trend cannot be explained by a systemic-linguistic contrast between Dutch and Spanish, since other translations with the same language pair show the opposite pattern. Neither can it be explained as a general characteristic of translations: compared with the data of Mason and Şerban (2003) it is even an exceptional case. In the study that follows, we will try to find out what this *exceptional case* teaches us about the fundamental mechanisms involved in the translation of deictic elements. Concretely, we will see that the exceptional narratological characteristics of this source text are important for understanding why this sample gives different results than other samples. Or, put in more general terms, that narratological features interfere in the translation process.

2.3. Linguistic preliminaries

From a linguistic point of view, NL-ES translation involves a paradigm shift in the demonstrative system. Dutch has a binary paradigm, distinguishing between proximals (<u>dit/deze</u>) and distals (<u>dat/die</u>), in a way that is similar to the English distinction between *this* and *that*. Spanish, on the contrary, has a triadic system, distinguishing between proximals (**este/-a/-os/-as**), medial-distals (**ese/-a/-os/-as**) and marked distals (**aquel/-la/-los/-las**).

The clearest difference between the two systems concerns the marked distal form in Spanish. Whereas all other forms in Dutch or Spanish involve a relative approximating or distancing of the referred entity with respect to the deictic center, the marked distal **aquel** explicitly separates it from the deictic center. Spatial **aquel** does not mean *there* but *further over there* (Butt and Benjamin 1994: 86). Temporal **aquel** does not simply express that the moment referred to is situated in the past, but rather that it is situated in a **distant** past that has no relation with the present. Because of this semantic potential, Spanish can for example also express the discourse deictic contrast between *the former* and *the latter* with the demonstratives **aquel** and **este**. As is the case with English *this/that*, the contrast between Dutch demonstratives is not strong enough for this contrastive reading. Other expressions, such as <u>eerstgenoemde/laatstgenoemde</u> (*first mentioned/last mentioned*) need to be used.

Although the correspondences between Dutch proximals and distals, on the one hand, and Spanish proximals and medial-distals on the other should be examined in further detail, it is correct to say that there are no grammatical reasons for using or not using the *most equivalent* form (i.e. Spanish proximal for Dutch proximal and Spanish medial-distal for Dutch distal), at least as far as the spatiotemporal markers in our study are concerned. Of course, although the choice between them does not cause ungrammaticality the forms are not synonymous, for they may yield a different conceptualization of the situation. Roughly speaking, proximal **este** situates the referred entity nearby the speaker, and medial-distal **ese** situates it nearby the hearer and/or away from the speaker (Da Milano 2007; Jungbluth 2005).

Summarizing, translating Dutch demonstratives into Spanish may yield: (1) marked choice for **aquel**, introducing in the TT a marked distancing effect or (2) a choice between **este** and **ese** which is not governed by grammatical rules, but which may yield a different conceptualization regarding the relative approximating or distancing with respect to the deictic center.

3. Case Study: *Het Volgende Verhaal* [*The Following Story*] and its Spanish translation

For the presentation of the empirical data, we draw on the methodological guidelines proposed in Koster's (2000) *Armamentarium*. First, a description of the ST novel will show that the search for the deictic center is an essential element in the text, both structurally and thematically. Second, we will focus on the Spanish TT, independently from the Dutch ST. We will show that the deictic center is manifestly unstable, particularly in the opening scene of the Spanish TT. Finally, and most importantly, we will compare the Dutch ST and the Spanish TT, focusing on spatiotemporal markers and verbal tenses. The ST/TT comparison will allow us to identify which deictic instabilities in the TT reflect the ST's and which are to be attributed to translational interpretation.

3.1. Thematic discussion of the novella: metamorphosis and the search for spatiotemporal and interpersonal coordinates

The underlying theme of the novella is the multiple metamorphoses of man: during life, when an individual may assume multiple identities, in the transition from life to death, or in the metamorphosis of our experiences when we remember them, or tell about them (Bekkering 1998; Hottentot 1994). In this unstable world, man is in search of temporal coordinates (How is *now* to be understood? Is it the moment of experiencing, of remembering, or of telling?), spatial coordinates (Where am I?), and personal coordinates (Who is the entity I refer to by saying *I*? Who is *you*?).

The main character is the narrator, Herman Mussert. Mussert is a former teacher of Latin and Greek, surnamed Socrates by his pupils, who got fired because he had an affair with a married colleague (Maria Zeinstra). After this, he started writing travel guides using the pseudonym of Dr. Strabo.

In the first part of the book, the narrator tells about a day when he woke up in a hotel room in Lisbon, which was a very confusing experience (fragment 3) as he had gone to bed in his house in Amsterdam. He recognized the room because he had

been there years before with his mistress, Maria Zeinstra. He further describes how he got up and wandered through Lisbon, in search of his own identity, and remembering the time he spent there with Zeinstra, besides other episodes of his life, such as his career as a teacher or as a travel guide writer.

(3) Ik ging rechtop zitten en voelde een dringend verlangen om mezelf te zien, niet om wát ik zou zien, want ik had een hekel aan mijn uiterlijk, [...]. Ik moest weten welke versie van mij hier in die kamer van toen aanwezig was, die van nu of die van toen. (Nooteboom 1999: 20)

I sat up straight and felt a pressing urge to see myself, not because of what I would see, for I hated my appearance, [...]. I had to know which version of me was here in that room of the past, the current version or the former one. (Nooteboom 1993: 19; translated by I. Rilke)

At different times, the narrator explicitly refers to a narrating situation, using the present tense, and sometimes he also addresses a feminine you-character. However, the narrating situation remains mysterious: no information is given about when or where it takes place, and the narrator even questions the extra- or intradiegetic character of the addressee (Herman 2002: 338-341):

(4) Ik ben blij dat de anderen weg zijn en dat ik het alleen maar aan jou hoef te vertellen, al ben je dan zelf iemand uit mijn verhaal. (Nooteboom 1991: 26)

I'm glad the others have gone and that I need tell only you my story, even though you yourself are in it. (Nooteboom 1993: 25; translated by I. Rilke)

Explicit reflections on contextualization also occur, as in excerpt 5, where the main character is looking at a huge clock and wondering about the meaning of *now*:

(5) nu, net als toen, sta ik daar en tel en kijk naar de grote, zwarte, ijzeren wijzer die naar de lage, witte, in segmenten ingedeelde vlakte tussen de 10 en de 15 wijst, tot hij met een schok naar het volgende lege vlak oprukt en beveelt, bepaalt, zegt dat het <u>daar nu nu is. Nu?</u> (Nooteboom 1991: 30)

now, like then, I stand there and count and look at the great iron hand pointing down to the white, segmented plane between the 10 and the 15 until, with a shudder, it moves to the next vacant lot and commands, decrees, proclaims that that is where <u>now rules now. Now</u>? (Nooteboom 1993: 29; translated by I. Rilke)

Or excerpt 6, where the narrator is explicitly reflecting on his use of past and present tenses:

(6) Kun je nog wijs uit mijn tijden? Ze zijn allemaal verleden, ik was even ontsnapt, neem me niet kwalijk. Hier ben ik weer, het onvoltooide dat in het verleden over het verleden nadenkt, imperfectum over plusquamperfectum. Dat praesens was een vergissing, dat geldt alleen maar nu, voor jou, al heb je dan geen naam. Hier zijn we tenslotte alle twee tegenwoordig, nog wel. (Nooteboom 1991: 49)

Can you keep track of my tenses? They are all past tenses, my thoughts were wandering, do excuse me. Here I am, back again, the imperfect reflecting on the past, simple past versus pluperfect. [That] present tense was a slip, it applied only to now, to you, although you are nameless. After all, we are both present here, still. (Nooteboom 1993: 49; translated by I. Rilke) In the second part, the I-character is on a ship that departs from Lisbon, with five other men. The mysterious, feminine, addressee of the story seems to be present as well, although some doubt remains whether she is real. The other men on the ship tell her the story of their death, and then disappear. It is suggested that the addressee is a construct of the five men who momentarily take over as narrator:

(7) ik zag iets wat ik ook bij de andere, latere verhalen zou zien: de verteller merkte iets in haar op dat hem oneindig vertrouwd voorkwam, alsof zij niet was wie zij was maar iets wat hij allang kende, zodat hij zijn verhaal niet tegen die vreemde vertelde maar tegen iemand die alleen hij zag. Wij zagen dus eigenlijk niemand, maar de verteller juist iemand die het hem mogelijk maakte om de woorden te vinden die de innerlijke werkelijkheid van zijn verhaal zo dicht mogelijk benaderden. (Nooteboom 1991: 87)

I saw something that I would see again when I heard the stories of the others: there was something about the woman that struck a chord of deep familiarity in him, as if she were not who she seemed to be but someone he had known for a very long time, so that he was not telling his story to [that] stranger but to someone whom only he could see. So in fact while we saw [no one], the narrator saw someone who inspired him to find the words to express the inner reality of his story. (Nooteboom 1993: 88-89; translated by I. Rilke)

In both parts of the book, past tenses tend to be used to describe the narrated situation, except when there are focalization shifts (see below). Present tenses are used to refer to the narrating situation, except at the end of the book, where the I-character is the last remaining character on the ship, and is about to tell his story. We expect the narrator to tell the story of his death, after which he would disappear, as was the case with the other men on the ship. Interestingly, at this point, a past tense is used (vertelde/told):

 (8) toen <u>vertelde</u> ik jou HET VOLGENDE VERHAAL [end of the book] (Nooteboom 1991: 96) then I <u>told</u> you THE FOLLOWING STORY (Nooteboom 1993: 98; translated by I. Rilke)

Thus, the past tense at the end of the book for the first time activates a deictic center that is posterior to the main narrating situation. We could say that one more metamorphosis takes place: after the metamorphosis of the main character, who woke up in another city (or probably died and was metamorphosed into a voice that remembers and narrates his memories), now the narrator – supposedly – told the story of his death and then was metamorphosed into a new narrator.

This last sentence makes clear that the novella is built upon a 'mise en abyme'structure, since the last sentence is also the title of the book. This has the reader wondering whether he/she is addressed by *you* (remember also the reflection on the extra or intradiegetic nature of *you*): the reader would then be the audience that the narrator needs in order to disappear and metamorphose into a new narrator. Although other interpretations are possible, it is clear that the book draws upon (rather explicit) reflections about story-telling, about the relation between an author, his narrators, and his audience, and spatiotemporal and interpersonal contextualization. Finally, it is worth mentioning the extra-textual conditions in which the book was originally commercialized, for this by now very successful and frequently translated novella was written in 1991 as the traditional gift for the annual Dutch *Week of the Book* and was offered for free to all customers of Dutch bookshops. The author of the gift was also the central guest of the Week of the Book. All these elements: the circumstances in which the book was written and given to the readers for free, its mise en abyme structure, the deliberately fuzzy or multi-interpretable *I* and *you*-forms, the overall theme of metamorphosis, and the character's search for the right temporal and spatial coordinates create a global setting where the interpreter inevitably will be concerned with the re-contextualization of the story.

In order to ease the comprehension of the different story-situations we will refer to in our analysis, we have listed them schematically in Table 1:

TABLE 1

The different story-situations in The Following Story

S-0	narrating situation (I-you)
S-1	main narrated situation part 1: the day when the I-character wakes up in Lisbon part 2: the I-character is on the ship that leaves Lisbon
S-2	situations spatiotemporally related to S-1
S-2a	the night before S-1 (part 1), when the I-character goes to bed in Amsterdam (related in time with S-1, but different place)
S-2b	adultery between the I-character and his colleague Maria Zeinstra in Lisbon (same place as S-1, but previous in time)
S-3	other situations in the past life of the I-character (e.g. episodes from his career as a teacher, or as a writer of travel guides)
S-4	the stories told by the other men on the boat

3.2. The unstable deictic center in the Spanish TT

We will now analyze two fragments in the Spanish TT which clearly show important deictic center shifts. The first fragment concerns the opening scene of the novella, which is a crucial place for contextualization (see Philippe 1998). It is indeed often the opening scene that gives crucial information about the spatiotemporal setting of the narrated story, and thus indirectly also about the situation of the deictic center. In excerpt 9 we offer a lengthy quote from the Spanish TT. The lexical spatiotemporal elements and verbal tenses that will be discussed are italicized and underlined respectively.

(9)

<u>NUNCA he tenido</u> un interés exagerado por mi propia persona, pero esto tampoco <u>implicaba</u> que fuera capaz de dejar de reflexionar sobre mí, sin más, cuando quisiera; lamentablemente éste no <u>es</u> el caso. Y <u>esta mañana</u> tenía algo sobre lo que reflexionar, eso es cierto. Otra persona hubiera hablado quizá de un asunto de vida o muerte, pero yo no utilizo este tipo de palabras grandilocuentes; ni siquiera cuando no hay nadie cerca, como <u>entonces</u>.

<u>Me desperté</u> con la ridícula sensación de que tal vez ya estaba muerto, pero <u>en ese momento</u> no pude determinar si ya estaba muerto de veras, si había estado muerto, o si por lo contrario no lo estaba. La muerte - había aprendido - no era nada, y si estabas muerto - esto también lo había aprendido - se paraban todas las consideraciones. Así que esto no casaba, ya que todavía las tenía: consideraciones, pensamientos y recuerdos. Y aún estaba en alguna parte; [...] Podía pagar incluso con dinero de curso legal. [...] Sabía también que estaba en Portugal, aunque la noche anterior me había acostado como siempre en Amsterdam, [...].

La habitación misma la había reconocido inmediatamente. Al fin y a la postre allí se había desarrollado uno de los episodios más importantes de mi vida, en la medida en que se pueda decir tal cosa de mi vida. Pero me desvío. Desde mi época de profesor sé que hay que contar todo al menos dos veces, y así dejar abierta la probabilidad de que surja orden en lo que parece caos. Por eso vuelvo a la primera hora de aquella mañana, el momento en el que abrí los ojos, que obviamente aún tenía. Alguien había dicho: «sentiremos las corrientes de aire entre las grietas de la construcción causal». Pues aquella mañana había una considerable corriente de aire en mí, [...] resonaba desde arriba, en esta habitación, ese sonido de lascivia humana tan doloroso para mí. Sólo había dos posibilidades: o no era mi habitación, o no era yo, y en este caso tampoco eran mis ojos ni mis oídos [...]. Permanecí tumbado y muy quieto, aunque sólo fuera para hacerme a la idea de que quizá mis ojos no fueran mis ojos, lo que, naturalmente, es una complicada manera de decir que yacía allí quieto como la muerte porque tenía un miedo de muerte de ser alguien diferente.

<u>I have never had</u> an exaggerated interest in my own person, but [this] <u>did not imply</u> I could stop thinking about myself at will, from one moment to the next; [unfortunately, <u>this is not</u> <u>the case</u>]. And <u>[this] morning</u> I certainly <u>had</u> something to think about. Another man might have resorted to a talk about life and death, but such weighty words do not come easily to my lips, even when there is no one else there, as was *then* the case.

I [woke up] with the ridiculous feeling that I might be dead, but whether I was actually dead, or had been dead, or vice versa, I could not ascertain [at that moment]. Death, I had learned, was nothingness, and if that was the state you were in, as I had also learned, all deliberation ceased. So that was not the state I was in, since I was still full of musings, thoughts, memories. And evidently I was still somewhere: [...] And I was able to pay with real money. [...] That I was in Portugal I already knew, though I had gone to bed in Amsterdam as usual. [...]

The room itself was immediately familiar. It was the very room that had been the setting of one of the most important episodes of my life, not that one would really call anything in my life important. But I digress. From my years spent as a teacher I know one has to repeat everything twice over to ensure at least the possibility of creating some order out of what appears to be chaos. Let me go back, then, to the first hour of [that] morning, the moment that I opened my eyes, which I still possessed. "We will feel the draught blowing through the cracks in the structure of causality," someone once said. Well, that morning it was very draughty indeed around me, [...] there were sounds coming from above which were most painful to me in [this] room, the sounds of human lust. There were two possibilities: either it was not my room, or this wasn't me, and in that case these were not my eyes nor my ears, [...]. I just lay there motionless, if only to get used to the idea that my eyes were perhaps not my eyes, which is just a roundabout way of saying that I lay there mortal quiet because I was scared to death of being someone else.

Ésta es la primera vez que <u>intento contarlo</u> y no es sencillo. No me atrevía a moverme, ya que si era otra persona, no sabía cómo debía hacerlo. [...] Permanecí durante largo tiempo así tendido e intenté recordar la noche anterior. No hay nada excitante en las noches de un soltero como yo, si es que yo era aquel de quien se trataba. [...] y vacío era lo que yo sentía en <u>esa cama extraña</u>. [...].

Desgraciadamente siempre he tenido un gran dominio de mí mismo, de otro modo quizá hubiera gritado, y quienquiera que fuese ese otro disponía también de esta misma cualidad y se mantenía tranquilo. Resumiendo, aquel que yacía <u>allí</u> decidió hacer caso omiso de sus o de mis especulaciones y entregarse a la tarea del recuerdo, y visto que él -quienquiera que fuesese decía a sí mismo «yo» en <u>esa habitación de</u> <u>Lisboa</u> que por descontado reconocía endiabladamente bien, me acordé de lo siguiente: la noche de un soltero que prepara su condumio en Amsterdam, lo que en mi caso significa la apertura de una lata de judías blancas. (Nooteboom 1991: 11-14)

This is the first time <u>*I*[*try*] to tell</u> anyone this, and it isn't easy. I didn't dare move, because if I actually was someone else I wouldn't know how. [...]

I lay for some time in the same position, and tried to piece together the events of the previous evening. There was nothing exciting about the evenings spent by a bachelor such as myself, at least not when I was at the center of things. [...] emptiness was what I felt in <u>that</u> <u>strange bed</u>. [...]

Self control is, alas, something I have always had in good measure, or I might have shouted out loud, and whoever the other one was, he was of the same bent, and kept his peace. In short, whoever it was lying <u>there</u> decided to ignore his or my speculations and devote himself to the task of remembering, and since he, whoever it was, referred to himself as «I» in <u>that room in Lisbon</u>, which of course I recognized with devilish precision, I remembered the following: the evening of a bachelor in Amsterdam cooking his own supper, which in my case amounts to opening a tin of beans. (Nooteboom 1993: 5-8; translated by I. Rilke)

In the opening scene of the book, we find references to two situations. The narrating situation (S-0) is referred to in **pero me desvío** (*but I digress*) and **ésta es la primera vez que intento contarlo** (*this is the first time I try to tell this*). The present tense anchors the deictic center to this narrating situation. Although explicitly mentioned, S-0 is minimally described: no information is given yet about the narrator's spatial or temporal situation or about the fictional addressee.

The second situation is S-1, the I-character waking up in Lisbon. To describe S-1, past tenses are used, e.g. tenía algo sobre lo que reflexionar (I had something to think about), me desperté (I woke up), or abrí los ojos (I opened my eyes). In this fragment, this happens systematically, except perhaps in the first paragraph, where an assertion in the past (esto tampoco implicaba/that did not imply) is followed by an assertion with a present tense (lamentablemente éste no es el caso/Unfortunately, this is not the case), although both sentences seem to refer to the same situation. Yet, in the group of lexical temporal indications, there is far more variation. First, a proximal este-form (esta mañana/this morning) is used, which situates the deictic center close to S-1. After this, however, the deictic center shifts away: in the next indication we find the medial-ese form (en ese momento/at that moment), and a little further even two instances of the marked distal form aquella mañana (that morning). Interestingly, the references with aquel appear after S-0 is evoked. Thus, at the beginning of the text the deictic center is placed near S-1, but when S-0 is described, the deictic center is anchored to the latter situation, and a clear distinction is drawn between S-0 (present + ésta/this) and S-1 (past + aquel/marked that). This same 'moving away'-tendency can be noted in the spatial indications: in the first spatial reference, a proximal form is used (esta habitación/this room), but later we

find **esa cama extraña** (*that strange bed*) and **esa habitación de Lisboa** (*that room in Lisbon*).

The deictic center shifts can be illustrated with a second fragment. (The I-character is sitting in a restaurant, and remembers the evening when he was there with his mistress, Maria Zeinstra. The dining room is surrounded by several mirrors.)

(10)

[Ella] Ponía la cabeza cada vez de una forma distinta, miraba desde diferentes ángulos con sus ojos, valoraba su cuerpo como sólo pueden hacerlo las mujeres, lo miraba de la forma en que lo miraban los otros. Con todas estas mujeres pelirrojas [yo] iba a dormir <u>esta noche</u>, incluso con la más lejana, con la más apartada; las manchas rojas en el negro campo de los camareros móviles; [...].

Llenaba tanto los espejos que <u>todavía hoy la</u> <u>busco</u> en ellos; pero no la veo. En algún sitio del software archivador, detrás de esta reluciente frente del hombre que me mira, allí permanece ella hablando, riendo, comiendo y flirteando con los camareros; [...]

Esa noche estuvimos andando, aun después de veinte años no tengo ninguna necesidad de migajas de pan para volver a encontrar el camino: sigo el trayecto de mi deseo. Quise ir a ese extraño saliente de la Praça do Comércio donde se verguen dos columnas en la suave ondulación del agua como un puerto hacia el océano y el resto del mundo. El nombre del dictador está escrito en ellas, pero él desapareció con su anacrónico imperio; el agua devora despacio las columnas. ¿Puedes descifrar todavía mis tiempos? Ya son completamente pasado, me había despistado por un momento, no me lo tomes a mal. Aquí estoy de nuevo, el imperfecto que reflexiona en el pasado sobre el pasado, imperfecto sobre pluscuamperfecto. Este presente era una equivocación, sólo sirve para ahora, para ti, aunque no tienes ningún nombre. Al fin y al cabo estamos presentes los dos aquí, todavía.

<u>Me senté</u> donde había estado sentado con ella y evoqué su recuerdo, pero no vino; [...].

<u>Intenté acordarme</u> de lo que habíamos hablado <u>aquella noche</u>; si he de fiarme de mi memoria no habíamos hablado de nada, habíamos estado sentados allí mudos, entre la misma gente [...] (Nooteboom 1991: 59-61) She had kept tilting her head, adjusting her angle of vision, appraising her body the way only women can, seeing it as others saw it. All those red haired women would sleep with me [*this*] *night*, even those furthest away, the splashes of red in the black field of bobbing waiters, [...]

The mirrors had been so crowded with her reflections that I still <u>expect</u> to see her in them <u>now</u>, but she is not there. Somewhere in the archival software behind that gleaming forehead of the man observing me that's where she is, talking, laughing, eating, flirting with the waiters, [...]

That evening we had walked. Even after twenty years I [need] no breadcrumbs to retrace the route we had taken. I follow the trajectory of my desire. I was drawn to that strange structure on the Praça do Comercio, where two columns rise from the gently rippling water like a gateway to the ocean and the world beyond. The dictator's name is carved in the marble, but he has gone now, taking his anachronistic empire with him; the water [pursues] its slow erosion of those columns. Can you keep track of my tenses? They are all past tenses, my thoughts were wandering, do excuse me. Here I am, back again, the imperfect reflecting on the past, simple past versus pluperfect. My present tense was a slip, it applie[s] only to now, to you, although you are nameless. After all, we are both present here, still.

<u>I sat down</u> where I had sat with her and invoked her memory, but she held back. [...]

<u>I tried to remember</u> what we had talked about *that evening*. If my memory serves me well we had talked about nothing. We had sat there in silence, surrounded by the same people [...] (Nooteboom 1993: 50; translated by I. Rilke)

In this fragment there are references to situations S-2b (the adultery in Lisbon), S-1 (the day of waking up in Lisbon) and S-0 (the narrating situation). The lexical

temporal references to S-2b show considerable variation: from proximal esta noche over medial esa noche to end with the marked distal aquella noche. The variable relation between the deictic center and situation S-2b is clearly a case of variable internal focalization. At the beginning of the fragment, we look through the eyes of the I-character at the moment S-2b, when he sees his mistress reflected in the mirrors. The activated deictic center is anchored to the conceptualizer of situation S-2b, and not to the narrator. After this, situation S-1 is described, with present tenses (e.g. todavía hoy la busco/I still expect to see her; no tengo ninguna necesidad/ I need no...; sigo el trayecto/I follow), which shifts the deictic center towards S-1. Now, S-2b is referred to by **esa noche**/that night. Then follows a remarkable fragment, where the narrator comments on his use of the present tense (el presente era una equivocación/ my present tense was a slip), and emphasizes that, except for the narrating situation S-0 all other situations, including S-1, belong to the past ([el presente] sólo sirve para ahora/it applies only to now). At this point, the deictic center is clearly anchored to S-0: situations S-1 and S-2b are referred to with past tenses, and, remarkably, situation S-2b is described with the marked distal aquella noche/that night.

3.3. Translational deictic shifts between ST and TT

We will now proceed to systematically analyze the translation of the spatiotemporal expressions, and the verbal tenses. As already stated, the aim of the ST/TT comparison is to distinguish the deictic shifts in the Spanish ST that can be related to the translational interpretation process, from those which merely reflect the variable internal focalization of the Dutch ST. We will focus separately on three different deictic markers, namely lexical spatial expressions, lexical temporal expressions and verbal tenses.

3.3.1. Lexical spatial expressions

Let us start considering the translation of the spatial adverbs <u>hier</u> (*here*) and <u>daar</u> (*there*). In Table 2 we find that the adverb <u>hier</u> is systematically translated by the lexical equivalent *aquí*, except in one case, where it is left implicit. There is more variation in the translation of the adverb <u>daar</u>: on a total number of 13 examples, 9 are translated with the equivalent **allí**, 3 cases are left implicit, and there is one shift towards the proximal **aquí**. This shift takes place in an example where <u>daar</u> refers to S-1 (11).

		Equivalent aquí	Ø				Shift > aquí	Equivalent allí	Ø
hier	S0	2			daar	S0			
	S-1	11	1			S-1	1	6	3
	S-2a					S-2a		1	
	S-2b					S-2b		1	
	S-3	2]		S-3		1	

TABLE 2
The translation of hier (here) and daar (there)

(11)		
nu, net als toen, sta ik <u>daar</u> en tel en kijk naar de grote, zwarte, ijzeren wijzer (Nooteboom 1991: 30)	ces, estoy <u>aquí</u> y cuento y miro la aguja de hierro	now, like then, I stand <u>there(NL) /</u> <u>here (ES)</u> and count and look at the great iron hand (Nooteboom 1993: 29; translated by I. Rilke)

Now, we will consider spatial expressions that consist of an NP introduced by a demonstrative determiner (e.g. *this/that room*). Table 3 shows that there are four spatial markers with a proximal demonstrative in the ST. They all refer to S-1, and are all translated by the most equivalent form, i.e., the proximal form **este/esta**. In contrast, the spatial markers introduced by a distal demonstrative in ST show considerable variation: of the ten cases, three are translated by medial **ese**, one by marked **aquel**, and up to five cases by proximal **este**. The translations with **este** refer to situations S-1 and S-2b, which both take place in Lisbon. **Aquel**, on the contrary, is used to refer to S-2a, which takes place in Amsterdam.

TABLE 3

The translation of spatial contextualization markers, type this/that room

		este	ese	aquel			este	ese	aquel	Other
<u>proximal</u> + place	S0				<u>distal</u> + place	S0				
e.g. <u>deze</u> <u>kamer</u> / this room	S-1	4			e.g. <u>die kamer</u> / that room	S-1	3	2		1
dit schip / this ship,	S-2a				dat bed / that bed	S-2a			1	
	S-2b					S-2b	2			
	S-3					S-3		1		

Thus, concerning spatial markers, we find that there are no shifts from proximal to medial or marked distal. In contrast, there are several shifts from distal to proximal, especially concerning the expressions with a demonstrative determiner. The shifts only happen when the Dutch distal refers to one of the situations that take place at the central location of the story, Lisbon. In the Dutch ST, there is already a link between the deictic center and Lisbon, which can be seen for example in the frequent use of proximals or *here* to refer to S-1. However, the Spanish translation reinforces this link, translating five out of ten distal demonstratives by a proximal, or by translating one case of <u>daar</u> (*there*) by **aquí** (*here*).

3.3.2. Lexical temporal expressions

The translation of the temporal adverbials \underline{nu} (*now*) and \underline{toen} (*then*) shows very few shifts. <u>Nu</u> is left implicit in three cases, but all other 31 examples are translated by the equivalent lexical items **ahora** or **ya**. Similarly, <u>toen</u> is almost always translated by the equivalent **entonces**. The only striking translation is **por aquel entonces**, in which **entonces** (*then*) is reinforced by the marked distal **aquel**. This expression emphasizes the temporal distancing of situation S-2b.

(11)

784 Meta, LIV, 4, 2009

		Equivalent ahora , ya	Ø			Equivalent entonces	por aquel entonces	Ø
nu	S0	7		toen	S0			
	S-1	19	2		S-1	4		1
	S-2a	1			S-2a			
	S-2b	1			S-2b	8	1	
	S-3	3	1		S-3			

TABLE 4 The translation of temporal adverbs <u>nu</u> (now) and <u>toen</u> (then)

Again, the translation of the NPs introduced by a demonstrative is more varied. First, we find that ST clearly avoids the proximal form: there is only one case of temporal proximity expressed by a demonstrative (there is also only one example of the compound form <u>vanavond</u> *-this evening-*, which also refers to S-1 and is also translated with **este**; the compounds <u>vanochtend</u>, <u>vanmiddag</u> and <u>vannacht</u> [resp. *this morning/afternoon/night*] do not occur). This clearly contrasts with the large group of 32 temporal expressions including a distal demonstrative. Of these examples, 17 are translated by medial distal **ese**, five are translated with proximal **este**, and ten with marked distal **aquel**.

TABLE 5

The translation of demonstrative temporal contextualization markers (e.g. this/that night)

		este	ese	aquel			este	ese	aquel
proximal + time	S0				<u>distal</u> + time	S0			
deze nacht	S-1	1			die avond, dat moment,	S-1	2	4	3
	S-2a					S-2a			1
	S-2b					S-2b	1	1	3
	S-3			ĺ		S-3	2	12	3

Several observations can be made. First it may be noted that three examples of *aquel* refer to situation S-1. This marked distancing is surprising, since the analysis of the spatial contextualization on the contrary revealed an approximation of the deictic center and S-1 (above). Interestingly, however, two of the three **aquel**-examples appear in the opening scene that was discussed in section 3.2. Let us compare in detail ST and TT:

(12)

Ik heb nooit een overdreven belangstelling gehad voor mijn eigen persoon, maar dat hield nog niet in dat ik zonder meer <u>kon</u> <u>ophouden</u> met over mezelf na te denken als ik dat wilde, <u>jammer</u> <u>genoeg niet</u>. En ik had <u>die ochtend</u> iets om over na te denken, dat is zeker. Een ander zou het misschien over een zaak van leven of dood gehad hebben, maar dat soort grote woorden krijg ik niet uit mijn mond, zelfs niet als er niemand bij is, zoals <u>toen</u>. NUNCA he tenido un interés exagerado por mi propia persona, pero esto tampoco implicaba que <u>fuera capaz</u> de dejar de reflexionar sobre mí, sin más, cuando quisiera; lamentablemente <u>éste no es el caso</u>. Y <u>esta mañana</u> tenía algo sobre lo que reflexionar, eso es cierto. Otra persona hubiera hablado quizá de un asunto de vida o muerte, pero yo no utilizo este tipo de palabras grandilocuentes; ni siquiera cuando no hay nadie cerca, como <u>entonces</u>. I have never had an exaggerated interest in my own person, but that did not imply <u>I could stop</u> thinking about myself at will, from one moment to the next; [unfortunately, <u>this is not the</u> <u>case</u>]. And <u>that (NL) / this (ES)</u> <u>morning</u> I certainly had something to think about. Another man might have resorted to a talk about life and death, but such weighty words do not come easily to my lips, even when there is no one else there, as was <u>then</u> the case. Ik was wakker geworden met het belachelijke gevoel dat ik misschien wel dood was, maar of ik nu echt dood was, of dood geweest was, of juist niet, kon ik op dat ogenblik niet uitmaken. [...] Maar ik dwaal af. [...] Ik keer [...] terug tot het eerste uur van die ochtend, het ogenblik waarop ik mijn ogen [...] opendeed. 'We zullen het voelen tochten tussen de kieren van het causale bouwwerk,' heeft iemand gezegd. Nou, die ochtend tochtte het bij mij behoorlijk, [...]. (Nooteboom 1991: 7-8)

Me desperté con la ridícula sensación de que tal vez ya estaba muerto, pero en ese momento no pude determinar si ya estaba muerto de veras, si había estado muerto, o si por lo contrario no lo estaba. [...] Pero me desvío. [...] vuelvo a la primera hora de aquella mañana, el momento en el que abrí los ojos, [...]. Alguien había dicho: «sentiremos las corrientes de aire entre las grietas de la construcción causal». Pues <u>aquella mañana</u> había una considerable corriente de aire en mí, [...]. (Nooteboom 1992: 11-12; translated by J. Grande)

I [woke up] with the ridiculous feeling that I might be dead, but whether I was actually dead, or had been dead, or vice versa, I could not ascertain [at that moment]. [...] But I digress. [...] Let me go back, then, to the first hour of [that] morning, the moment that I opened my eyes, [...] «We will feel the draught blowing through the cracks in the structure of causality,» someone once said. Well, that morning it was very draughty indeed around me, [...]. (Nooteboom 1993: 5-6; translated by I. Rilke)

In the Dutch ST we find a relatively univocal contextualization, since the deictic center is consistently distanced from S-1, by the use of past tenses, and distal demonstratives (die ochtend, dat ogenblik). The deictic center seems to be anchored to the narrator and the narrating situation S-0, which is explicitly referred to in the same fragment. In the Spanish TT, the contextualization is far more variable. At the beginning, the deictic center is approximated to S-1, with a present tense in éste no es el caso (this is not the case), as an explicitation of the implicit tense in jammer genoeg niet (unfortunately not), and also with esta mañana (this morning) as a translation of die ochtend (that morning). The first paragraph ends with the adverb toen, translated with the equivalent entonces and after this, the translator opts for the medial en ese momento (at that moment) and consistently uses the past tense to describe S-1. The explicit reference to the narrating situation S-0 constitutes a major break in the text, since it foregrounds the deictic center explicitly. This has a clear effect on the Spanish TT: although in the Dutch ST references to S-1 remain unchanged (die ochtend/that morning), in Spanish, aquella mañana now is used, and not esta or esa mañana. The use of aquel enforces a separation between S-1 and S-0, situating the deictic center nearby S-0 and moving it away from S-1.

In our view, we are witnessing here the traces of the translator's interpretive search for the coordinates of the deictic center. First, the translator seems inclined to approximate the deictic center to the described situation S-1, more than is the case in the ST, but afterwards, when S-0 is described explicitly, the marked distals emphasize on the contrary a distancing with respect to S-1. At this stage of the analysis, it is important to note that the use of **aquel** to refer to S-1 is almost restricted to the opening scene of the story. In the rest of the story, **ese** or even proximal **este** are used. Distancing S-1 therefore certainly does not correspond to a deliberate strategy of the translator. The shifts in the opening scene are better interpreted as traces of the starting interpretation process, where the interpreter is trying to *focus the lens* of the camera.

A second observation concerning the data in Table 5 is that **aquel** is the most frequent demonstrative referring to situation S-2b (adultery in Lisbon): **aquel** is used in three out of five cases. Interestingly, in the situations of category 3 (which show

no spatial or temporal overlap with S-1), **aquel** is less frequently used (three out of seventeen cases), although these situations may be even more distant in time than situation S-2b. In excerpt 13 **aquel** refers to S2-b:

(13)

Wat ik <u>hier deed</u>, [...], moest een pelgrimage zijn naar <u>die dagen</u>, en als dat zo was moest ik als een vrome middeleeuwer langs alle plaatsen uit mijn zo korte heiligenleven, alle staties waar het verleden een gezicht had. Net als de lichten onder mij zou ik in de stad afdalen tot aan de rivier, [...] Steeds opnieuw had ze die veerbootjes willen nemen, <u>toen</u>, een orgie van aankomst en afscheid. (Nooteboom 1991: 47-48)

Lo que hacía aquí, [...], debía de ser un peregrinaje a aquellos días; y si era así, tenía que ir como un devoto medieval a lo largo de todos los lugares de mi tan breve vida de santo, a todas las estaciones donde el pasado tenía un rostro. Igual que las luces a mis pies, descendería por la ciudad hasta llegar al río; [...] Ella siempre quería volver a coger estos pequeños transbordadores, en aquel entonces, una orgía de llegada y despedida. (Nooteboom 1992: 58; translated by J. Grande)

[What I did] <u>here</u>, [...], could well be a pilgrimage back to <u>those</u> <u>days</u>, and if so I, like a medieval pilgrim, would have to visit all the sites of my brief holy life, all the stations where the past had a face. Like the lights in the city below I would descend to the river, [...]. She had kept wanting to take those little ferries, <u>[then]</u>, an orgy of arrival and departure. (Nooteboom 1993: 47; translated by I. Rilke)

The excerpt starts with a description in the past of S-1, with the main character wandering through Lisbon, and revisiting his past experiences, amongst others the adultery in the same Lisbon (S-2b). The translator uses **aquellos días** and **en aquel entonces** to refer to S-2b. This draws a distinction between the past of S-1, and the past of S-2b, distancing the latter one explicitly from the activated deictic center.

Interestingly, the temporal distancing of S-2b contrasts with the spatial approximation that we deduced from Table 3: there, we found that the two spatial references to S-2b with a distal demonstrative in Dutch are translated with a proximal demonstrative in Spanish (14).

(14)

Daar had ik nog grappen over gemaakt, tegen haar, maar zij hield niet van dat soort grappen. Daarvoor was zij niet <u>in die</u> <u>kamer</u>. Zij was <u>in die kamer</u> om wraak te nemen, en daar had zij mij nu eenmaal bij nodig. (Nooteboom 1991: 19) Había hecho chistes acerca de ellos, pero a ella no le gustaba esa clase de chistes. No estaba <u>en</u> <u>esta habitación</u> para eso. Estaba <u>en esta habitación</u> para vengarse, y para esto era simplemente para lo que me necesitaba. (Nootebooom 1992: 26; translated by J. Grande)

I had even made funny remarks about them to her, but she didn't like such jokes. She wasn't <u>[in that</u> (NL)/this (ES) room] for that kind of thing. She was <u>[in that (NL)/</u> <u>this (ES) room]</u> for revenge, and for that she needed me. (Nooteboom 1993: 18; translated by I. Rilke)

Obviously, the temporal distancing and spatial approximation reflect exactly the relation between situations S-2b and S-1, which *happen* at the same place, but at different moments in time. In other words, the described situation S-1 affects the contextualization of the other situations: if a situation takes place in the same spatial setting but at a different moment in time, the TT emphasizes the spatial approximation and the temporal distancing more than the ST. In the situations which show no temporal or spatial connection with S-1 (i.e., situations of category 3), the translational shifts are far less important (Tables 3 and 5).

Nevertheless, it would be erroneous to state that this tendency is only to be found in the TT. In fact, the data show that the translation reinforces a tendency that is already present in the ST: Tables 2-5 show that, when proximals are used in the ST, this usually happens to refer to S-1. In other words, the described situation S-1 functions as a pole of attraction for the location of the deictic center in the ST, and this effect is still reinforced in the Spanish translation.

3.3.3. Past and present verbal tenses

We will now discuss the use and the translation of the verbal tenses. The present analysis is restricted to the shifts between past and present tenses, and does not focus for example on the choice between the different past tenses in Spanish to translate Dutch past tenses. It is certainly true that this choice can also have narratological implications, but these are very difficult to separate from other considerations such as aspect.

In the descriptions of situation S-0, the present tense is used systematically through the whole story. Only at the end of the book (15), there is a shift in the way of referring to S-0, for then is it presented as anterior to the deictic center. As we stated earlier, this happens very clearly in the last sentence (toen vertelde ik jou/ then I told you) where a past tense is used. This is translated equivalently in the Spanish TT (*entonces te conté*). However, in the Dutch ST, there is another, more subtle, reference that also describes S-0 as anterior to the deictic center. This happens in the sentence zodra ik jou mijn verhaal verteld had (as soon as I had told you my story): this sentence refers to a moment posterior to the narrating situation, but this reference point is also situated in the past, as is indicated by the use of the pluperfect tense. In the Spanish TT, in contrast, this is not the case, since the posterior relation is defined with respect to the present: **tan pronto como te haya contado** (as soon as I will have told you). This means that in the Spanish TT, S-0 will remain the deictic center's anchor point for a while longer, as the translator will take more time to locate the deictic center in the very obscure posteriority to the narrating moment (see above).

(15)

Onwetend was die man in Amsterdam gaan slapen, niet wetend wat voor reis hij zou maken. Iemand zou hem vinden zodra ik jou mijn verhaal verteld had, [...] Je wenkte, het was niet moeilijk je te volgen. Jij had me iets geleerd over de onmeteliikheid, hoe in de kleinste hoeveelheid tijd een mateloze ruimte aan herinnering kan worden geborgen, en terwijl ik zo klein en toevallig mocht blijven als mezelf had jij me geleerd hoe groot ik was. Je hoeft me niet meer te wenken, ik kom al. Niemand van de anderen zal mijn verhaal horen, niemand van hen zal zien dat de vrouw die daar zit en op mij wacht het gezicht heeft van mijn allerliefste Krito, van het

Ese hombre de Amsterdam había ido a dormir ignorante, sin saber qué clase de viaje iba a hacer. Alguien lo encontraría tan pronto como te haya contado mi historia; [...] Me hiciste señas, no fue muy difícil seguirte. Me habías enseñado algo sobre la inconmensurabilidad; cómo en la más pequeña cantidad de tiempo puede almacenarse un inmenso espacio para el recuerdo, y mientras podía quedarme tan pequeño y casual como yo mismo, me habías enseñado lo grande que yo era. Ya no tienes que hacerme señas, ya voy. Ninguno de los otros oirá mi historia, ninguno de ellos verá que la mujer que está allí y me espera tiene el rostro de mi

[That] man in Amsterdam had gone to sleep unknowing, ignorant of the voyage that lay in store for him. Someone would find him as soon as I had told (NL) / [will have told] (ES) you my story. [...] You beckoned, it was not difficult to follow. You had taught me something about infinity, about how an immeasurable space of memories can be stored in the most minute timespan, and while I was permitted to remain as small and coincidental as I was, you had shown me my true stature. You needn't beckon me any longer, I'm coming. None of the others will hear my story, none of them will see that the woman sitting there waiting for me has the features of my dearest

meisje dat mijn leerling was, zo jong dat je met haar over de onsterfelijkheid kon spreken. <u>En</u> <u>toen vertelde ik haar</u>, toen <u>vertelde</u> <u>ik jou</u> HET VOLGENDE VERHAAL (Nooteboom 1991: 95-96) amadísimo Critón, de la muchacha que fue mi alumna, tan joven que podías hablar con ella de la inmortalidad. Y entonces <u>le</u> <u>conté</u>, entonces <u>te conté</u> LA HISTORIA SIGUIENTE (Nooteboom 1992: 110-111; translated by J. Grande) Crito, the girl who was my pupil, so young that one could speak about immortality with her. And then <u>I told her</u>, then <u>I told you</u> THE FOLLOWING STORY (Nooteboom 1993: 97-98; translated by I. Rilke)

In the descriptions of situation S-1, past tenses are most frequently used both in ST and TT (following a rough estimation, past tenses are used in about 90% of the verbal forms referring to S-1). However, there are also shifts in the internal focalization, and then present tenses are used. Excerpt 16 is an example of this: at the beginning we find past tenses (wist ik/I knew; intentaba/I tried). This description is followed by some memories that the I-character had in situation S-1. He remembered particularly one of the situations S-3, namely a period in his teaching career (in dat ene jaar van genade/in that one year of grace). At the end of the paragraph, situation S-1 is described again (the anchor point of the memories), but now present tenses are used (al weet ik niet waarom ik hier ben/ although I still do not know why I am here). The following paragraph elaborates the description of S-1, and continues to use the present tense (doe ik/I am going; blijft/remains; is het nu/now I am).

(16)

En ineens wist ik wat ik de vorige avond [...] gedacht had. De vloedgolf die me overspoeld had in mijn slaap of halfslaap was angst geweest, [...]. Ik probeerde diezelfde angst nu weer te voelen maar het werkte niet meer, met alle zekerheden van Newton stond ik aan de rode tegels van de badkamer van kamer 6 van het Essex House in Lissabon genageld, en dacht aan Maria Zeinstra, [...] Ik was lelijk en schoonheid was mijn passie, [...]. En in dat ene jaar van genade was er iemand die dat zag, nee, het was erger dan dat, zij kon het zelf ook. Zij miste mijn kennis, maar dat gaf niets. Elke regel Latijn waar Lisa d'India zich overheen boog, begon te vloeien, te leven, te stromen. Zij was een wonder, en al weet ik niet waarom ik hier ben, ik weet in ieder geval dat zij er iets mee te maken heeft.

<u>Nu doe ik</u> een stap terug, maar dat vreemde <u>blijft</u>, alsof ik van binnen verlicht ben. Als het gisteravond angst was, <u>is het nu</u> ontroering. (Nooteboom 1991:22-23) Y de repente supe lo que la pasada noche [...] había pensado. La marejada que me había sumergido en el sueño o adormilamiento había sido miedo, [...]. Intentaba ahora volver a sentir ese mismo miedo. pero ya no funcionaba; con todas las garantías de Newton me hallaba clavado en las baldosas rojas del baño de la habitación 6 del Essex House de Lisboa, y pensaba en Maria Zeinstra, [...] Yo era feo y mi pasión era la belleza; [...] Y en este único año de gracia hubo alguien que vio esto; no, era aún mucho más, ella misma también sabía hacerlo. Le faltaban mis conocimientos, pero eso no importaba. Cada verso latino sobre el que Lisa d'India se inclinaba empezaba a brotar, a vivir, a fluir. Era una maravilla, y aunque no sé por qué estoy aquí, en cualquier caso sé que ella tiene algo que ver.

<u>Ahora doy</u> un paso hacia atrás, pero <u>queda</u> la extrañeza; como si estuviera iluminado por dentro. Lo que anoche era miedo, <u>ahora</u> <u>es</u> emoción. (Nooteboom 1992: 29-30) And suddenly I knew what I had been thinking the previous evening, [...]. The wave that had overwhelmed me in my sleep or half sleep had been fear, [...] I tried to conjure up [now] the same fear again, but it was no good. Armed with all Newton's laws I stood there, glued to the red tiles in the bathroom of room 6 of the Essex House in Lisbon, and I thought of Maria Zeinstra, [...] I was ugly and beauty was my passion [...]. And in that one year of grace there was someone who actually understood. No, it was worse, she had the same ability. She lacked the knowledge I had, but that didn't matter. Every line of Latin that Lisa d'India bent over began to flower, to live, to stream. She was a miracle, and although I still do not know why I am here, I know she has something to do with it.

<u>I am going back</u> a bit, but the strangeness <u>remains</u>, as if I had an inner glow. If I was afraid last night, <u>now I am moved</u>. (Nooteboom 1993: 21-22; translated by I. Rilke) As can be inferred from excerpt 10, the variable internal focalization in the Dutch ST is most frequently translated equivalently in the Spanish TT. However, although translational shifts are not very frequent (6 cases), they show a clear pattern: five out of six cases concern a shift from a past tense in the Dutch ST to a present tense in the Spanish TT. Only one example shows the opposite shift.

Let us consider (17), which is an illustration of the past-to-present translational shift. Excerpt 17 starts with a description of S-1 using present tenses (ik sta nu hier/ I am standing here now; hangt er/is still hanging; dat mag ik ook wel eens doen/perhaps I should do the same). Up to this point, the tenses used in the Spanish TT are equivalent to the ones used in the Dutch ST. Then, an important reflection is inserted, when the narrator says that he should make time obey him (make the past run towards me like an obedient dog). After this reflection, the ST refers to S-1 with past tenses: daar kon ik het beste mee beginnen (I might as well start straight away⁴) and ik werd er een stuk groter door (it made me considerably taller). In the Spanish TT, the shift towards the past tenses also occurs, but only in the last sentence (me hizo un poco más alto): in the first case, a present is used (lo mejor es que empiece en seguida)

(17)

<u>ik sta nu hier</u> [...] <u>De omgekeerde</u> <u>klok hangt er nog</u>, [...]

Hoe zei ik het toch altijd weer tegen de klas? Naar de vorm zijn de Historiae van Tacitus annalistisch [...], maar hij onderbreekt zijn verhalen dikwijls om de volgorde van de gebeurtenissen vast te kunnen houden. Dat mag ik ook wel eens doen, een zonnehoed kopen, orde scheppen in mijn hoofd, de tijden uit elkaar houden, naar boven lopen, uit het kronkelige labyrint van de Alfama vluchten, boven in de koelte van een bela sombra gaan zitten bij het Castelo de São Jorge, de stad aan mijn voeten zien liggen, overzicht hebben over de stand van mijn leven, de volgorde van de klok omdraaien en de verleden tijd naar mij toe laten lopen als een gehoorzame hond. Ik zou zoals gewoonlijk weer alles zelf moeten doen en daar kon ik het beste meteen mee beginnen. Maar eerst een zonnehoed. Wit, gevlochten riet. Ik werd er een stuk groter door. 'Hé jongens, Sokrates heeft een potenhoed op zijn bril.' (Nooteboom 1991: 32-33)

<u>ahora estoy aquí [</u>...] El reloj invertido está todavía colgado; [...]

¿Qué es lo que siempre repetía en clase? Por la forma, las Historiae de Tácito son analísticas [...]; pero él interrumpía sus historias a menudo para poder mantener compacto el orden de los sucesos. Eso también lo puedo hacer yo: comprar un sombrero para el sol, poner orden en mi cabeza, mantener separados los tiempos, ir hacia arriba, huir del sinuoso laberinto de la Alfama, sentarme en lo alto, al frescor de una bela sombra, junto al Castelo de Sáo Jorge; ver la ciudad a mis pies, hacer balance de mi vida, dar la vuelta al orden del reloj y lograr que el tiempo pasado venga a mí como un perro dócil. Debería hacer de nuevo todo esto por mi propia cuenta, como de costumbre, y lo mejor es que empiece en seguida. Pero lo primero es un sombrero para el sol. Blanco, de paja. Me hizo un poco más alto. «¡Eh, chicos, Sócrates tiene un sombrero de marica sobre sus gafas!» (Nooteboom 1992: 40-41; translated by J. Grande)

I am standing here now, [...] The back to front clock *is still hanging there* [...]

What was it that I always told my class? Purely as form Tacitus' Histories are annalistic [...], but he frequently interrupts his narrative in order to stick with the strict order of events. *Perhaps* I should do the same: buy a sun hat, get my head together, keep past and present apart, go up the hill, flee the tortuous labyrinth of the Alfama, rest for a while in the cool shade of a bela sombra by the Castelo de São Jorge, contemplate the city lying at my feet, survey the state of my life, reverse the order of the clock and make the past run towards me like an obedient dog. I would, as usual, have to do everything myself, and I might as well start straight away. But first a sun hat. White, woven straw. It made me considerably taller. "Hey, guys, Socrates is wearing a poofter's hat on his specs." (Nooteboom 1993: 30-32; translated by I. Rilke)

The fact that there are five shifts from past to present, and only one from present to past may be related to the previously observed tendency on the part of the Spanish translator to anchor the deictic center to the described situation S-1. However, this tendency does not seem to be a deliberate translational strategy, since shifts are occasional and not systematic.⁵ The overall translational strategy is to render a translation as equivalent as possible. The shifts are traces of the complex interpretation process that the translator went through, not the result of a deliberate productive strategy.

An additional argument for this is that almost all translational shifts regarding the tenses, such as 17, are found at the end of the paragraph, and in fact are cases where the translation fails to immediately follow a focalization shift that occurs in the ST. Focalization shifts are an important structural and thematic feature of the Dutch ST, which may be related to the overall theme of metamorphosis: the I-character of the story is explicitly searching for the coordinates of his life, and in a similar way the first-person narrator seems to search for the coordinates of his story. One of the techniques frequently applied by Nooteboom to emphasize the mobility of the deictic center is to situate the deictic shifts within the paragraph, instead of making them coincide with major breaks in the text, such as new chapters, or new paragraphs. This is what happens in excerpt 17: we find present and past tenses to refer to S-1 within the same paragraph. Now, the majority of the translational shifts concerning tenses occur when there is such a deictic shift at the end of the paragraph in the ST. The translator then seems to have more difficulty following the deictic shift, and waits a little longer to execute it (see 17). Another illustration of this phenomenon is (18), the only case of a present-to-past translational shift. In (18), both the Dutch ST and the Spanish TT start with a reference to S-1 with a past tense (stond ik/estaba junto/ I was standing) but then the Dutch ST shifts to a present tense within the same paragraph (nu sta/I am standing) and continues to use this tense in the next paragraph (draai me om/I turn around; weet/I know, ...). The Spanish TT, on the contrary, waits to execute this shift until the new paragraph (me vuelvo; sé, ...): the reference in the first paragraph is still using a past tense (ahora estaba/I was standing).

(18)

Ik was teruggekomen, [...], en nu stond ik aan de rivier, dezelfde van ooit en toen, alleen, ik kende hem nu beter, [...] Later (veel later) had ik dat ooit tegen Lisa d'India gezegd: 'Latijn is het wezen, Frans de gedachte, Spaans het vuur, Italiaans de lucht (ik zei natuurlijk «aether»), Catalaans de aarde, en Portugees het water.' Zij had gelachen, hoog, helder, maar Maria Zeinstra niet. Misschien was het wel op dezelfde plek waar ik nu sta dat ik het op haar uitprobeerde, maar zij zag er niets in. 'Voor mij is Portugees een soort gefluister,' zei ze, 'ik versta er niets van. En van dat water en zo, dat lijkt me nogal

Yo había regresado, [...] y ahora estaba junto al río, el mismo de siempre, sólo que ahora lo conocía mejor, [...] Más tarde (mucho más tarde) le dije una vez a Lisa d'India lo siguiente: «El latín es la esencia, el francés el pensamiento, el español el fuego, el italiano el cielo (dije «éter», por supuesto), el catalán la tierra, y el portugués el agua». Se había reído alto y claro, pero Maria Zeinstra no. Quizá fuera en el mismo lugar donde ahora estaba donde lo probé con ella, pero no lo apreció. «Para mí el portugués es una especie de murmullo», dijo, «no entiendo nada. Y lo del agua y todo lo demás me parece

I had returned, [...] now I was standing by the river, the same as ever, only I knew it better now, [...] Later (much later) I had told Lisa d'India: «Latin is the essence, French the idea, Spanish the fire, Italian the air [naturally I had said ether], Catalan the earth, and Portuguese is water.» She had laughed, a clear, high pitched laugh, but Maria Zeinstra had not laughed. Perhaps I [am] (NL) / was (ES) standing on the very same spot where I had tried it out on her, but she had not been impressed. "Portuguese is rather like whispering, I find," she said, "I can't understand a word. And about the water and

gratuit, in ieder geval niet erg	bastante gratuito; en cualquier	so on, that strikes me as a bit
wetenschappelijk.' Daar had ik,	caso no muy científico». Ante	gratuitous, doesn't it you? Not
zoals gewoonlijk, niets op te	esto, como de costumbre, yo no	very scientific, anyway." As so
zeggen. Ik was al blij dat ze er	tenía nada que decir. Estaba	often, I could not think of
was [].	contento de que ella estuviera	anything to say in return. I was
	allí, []	grateful for her company, []
<u>Ik draai me om</u> naar de stad die	<u>Me vuelvo</u> hacia la ciudad, que	<i>I turn round</i> to face the city rising
langzaam omhoogloopt en <u>weet</u>	sube despacio, y <u>sé</u> que busco	gradually before me and <i>I know</i>
dat ik hier iets zoek, maar wat?	algo; ¿pero qué? Algo que quiero	that I am searching for some-
Iets wat ik terug <u>wil zien</u> , en	<u>volver a ver</u> , y sólo sabré qué es	thing, but what? Something <u>I</u>
waarvan ik pas zal weten wat het	cuando lo vuelva a ver. Y	want to see again, and I will only
is áls ik het terugzie. En dan <i>zie</i>	entonces lo <u>veo</u> :	recognize what it is when I see it.
<i>ik het</i> : (Nooteboom 1991: 28-29)	(Nooteboom 1992: 37-38;	And suddenly there it is:
	translated by J. Grande)	(Nooteboom 1993: 28-29;
		translated by I. Rilke)

In excerpts 17 and 18, the internal focalization shift occurs later in the TT than in the ST. We can therefore identify some TT inertia when it comes to follow the dynamic shifts of the ST.

4. Conclusion

In the ST/TT analysis, we have described the translational deictic shifts in the Spanish translation of TFS. These shifts are clearly not the result of a deliberate overall strategy of the translator: shifts are occasional, not systematic. We believe that the translational shifts are traces of the translator's cognitive deictic center shift, i.e., the interpreter's effort of adopting the vantage point of the narrating voice(s) in the text. In fact, the textual translational shifts reveal that the interpretive cognitive shift is not self-evident, but rather a continuous search for the narrative coordinates, and that the contextualization of a story is indeed a re-contextualizaton. In this sense, it is interesting to observe that translational deictic shifts are especially important at the beginning of the story – the interpretive search for the coordinates being very challenging at this point –, or when the deictic shifts in the source text do not coincide with major textual breaks –making them more surprising and more difficult to appreciate.

Although the translational shifts are occasional, they show a quite coherent pattern, which may be summarized as follows: in comparison with the ST, in the TT the deictic center is more frequently anchored to the main narrated situation (the main character waking up in Lisbon), except when the narrating situation is explicitly referred to. So, for example, there are more proximal spatial adverbial expressions to refer to the main narrated situation in the TT, and almost all the shifts in the verbal tenses concern a shift from a past to a present tense referring to this situation. In other words, in the complex cognitive task of re-adopting the vantage point(s) of the ST, the translator seems to be more oriented towards the main character's vantage point (*who perceives*) than to the mysterious narrator's vantage point (*who speaks*). Yet, this is only true for the *main* narrated situation S-1. We have shown that other narrated situations are in fact more distanced from the deictic center, for example using the marked distal temporal **aquel**.

At first sight, this contradicts the results of Mason and Şerban (2003), who found a distancing trend in translation and explained it by the tendency to anchor the deictic center not to the character's vantage point but to the external narrator's. However, in our view, there is a more fundamental mechanism generalizing across the different descriptive results, namely a tendency to emphasize the most secure vantage point (see also Goethals 2008). Our main point is that this is text-dependent. The most secure vantage point may be an external narrator, or even an objective, omniscient voice, and in these cases we expect translation to favor external focalization or a more objective rendering (Jonasson 2001; Mason and Şerban 2003), but in other texts, such as TFS, it may be a deictic center involving internal focalization. We have shown that this is closely related to its thematic and structural characteristics. In TFS, the conceptualization of the narrating situation offers an important interpretive challenge: on the one hand, it is explicitly referred to as a specific situation, with a first and a you-character, and with verbal descriptions with present tenses, but on the other hand, it is very unclear where or when it takes place, or how it relates to the main narrated situation. It is even doubtful whether it really takes place in the fictional world, or whether it is a projection of another fictional narrator. In this sense, in TFS the vantage point of the narrating situation is far less secure than the vantage point of the main narrated situation. Especially the spatial coordinates of the main narrated situation (the room where the character wakes up, and the city of Lisbon) are secure coordinates.

What makes TFS so particular is that the traces of the interpreter's deictic center shift (the differences between ST and TT) and in fact seem to reinforce the textual deictic shifts in the ST (the dynamic internal focalization), which in their turn reinforce the character's search for the deictic coordinates of his experience. On different ontological levels, the character, the narrator and the translator are confronted with the same challenge of re-contextualization, as a form of remembering past experiences (the character), recounting memories (the narrator) or re-creating a story-telling (the translator). This exceptional matching of the different ontological levels may perhaps help to understand the exceptional position of this text with respect to previous research.

As a concluding methodological remark within Translation Studies, we hope to have shown the virtues of a close reading of individual samples in a corpus: exceptions might prove and even refine a more general rule, but this can only be shown through an in-detail analysis of the text. Corpus studies based on large collections of small samples seeking to describe general trends in translation might underexpose the individual characteristics that are needed to explain the data adequately. With respect to narratological studies on deictic center shift we hope to have shown that studies on translated texts might make a valuable contribution in this field, considering translational deictic shifts as traces of the translator's effort to execute the more fundamental deictic center shift which every reader of a text is invited to.

NOTES

1. All references indicated refer to:

NOOTEBOOM, Cees (1991): *Het volgende verhaal*. Amsterdam: De arbeiderspers. NOOTEBOOM, Cees (1992): *La historia siguiente*. Madrid: Siruela. Translated by Julio Grande. NOOTEBOOM, Cees (1993): *The Following Story*. London: Harvill. Translated by Ina Rilke. The novel has also been translated into French: NOOTEBOOM, Cees (1991): *L'histoire suivante*. Arles: Actes Sud. Translated by Philippe Noble.

- 2. For the English translations of the excerpts, we use the translation *The Following Story*, by Ina Rilke (London: Harvill, 1994), adapting it, when necessary, in order to reflect the contextualization cues of the Dutch ST. Changes are indicated between brackets. For an easier reading, the longer excerpts (9 and 10) and the ones illustrating the translational analysis (11-18) are presented horizontally.
- 3. The bidirectional corpus analysis in Goethals (2007) showed on the one hand that the demonstrative-definite alternation can be explained by a systemic-linguistic difference between Dutch and Spanish, Dutch preferring the demonstrative expressions. On the other hand, the pronoun/definite nominal alternation was explained as a case of the *universal* explicitation trend in translation.
- 4. Unlike the English modal, the past tense in Dutch <u>kon</u> is necessarily interpreted as a temporal marker, and not as a modality marker.
- 5. Although they are not very frequent, it is possible to find translations that modify the contextualization process in a systematic way. This is for example the case in the Spanish translation of the youth novel *De Kloof* of Jan Terlouw, where all present tenses indicating internal focalization are systematically replaced by past tenses.

REFERENCES

- BEKKERING, Harry (1998): Ons leren is niets anders dan herinnering: Plato en Ovidius in *Het volgende verhaal* van Nooteboom. *In:* Marcel F. FRESCO and Rudi van DER PAARDT, eds. *Naar hoger honing? Plato en Platonisme in de Nederlandse literatuur.* Groningen: Historische Uitgeverij.
- BOSSEAUX, Charlotte (2007): *How Does it Feel? Point of View in Translation. The Case of Virginia Woolf into French*. Amsterdam: Rodopi.
- BUTT, John and BENJAMIN, Carmen (1994): A New Reference Grammar of Modern Spanish. London: Edward Arnold.
- DA MILANO, Federica (2007): Demonstratives in parallel texts: a case study. *Sprachtypologie und Universalienforschung*. 60(2):135-147.
- DOIZ-BIENZOBAS, Aintzane (2003): An Analysis of English, Spanish and Basque Demonstratives in Narrative: A Matter of Viewpoint. *International Journal of English Studies (IJES)*. 3(2):63-84. GENETTE, Gérard (2007): *Discours du récit. Essai de méthode*. Paris: Seuil.
- GOETHALS, Patrick (2007): Corpus-driven Hypothesis Generation in Translation Studies, Contrastive Linguistics and Text Linguistics. A case study of demonstratives in Spanish and Dutch parallel texts. *Belgian Journal of Linguistics*. 21:87-104.
- GOETHALS, Patrick (2008): Between semiotic linguistics and narratology: Objective grounding and similarity in essayistic translation. *Linguistica Antverpiensia*. 7:93-110.
- HERMAN, David (2002): *Story Logic. Problems and Possibilities of Narrative*. Lincoln: University of Nebraska Press.
- HOTTENTOT, Wim (1994): Socrates' dood als metamorfose: Nootebooms *Het volgende verhaal* en de klassieken. *In*: Rob DE JONG, Wim VAN LAKWIJK, eds. *Virtute e canoscenza*. Amsterdam: Mets.
- JONASSON, Kerstin (2001): Traduction et point de vue narratif. In: Olof ERIKSSON, ed. Aspekter av litterär översättning från franska. Kollokvium vid Växjö universitet 11-12 maj 2000. (Svensk-franskt översättningssymposium, Växjö, 11-12 May 2000). Växjö: Växjö University Press, 69-81.
- JONASSON, Kerstin (2002): Références déictiques dans un texte narratif. Comparaison entre le français et le suédois. *In*: Marek KESIK, ed. *Référence discursive dans les langues romanes et slaves. Actes du Colloque International de Linguistique textuelle.* (Colloque International de Linguistique textuelle, Lublin, 24-30 septembre 2000). Lublin: Wydawnictwo UMCS.
- JUNGBLUTH, Konstanze (2005): *Pragmatik der Demonstrativpronomina in den iberoromanischen Sprachen*. Tübingen: Niemeyer.
- KLEIBER, Georges (2003): Adjectifs démonstratifs et point de vue. *Cahiers de praxématique*. 41:33-54.

- Koster, Cees (2000): From World to World. An 'Armamentarium' for the Study of Poetic Discourse in Translation. Amsterdam: Rodopi.
- KREIN-KÜHLE, Monica (2002): Cohesion and Coherence in Technical Translation: The Case of Demonstrative Reference. *Linguistica Antverpiensia*. 1:41-53.
- MACÍAS VILLALOBOS, Cristóbal (2006): *El demostrativo en Miguel Delibes. Tesis Doctoral.* Spain: Universidad Nacional de Educación a Distancia. Visited on August 25th, 2009. http://des-cargas.cervantesvirtual.com/servlet/SirveObras/01338386422026950645468/017999. pdf?incr=1>.
- MASON, Ian and ŞERBAN, Adriana (2003): Deixis as an interactive feature in literary translations from Romanian into English. *Target*. 15(2):269-294.
- MUNDAY, Jeremy (2007): Style & Ideology in Translation. Latin American Writing in English. London: Routledge.
- NAAIJKENS, Ton (2002): De slag om Shelley en andere essays over vertalen. Nijmegen: Vantilt.
- PHILIPPE, Gilles (1998): Les démonstratifs et le statut énonciatif des textes de fiction: l'exemple des ouvertures de roman. *Langue Française*. 120:51-65.
- RICHARDSON, Bill (1998): Deictic features and the translator. In: Leo HICKEY, ed. The Pragmatics of Translation. Clevedon: Multilingual Matters.
- RICŒUR, Paul (1976): *Interpretation Theory: Discourse and the Surplus of Meaning*. Fort Worth: The Texas Christian University Press.
- SEGAL, Erwin M. (1995): Narrative comprehension and the role of deictic shift theory. *In:* Judith Felson DUCHAN, Gail A. BRUDER, and Lynne E. HEWITT, eds. *Deixis in Narrative: A Cognitive Science Perspective*. Hillsdale NL: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- ŞERBAN, Adriana (2004): Presuppositions in Literary Translation: A Corpus-Based Approach. Meta. 39(2):327-342.
- VANDELANOTTE, Lieven (2004): Deixis and grounding in speech and thought representation. *Journal of Pragmatics*. 36:489-520.
- WHITTAKER, Sunniva (2004): Étude contrastive des syntagmes nominaux démonstratifs dans des textes traduits du français en norvégien et des textes sources norvégiens: stratégie de traduction ou translationese? *Forum, revue internationale de traduction et d'interprétation*. 2(2):221-239.
- ZUBIN, David A. and HEWITT, Lynne E. (1995): The Deictic Center: A Theory of Deixis in Narrative. *In:* Judith Felson DUCHAN, Gail A. BRUDER, and Lynne E. HEWITT, eds. *Deixis in Narrative: A Cognitive Science Perspective.* Hillsdale NL: Lawrence Erlbaum.