Lumen





"Make a Hard Push for It": The Benthams, Foucault, and the Panopticons' Roots in the Paris École Militaire

Haroldo A. Guízar

Volume 37, 2018

URI: https://id.erudit.org/iderudit/1042229ar DOI: https://doi.org/10.7202/1042229ar

See table of contents

Publisher(s)

Canadian Society for Eighteenth-Century Studies / Société canadienne d'étude du dix-huitième siècle

ISSN

1209-3696 (print) 1927-8284 (digital)

Explore this journal

Cite this article

Guízar, H. A. (2018). "Make a Hard Push for It": The Benthams, Foucault, and the Panopticons' Roots in the Paris École Militaire. Lumen, 37, 151–173. https://doi.org/10.7202/1042229ar

canadienne d'étude du dix-huitième siècle, 2017

All Rights Reserved © Canadian Society for Eighteenth-Century Studies / Société This document is protected by copyright law. Use of the services of Érudit (including reproduction) is subject to its terms and conditions, which can be viewed online.

https://apropos.erudit.org/en/users/policy-on-use/



"Make a Hard Push for It": The Benthams, Foucault, and the Panopticons' Roots in the Paris École Militaire

HAROLDO A. GUÍZAR

"... il faut un grand génie pour construire une belle machine, il ne faut qu'un génie médiocre, pour la conserver en mouvement."

 Abbé Castel de Saint-Pierre, "Projet pour perfectionner l'éducation" in Œuvres diverses, Vol. I (Paris, 1730)

"I was a military hero for a night—patrolling the streets under arms." Thus wrote Jeremy Bentham to his brother Samuel in the aftermath of the Gordon Riots, describing his stint as a gentleman volunteer in the improvised corps formed by the lawyers of the Inns of Court. Samuel Romilly was another of Bentham's fellow volunteers who "was up a whole night under arms, and stood as sentinel for several hours" at the Inns' gates during the riots. The *Morning Chronicle* praised the "laudable spirit" with which the embodied lawyers took up arms and "kept watch within the walls of their respective societies"; however, when some lawyers-in-arms attempted to confront rioters near Temple an "officer shut the gate on them, explaining that 'I do not choose to

^{1.} Letter from Jeremy Bentham to Samuel, 7–20 June 1780, *The Correspondence of Jeremy Bentham*, T. II, ed. Timothy Sprigge (London: The Athlone Press, 1968), 466 (henceforth cited as 'Correspondence'). The first part of the letter was drafted on 7 June while riots and fires were raging, but the final part, from which the quotation is taken, is dated "Tuesday night, June 20th, from Wilson's chambers" and also expresses joy at the British capture of Charleston, South Carolina.

^{2.} Sir Samuel Romilly, Letter from Tanhurst, 28 August 1813 in *Memoirs of the Life of Sir Samuel Romilly, written by himself; with a selection from his correspondence edited by his sons*, T. I (London, Third Edition: 1841), 37. On this episode, see also his two letters to John Roget from Gray's Inn of 9 and 13 June 1780.

allow my soldiers to be shot." From Bentham's account, it would nonetheless seem that some barristers did manage to emerge from the confines of their walls to assist in the peacekeeping of the City's devastated streets in the riots' aftermath, even if William Pitt lamented that "our military ardour has been thrown away." Within a decade, Bentham took the opportunity to don "the mantle of a military strategist" by designing a fortified perimeter for the panopticon intended to prevent a repeat of the storming of Newgate prison by the Gordon Riot mobs. Later, "in moments of fantasy ... Bentham himself harboured military ambitions," fancying himself the head of a corps "raised from amongst the Panopticon inmates, and ... taking charge of their drill and training." Such reveries, which might otherwise be ascribed to his well-known eccentricity, perhaps take another light in view of his actual embodiment, however brief, as a 'citizen soldier' in an antecedent to the military body later dubbed the 'Devil's Own' by George III.

The panopticon scheme itself can be interpreted as partially owing its conception and form to several military models, one such lineage being the various Renaissance ideal cities either imagined or con-

^{3.} Morning Chronicle (9 June 1780) and Christopher Hibbert, King Mob: The Story of George Gordon and the Riots of 1780 (1958), 118 in Matthew McCormack, "Supporting the Civil Power: Citizen Soldiers and the Gordon Riots," The London Journal 37/1 (2013): 37.

^{4.} William Pitt, letter from Lincoln's Inn, June 1780 in Philip Henry, 5th Earl Stanhope, *Life of the Right Honourable William Pitt with Extracts from his Ms. Papers*, T. I (London: 1879), 33.

^{5.} Janet Semple, *Bentham's Prison: A Study of the Panopticon Penitentiary* (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1993), 120–21. His 23 January 1791 letter to William Pitt proposing the creation of the panopticon includes a description of the panopticon's defences. *Correspondence*, T. IV, 225–26.

^{6. &}quot;Panopticon," "E. India Company," February 1794, Bentham Manuscripts, University College London, cviia, 71 quoted in Stephen Conway, "Bentham on Peace and War," *Utilitas* 1/01 (1989): 84.

Jeremy was not alone in considering the panopticon a potential recruiting depot. See the letter from Edward Collins of 17 February 1805 in *Correspondence*, T. VII, 299.

^{7.} This version (one of several) for the origin of the nickname for the Inns of Court regiment comes from John Hostettler, *Thomas Erskine and Trial by Jury* (Sherfield Gables: Waterside Press, 2010), 172. In 1802, one of Bentham's correspondents praised "the ingenious mode of guarding the [panopticon] building by sentinels on terraces *without*, a plan adopted with great success about the French prison near Bristol." Letter from Sir Frederick Morton Eden to Bentham, Brighton, 3 September 1802, *Correspondence*, T. VII, 116.

structed as circular walled strongholds, such as Filarete's Sforzinda or Palmanova in the Veneto.8 This article intends to examine a more immediate military model for the panopticon: the Paris École militaire. As such, it aims to provide an overview of the school's role as a precedent to the various forms different panopticons took, a role which though occasionally noted has never been analysed.9 The École mili*taire* was a school founded in 1751 to educate the children of provincial nobles for a career in arms, counting Napoleon Bonaparte as its most famous alumnus. It ought to be borne in mind that in the eighteenth century, the École militaire was located in open countryside and was not considered part of Paris proper. Like the basilica of "Saint-Jean-de-Latran, à Rome, il ne manque pour paraître ce qu'elle est, que de se trouver au milieu de la ville," a development that only came about with the urbanization of the plain of Grenelle on which it stood in the second half of the nineteenth century.¹⁰ Stylistically, it is considered the chef d'oeuvre of its principal architect Ange-Jacques Gabriel and the exemplar par excellence of the neo-classical Louis XV style, 11 although it now stands in the shadow of the Eiffel Tower, which rises

^{8.} William Spanos for example sketches the progress of the "Utopian discourses of the Renaissance" via Filarete to Vauban to Bentham and beyond, to "the radial geometry of Baron Haussmann's Paris" in *Heidegger and Criticism: Retrieving the Cultural Politics of Destruction* (Minneapolis, MN: University of Minnesota Press, 1993), 156.

Bentham mentions coming across a Frenchman working on an "Index to a Treatise of Architecture" during his visit to Paris in 1770. Correspondence T. I, 141. Emmanuelle de Champs indicates that this may have been Francesco Milizia's Vies des architectes anciens et modernes qui se sont rendus célébres chez les différentes nations. Traduits de l'italien & enrichies de notes historiques & critiques, published in Paris in 1771. E. de Champs, Enlightenment and Utility: Bentham in French, Bentham in France (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2015), 26.

^{9.} See for instance the comments in Michael Gallagher's suggestive article, "Are Schools Panoptic?" Surveillance & Society 7(3/4) (2010): 263.

^{10.} This comparison of the *École militaire*'s situation with that of the oldest papal basilica is by Antoine Chrysostome Quatremère de Quincy. *Paris Pittoresque*, *rédigé par une société d'hommes de lettres*, ed. G. Sarrut & B. Saint-Edme, T. II (Paris, 1842), 247.

^{11.} For the view that the 1760s represented an architectural "style transition," and the École militaire's place in that moment, see Georges Poisson, *Histoire de l'Architecture à Paris* (Paris: Association pour la publication d'une histoire de Paris, 1997), 291, 295–97 and also 289–90.

opposite it on the Champ de Mars; this field was originally created by the school for the students' military drill and manœuvres.¹²

Before continuing to the main body of the article, a short outline of its overall structure is given here. The influence of the École militaire on the panopticon was originally mentioned by Jeremy Bentham and subsequently repeated by Michel Foucault.¹³ The first section considers Foucault's role in interpreting Bentham and the panopticon, and it overlaps with the following section, which deals with the evolution of the Bentham brothers' panopticon schemes in the late eighteenth and early nineteenth centuries. These sections' principal argument is that the panopticon was not a failure but rather a project whose principles were adopted in prisons around the world. The discussion then gives a short account of the École militaire's architectural antecedents before proceeding to the second half of the article, which focuses principally on the experiences of the Bentham brothers during their various trips to France in the 1770s and 80s. This is in order to trace Samuel's heretofore ignored visit to the *École* militaire during his sole trip abroad prior to his joining the Russian service. In so doing, various other topics are touched on, including the suitability of the term 'panopticon' as a metaphor and a heretofore unperceived crossroads of the High and Military Enlightenments on the outskirts of Paris. After a brief description of the school's punitive practices, the article closes by considering an explanation suggested by Foucault for the design and implementation of its disciplinary structures, one that goes beyond simply ascribing them to an attempt to create docile bodies.

Since the publication of *Surveiller et Punir* in 1975 and its 1977 English translation, Foucault's account of the panopticon has become and remains a key touchpoint on the subject. His methods and conclu-

^{12.} The classic study of the school's construction is Robert Laulan's L'École Militaire de Paris: Le Monument, 1751–1788 (A. & J. Picard & Cie., Paris 1950). I examine various aspects of the financing and construction of the École militaire's buildings in parts of Chapters 1 and 2 of my thesis. Haroldo A. Guízar, "Institutional Imperatives: Control and Change in the École Royale Militaire, 1750–1788" (PhD diss., University of York, 2014), 17–36, 99–104.

^{13.} Foucault's best-known, though far from only discussion of the panopticon, is in *Surveiller et Punir* (Paris: Gamillard, 1975); *Discipline and Punish*, trans. Alan Sheridan (New York: Random House, 1977) (henceforth cited as *SP/DP* respectively).

sions, although the subject of numerous and often strong criticisms, ¹⁴ have been admirably examined and explained by Stuart Elden.¹⁵ Among the numerous points Elden makes in Chapter 5 of his 2001 monograph on Foucault's spatial histories and analyses, one of the most important is that his project's focus was not merely the prison but the soul, namely the soul as "'the prison of the body," and that Foucault's intention was to "write the history of this prison," and "not the prison (Elden's emphasis)."16 From this point flow five insights, the first of which is the most relevant here: that "the most viable model for the disciplined society is not the prison, but the army." This all comes in a section Elden labels 'Not Through Bentham's Eyes'; as he explains some pages later, this is because "in looking at the role of Bentham in Discipline and Punish, we need to look at panopticism, rather than the panopticon. The former, although it bears a Benthamite designation, is much older than the 1791 text."18 For instance, as Jean Chagniot shows, proposals were being put forth in the *ancien régime* by officers tasked with upholding public order and security to deploy surveillance to follow "de la façon la plus stricte les déplacements de tous les habitants du royaume."19 Elden, though far from questioning the importance of Bentham, emphasizes the point that his panopticon "is the

^{14.} An example is Richard M. Andrews's discussion of the French galley fleet, arguing *contra* Foucault that "the progenetive form of the modern French penitentiary, one identical to it in most respects but architecture, were the royal war galleys at Marseilles." Andrews, *Law, Magistracy, and Crime in Old Regime Paris*, 1735–1789 *Volume I: The System of Criminal Justice* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1994), 316–26, 330–43. However, he seems to ignore Foucault's mentions of the *bagnes* in SP on pages 15, 16, 117, 262, note 2 on p. 265, 266, 269, 270, 284, 295, 306, 309, and 313. This may indicate his only having read the translation, where Sheridan inconsistently renders '*bagne*' as 'forced labour,' 'convict-ship,' 'penal colony' or simply 'convict.'

^{15.} Stuart Elden, Mapping the Present: Heidegger, Foucualt and the Project of a Spatial History (London: Continuum, 2001).

^{16.} SP 38–39; DP 30–31 in Elden, 135. Elden adds that "The shift from the definite article to the particular appears to have been lost on most commentators."

^{17.} Elden, 135.

^{18.} Ibid., 148.

^{19.} Jean Chagniot, *Paris et l'Armée au XVIII** Siècle: Étude Politique et Sociale (Paris: Économica, 1985), 60. For some other examples of plans by an *exempt* of the *maréchaussée* F.-J. Guillotte and a major of the Garde de Paris J.-F. de Bar for controlling the population, see pages 49–50, 105, 124, 561, and 643. Foucault alludes to such initiatives in SP 80/DP 77, and discusses their implications for panopticism on the pages following SP 214/DP 213.

culmination of a variety of technologies of power rather than their beginning."²⁰ Bentham for his part freely admitted that he borrowed and did not coin the neologism 'panopticon';²¹ and it would hardly be an exaggeration to read Foucault as implying that if Bentham hadn't invented the panopticon, someone else would have. This is perhaps best illustrated by the mid-eighteenth century circular prison design by Jean-François Neufforge as well as his two drawings for *manèges* and stables from volume two of his *Recueil élémentaire d'architecture*.²² The second of these drawings features a central 'X' layout that clearly anticipates some later radial plans such as that by William Stark for his early nineteenth-century Glasgow Asylum.²³ Moreover, lest the example of equine architecture be considered a dubious precedent, it should be remembered that Bentham himself conceived of "a Panopticon chicken coop called the Ptenotrophium."²⁴

Jeremy Bentham's failure to have his panopticon built has led many observers to assume that no actual panopticon was ever erected and to criticize Foucault for exaggerating its influence in the nineteenth century as well as distorting his account of disciplinary society's devel-

^{20.} Elden, 147.

^{21.} In a letter to Sir John Parnell of 2 September 1790 Bentham wrote, "Panopticon is already in use as a name for I forget what optical instrument or raree shew in or by means of which you may see everything as the name imports." (Emphasis original) Correspondence, T. IV, 193.

^{22.} Foucault included two designs by Neufforge, for a hospital and a prison, in SP (the prison plan being plate 16, the hospital plate 13, referring the reader to page 176), but neither was reproduced in DP. In SP 204–205/DP 203, he describes the octagonal ménagerie of Versailles as panoptic. Neufforge's equine designs (the 'X' feature possibly being borrowed from Boffrand's 1745 plan for the château de la Malgrange, and through him from Robert de Cotte's 1729 plan featuring a synthesis of an 'X' and stables inspired by Versailles for the château de Compiègne) are discussed in Christophe Morin's Au Service du Château: L'architecture des communs en Île-de-France au XVIII^e siècle (Paris: Publications de la Sorbonne, 2008), 270–73.

^{23.} An early work on the more-or-less panoptic qualities of Stark's asylum and its legacy, which is also interesting for moving beyond strictly Benthamite concerns, is Dieter Jetter's "Ursprung und Gestalt panoptischer Irrenhäuser in England und Schottland," Sudhoffs Archiv für Geschichte der Medizin und der Naturwissenschaften 46/1 (March 1962): 27–44.

^{24.} Robin Evans, *The Fabrication of Virtue: English Prison Architecture*, 1750–1840 (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1982), 219. Evans also discusses the Versailles ménagerie on pages 209–10. On Bentham's proposed panopticon for children, the paedotrophium, see Bryan W. Taylor, "Jeremy Bentham and the Education of the Poor in England" (PhD diss., University of Alberta, 1978), 182–91.

opment. In note 50 of his last chapter, Elden counters by asserting that "outside Britain, Panopticons were built" (Elden's emphasis), citing Norman Johnston's 1973 study of prison architecture to provide several examples.²⁵ In the United Kingdom, Robert Adam's 1791 plans for the new Edinburgh Bridewell reflected the direct, if heavily modified, adaptation of Bentham's panopticon design. 26 Adams, in a letter of 7 June 1791 to Bentham, noted that he had received from Jeremy "the plans Elevations and sections both of your own and the Russian design," which may imply that he was shown two possible typologies for a panoptic design. Philip Steadman, in his study of Samuel's panopticons, surmises that his 1787 nearly-realized plan for a panopticon workshop in Krichev, Russia, featured a radial layout.²⁷ Without a more detailed description of the two plans sent to Adams, or of models built by Samuel, it is difficult to say what the typology of the "Russian design" was:²⁸ the Edinburgh Bridewell itself was in the end built with a semicircular layout. Twenty years later, an actual radial panopticon was erected by Samuel in Russia. On 12 April 1806, the deputy minister for the navy Admiral P.V. Chichagov invited Samuel, who was then in St. Petersburgh on official British government business, to undertake various other projects including the setting up of a Panopticon School of Arts. Samuel, encouraged by Jeremy, accepted the proposal, resulting in the construction of the Okhta panopticon (1807).²⁹ This panopticon had a concrete influence in Russia, described by Jeremy in 1812:

^{25.} Elden, 195. The examples he cited include two Spanish prisons, three Dutch prisons, Stateville penitentiary in Illinois, and Cuba's Isle of Pines prison in Johnston, *The Human Cage*: A *Brief History of Prison Architecture* (New York: Walker & Company, 1973), 20–21.

^{26.} Feng Qing, "Utilitarianism, Reform, and Architecture – Edinburgh as Exemplar" (PhD diss., University of Edinburgh, 2008), 60–99.

^{27.} Philip Steadman, "Samuel Bentham's Panopticon," *Journal of Bentham Studies* 13 (2012): 27–28.

^{28.} Jeremy wrote to Parnell on 26–27 August 1790 concerning a panopticon model that "I had forgot that my Brother had one made which was actually before me." This model would evidently have been created in Russia, probably at Krichev for the unbuilt workshop. *Correspondence* T. IV, 172.

^{29.} British Library VIII 167–8, Letter from Admiral P.V. Chichagov to Samuel Bentham, 12 April 1806 in *Correspondence* T. VII, 344–45. The other projects including setting up sail-cloth and rope factories. In BL VIII 181–91 there is a letter dated 15 June 1806 sent by Chichagov to Alexander I discussing the costs and plans for the Okhta panopticon, an institute to teach the arts and professions to young people aged 7–22. Ibid., 358.

"[it] has since been not only finished, and employed with success, but copied in several other private as well as Government establishments in that Empire."³⁰

Steadman, in his description of the Okhta panopticon, states that "with its five wings overseen from the central observatory" it clearly prefigures the design of later radial prisons around the world.³¹ The fact that these prisons are generally overlooked in accounts of the panopticon's architecture and influence may be due to the fact that they are not in the infamous circular form. Understanding the panopticon not simply as a circular but a multi-layout design paradigm reveals its influence and implementation in prisons around the world. A 2015 Spanish thesis on the panopticon lists some 200 panoptic prisons in places reaching from Colombia to Japan, some of which are still in active use as correctional facilities. It describes several variants of panoptic typologies: circular, semi-circular, radial, cross, T- and Y-shaped layouts.32 Although Foucault mentioned some of these variations in floorplan, he did not mention that the radial design had been anticipated not by Jeremy Bentham's 1791 plan, but rather by Samuel's Russian plans for his non-penal panopticons.³³ What Foucault did mention is that the seed for the idea of the Inspection-House, as the Benthams originally called the panopticon, was planted in Samuel's mind following a visit to the Paris École militaire in the 1770s.34 Here we must indeed examine the topic not through Jeremy's eyes, but through Samuel's.

^{30.} *Correspondence* T. VIII, 224. Bentham's source of information on its progress was "Mr. Kirk who till within these four months had the management of it is now in London."

Kirk had earlier sent written reports to Samuel after the latter's departure from Russia at the end of 1807: BL VIII 369 in *Correspondence* T. VII, 390.

^{31.} Steadman, 25. Two nineteenth-century radial prisons, "Eastern State ... and Pentonville ... had enormous influence internationally. By the mid Twentieth-Century some 300 radial prisons had been constructed worldwide on their basic model." Ibid., 22.

^{32.} Begoña Ibáñez Moreno, "El panóptico: concepto, arquitectura y función" (unpublished doctoral diss., and accompanying catalogue, Universidad de Granada, 2015). 19 of the catalogue's prisons are Spanish.

^{33.} SP 253; DP 250; Steadman, 27-29.

^{34.} SP 175/DP 316. Foucault, Power/Knowledge: Selected Interviews & Other Writings, 1972–1977 ed. and trans. Colin Gordon et al. (New York: The Harvester Press, 1980), 147; Jeremy Bentham, The Panopticon Writings, ed. Miran Božovič (London and New York: Verso, 1995), 87.

According to Foucault, Jeremy's panopticon was the architectural figure of the "mechanisms of power" with which authorities sought to exercise an "individual control function according to a double mode: that of binary division ... and that of coercive assignment."35 Jeremy Bentham's own purposes for the panopticon carried elements of binary categorization and punitive measures as well: "the influence of this plan [is] not less beneficial to what is called *liberty*, than to necessary coercion; not less powerful as a controul (sic) upon subordinate power, than as a curb to delinquency; as a shield to innocence, than as a scourge to guilt." Accordingly, "so should any departure from it (doing one's duty) be punished with the more inflexible severity."³⁶ Although he was here speaking of the advantages of the architecture's layout with regards to the supervision of his panopticon's watchmen and wardens, this in fact went to the heart of the mechanisms of surveillance that were the key to the proper functioning of the Inspection-House. In his 21st Panopticon Letter, Jeremy described how the physical method of inspection at the *École militaire* had impressed his brother:

the bed-chambers ... form two ranges on the two sides of a long room; the inhabitants being separated from one another by *partitions*, but exposed alike to the view of a master at his walks, by a kind of a *grated window* in each door. This plan of construction struck him, he tells me, a good deal... If he there borrowed his idea, I hope he has not repaid it without interest. You will confess some difference, in point of facility, between a state of incessant walking and a state of rest; and in point of completeness of inspection, between visiting two or three hundred persons one after another, and seeing them at once.³⁷

The idea of complete surveillance in a circular structure seems simple, but the practical difficulties of housing the inspector in the center of the panopticon, keeping him hidden from the inmates' eyes, and achieving one-way vision led to a modified plan in 1791. In this revised plan, a two-level system of supervision is adopted, with the Inspector in the center observing the warders patrolling on annular galleries, from where they observe the inmate's cells.³⁸ Nonetheless, as Steadman

^{35.} SP 201; DP 199.

^{36.} Bentham/Božovič, The Panopticon Writings, 46.

^{37.} Ibid., 87.

^{38.} Philip Steadman, "The Contradictions of Jeremy Bentham's Panopticon Penitentiary," *Journal of Bentham Studies* 9 (2007): 13, 15.

shows, even this was not much of an improvement. This is proven by contrasting the isovists (fields of vision) that wardens in a circular and a radial layout have. In a circular building, the isovist is quite limited, the inmate in fact having "a better view than the warder," while in a radial design it is unlimited. The latter layout was most famously adopted at the Eastern State Penitentiary in Pennsylvania and at Pentonville, London, two key models for numerous later prisons. As Steadman puts it, while "continuous inspection of the interiors of cells has been sacrificed," the adoption of a new "two-level system of oversight" means that the "guards can approach right up to the cell doors without being observed by the inmates" and catch prisoners unawares. Thus the radial prison "achieves Jeremy's goal of one-way vision." The advantages of such designs for surveillance are further explicated in a 2007 interview with Roger Outram, a former prison warder and governor, who posits the view that:

old Victorian designs have advantages in terms of maintaining order over modern modular buildings. 'People are going back to the radial notion. There are a lot of crucial design advantages. You can stand in the centre and see the whole radial at one glance from ground to roof. One man can see everything. The minute you put a corner in you need to put two more members of staff. You get a sense of a prison immediately....'⁴¹

Of the two systems of inspection, the radial system of oversight permitting the undetected approach to inmates' doors was that which more closely echoed the method employed in the *École militaire*'s dormitories, reportedly visited by Samuel.

^{39.} Ibid., 21.

^{40.} Steadman, "Samuel Bentham's Panopticon," 25.

^{41.} Finlo Rohrer, "What should prisons look like?" BBC News Magazine (11 December 2007): http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/magazine/7138110.stm. Accessed 04/10/2016. Although Outram does not refer to any specific prison-construction programme, he may have had something like the portfolio of five prisons managed by Sodexo Justice Services in mind. These are all radial prisons opened in the UK between 2000 and 2011, including "the first purpose-built prison in the UK to house both men and women on the same site," which "also hosts the world's first payment by results project for offenders," HMP Peterborough. The firm commissioned by Sodexo to design the prisons was HLM Architects, who have also consulted on two Belgian prisons in Mons and Charleroi. http://uk.sodexo.com/home/services/on-site-services/justice/prisons-in-our-portfolio.html.

http://www.hlmarchitects.com/projects/justice/belgian-prisons.html. Both accessed 04/05/2017.

The École militaire itself was not a panopticon in either architectural design or system of administration. The architecture of the École militaire is in a sense the conclusion to a sequence of monumental projects which may be traced back to the Renaissance and Filarete's 1456 design for a hospital in Milan. Although Filarete's plan for the Ospedale Maggiore was not accomplished as desired, it was realized first in various sixteenth-century Spanish hospitals and then as part of the royal palace and monastery of El Escorial, built 1563–84. El Escorial in turn served as one of the architectural models for the hôtel des Invalides, designed by Libéral Bruand and erected 1670–74. The Invalides and the charitable girls' school at Saint-Cyr were the immediate institutional and architectural models for the École militaire. It ought to be noted that an edifice that was begun the same year as the École militaire has been described as a potential alternative source for Jeremy's panopticon. This is the Albergo dei Poveri of Naples, which

^{42.} For a 1740 depiction of the unfinished hospital, see: http://www.lastatale90. it/wp-content/uploads/2014/10/Veduta-Ospedale-Maggiore-1740-770x394.jpg. Accessed 20/09/2016. Eunice D. Howe indicates that some hospitals' architecture served as "Renaissance examples that in some ways anticipated eighteenth-century 'panoptic regimes." Howe, "The Architecture of Institutionalism: Women's Space in Renaissance Hospitals," in *Architecture and the Politics of Gender in Early Modern Europe*, ed. Hellen Hill (Aldershot and Burlington, VT: Ashgate Publishing, 2003), 63–82.

^{43. &}quot;Se trata de la propuesta por el arquitecto Secundino Zuazo ... Efectivamente, si cortamos la planta del Escorial por un eje norte-sur y nos quedamos con su parte occidental, tendremos un patio central ... y, a cada uno de sus lados, cuatro patios cuadrados con una disposición cruciforme, planteamiento éste que resulta sospechosamente parecido al del citado hospital milanés." Manuel Rincón Álvarez, Claves para comprender el Monasterio de San Lorenzo de El Escorial (Salamanca: Ediciones Universidad de Salamanca, 2007), 38–39.

^{44. &}quot;Il rappelle étrangement celui de l'Escurial, qui était connu des architectes français par les gravures et que peut-être Marie-Thérèse avait signalé à leur attention. Une grande cour centrale est flanquée de cours latérales plus petites." And "Les Invalides … nous ont permis d'évoquer le monastère de l'Escurial." Louis Hautecoeur, Histoire de l'Architecture Classique en France: Le Règne de Louis XIV, T. II (Paris: A. & J. Picard et Cie., 1948), 520, 861.

For Bruand, see Jöelle Barreau, "Être architecte au XVII° siècle: Libéral Bruand, architecte et ingénieur du roi," (thèse de doctorat en histoire de l'art, Université de Paris IV, 2004).

Designed by Jules Hardouin-Mansart, work on Saint-Cyr began on 25 April 1685 and its buildings were completed by 29 August 1686 at the cost of 1.4 million livres. Claude Mignot, "Maison royale de Saint-Louis" in *Jules Hardouin-Mansart*, 1646–1708, ed. Alexandre Gady (Paris: Éditions de la Maison des Sciences de l'homme, 2010), 279.

was begun in 1751 and was destined to house the indigent poor of the city. The Albergo's design was partly inspired by Filarete's Ospedale, and Robin Thomas has argued that Jeremy would likely have known the design of this building thanks to a map of Naples that circulated in London.⁴⁵ Be that as it may, the majority of the *École militaire*'s buildings, excepting the majestic "château" that faces the Champ de Mars, are decidedly prosaic in appearance and purpose, described by French architecture theorist Didier Laroque as forming an ensemble of "juxtapositions plus que des compositions."⁴⁶

The protracted, much delayed construction of the École militaire took some 20 years to complete, from 1751 to 1773, a period that overlaps with various visits by the Bentham family to Paris up to 1775. Jeremy apparently never visited the school during trips in 1764 and 1770 (or 1785 for that matter), although he may have seen the scaffolding-clad facade of the school from the river Seine on his second trip.⁴⁷ Rather more surprisingly, no attempt has been made to trace Samuel's own visit to the École militaire, where the seed for elements of the panopticon was planted. All the secondary literature seems to content itself with referring to Jeremy Bentham's 1780s correspondence. There, in a letter addressed to his father, Jeremy gives only an inexact recollection of Samuel's visit: "... he walked over that establishment (about a dozen years ago, was it not?) with you...."48 Needless to say, a vague notion repeated over a decade after the event cannot be considered the definitive account, and indeed, Roger Morriss, an expert on Samuel's life, assumes that he never visited Paris prior to departing for Russia in August 1779.49

^{45. &}quot;... the circulation of the duke of Noja map in London, makes it likely that Bentham knew the Neapolitan model." Robin L. Thomas, Architecture and Statecraft: Charles of Bourbon's Naples, 1734–1759 (University Park, Penn: The Pennsylvania State University, 2013), 91.

^{46.} Didier Laroque, "Théorie de l'architecture classique" in *L'École Militaire et l'Axe Breteuil-Trocadéro*, ed. Béatrice de Andia (Paris: Action Artistique de la Ville de Paris, 2002), 126.

^{47.} On 8 October he was complaining that "... the navigation of the Seine is very tedious—at least the course by water is very long, as I had occasion to learn in my journey hither." He would certainly have seen the dome of the Invalides from the river. *Correspondence* T. I, 145.

^{48.} Bentham/Božovič, The Panopticon Writings, 87.

^{49.} Roger Morriss, Science, Utility and Maritime power: Samuel Bentham in Russia, 1779–91 (London: Routledge, 2016), 59–60, 102–3, 113.

Determining the facts of this matter is evidently of some importance, not only to determine the movements of Samuel and his family in France, but also in order to have a better idea of what he may or may not have encountered, heard, or known during his time there. If he indeed visited the *École militaire*, he could have seen not only its architecture but also a whole cross-section of daily life there, as the school was open to the public on selected days. The methods of teaching, worship, and discipline may well have made an impression on the then 19-year-old young man. Furthermore, although studies of such aspects of life in the school do exist, no account has ever been given of the school's disciplinary structures in English, 50 while the few architectural studies on the school mostly overlook the design and arrangements of its domestic buildings and their interiors; in sum, no study exists that gives more than a brief consideration to the direct influence of the school on the Benthams.

Foucault, the first and only notable scholar to discuss the École militaire's role in the construction of panopticism, confines his discussion of the school in Surveiller et Punir to little less than a half-dozen pages in the section on docile bodies. He did so there without broaching the topic of its influence on the panopticon, and it is tempting to say that such limited discussion is not good enough.⁵¹ Confining one's notice to explicit references to the École militaire, however, obscures the numerous other potential approaches his work encourages. Among the many such possible comparisons we have an engraving depicting a dormitory of the collège de Navarre by François-Nicolas Martinet circa 1760 numbered as plate 9 in Surveiller et Punir (omitted from *Discipline and Punish*). It reveals enough of the interior of a dormitory cell-room to show a boy studying in it. On 20 May 1764, the École militaire's Inspector-Comptroller-General, M. Cotte, and its in-house architect, M. de la Touche, received orders from the Minister of War to inspect the collège de La Flèche, which was attached to the École

^{50.} The only publication on the school's discipline is in an out-of-print journal: Robert Laulan, "La discipline à l'École militaire de Paris, 1753–1788," *L'Information Historique* 4 (1955): 135–40 and 174–82.

^{51.} SP, 175–76, 183–85; DP 172–73, 181–83. Though other authors have mentioned the link, Foucault remains the only one to suggest panoptic qualities in the *École militaire* by looking beyond Bentham's 21st letter. Practically all other scholars limit their references to either that letter or to Foucault's work.

militaire following the Jesuits' expulsion from France.⁵² On their return to Paris, Cotte drafted a report of his inspection of La Flèche's buildings noting the fact that the students' cells and beds were always infested with insects as a consequence of the time they spent studying in them: "le temps que les enfants passaient dans leurs cellules pour travailler contribuait à y entretenir ces insectes parcequ'on n'avait pas assez de temps pour nettoyer les cellules."⁵³ At this time, many of the buildings of the École militaire in Paris were still bâtiments postiches or provisoires, and it is all but certain that the example of La Flèche's buildings and other collèges directly informed Gabriel's modification of his plan primitif when conceiving the design and layout of the École militaire's buildings in the final construction phase of 1768–73, shortly before Samuel's visit.⁵⁴

Such an approach might go a long way to helping nuance the use of the concept of the 'panopticon' and 'panopticism' in studies of the government or institutions of *ancien régime* France (or other periods); until now, such approaches have tended to reduce it to an abstract metaphor, without considering the location of its origin in an institution designed to serve the purposes of an absolutist state. This however is not to lessen its validity as a metaphor. It was used that way nearly from its inception. For instance, "When Burke was shown the plan he turned towards its author, saying: 'Yes, there's the keeper—the spider in his web!"; William Wilberforce wrote "... I am delighted by seeing with my mind's Eye, your Honour like a great Spider seated in ye Center (sic) of yr. Panopticon." Although Bentham and his contem-

^{52.} Archives Nationales, Paris (henceforth cited as AN) MM 666, Conseil de Police, 20 May 1764, 298.

^{53.} AN K 148, Memoramdum by M. Cotte drafted at the École militaire, 20 June 1764, 9.

^{54.} AN O¹ 1648, Déliberation, 3 March 1755, 115; AN MM 664, Conseil de Police, 26 July 1756, 3.

The influence was not all unidirectional. After the Jesuits' expulsion and the secularization of their collèges, the new administrative bodies were modelled on that of the École militaire: "Le modèle de bureau d'administration utilisé ... pour l'école royale militaire de Paris se trouve être alors le paradigme pour l'administration..." Marcel Grandière, L'Idéal Pédagogique en France au XVIII^e siècle (Oxford: Voltaire Foundation, 1998), 217–18. The École militaire's own administrative body was the Conseil de l'hôtel, not a bureau. See my thesis "Institutional Imperatives," 29–31.

^{55.} Coleman Phillipson, Three Criminal Law Reformers: Beccaria, Bentham, Romilly (New York, NY: J.M. Dent & Sons, 1923), 129.

Correspondence T. VIII, 64 in Semple, 264.

poraries were probably unaware of the fact, the conceptual image of the spider as an omniscient entity dates back at least to the Renaissance architect and humanist Leon Battista Alberti's treatise *I Libri della Famiglia*:⁵⁶

You know the spider and how he constructs his web. All the threads spread out in rays, each of which, however long, has its source, its roots or birthplace ... at the center ... The most industrious creature himself then sits at that spot and has his residence there. He remains in that place once his work is spun and arranged, but keeps so alert and watchful that if there is a touch on the finest and most distant thread he feels it instantly, instantly appears, and instantly takes care of the situation....⁵⁷

As several scholars have pointed out, Samuel Bentham's original 1786–87 plan for a panopticon was meant to have been a workshop to better facilitate his supervision of the Englishmen under him in Krichev, and thus fits in well with the idea of the panopticon as an implement to improve work rate and productivity through centralized surveillance. 58

With these issues in mind, we can turn our attention to Samuel's visit to Paris. If Jeremy's recollection serves right, his letter, written from Russia in 1787, would have placed his brother at the *École militaire* circa 1775. We know from several letters that in July of 1775 Samuel and his parents travelled to Caen, Normandy on holiday to visit relatives and acquaintances there and to allow Samuel to improve

^{56.} Even if they were aware of *I Libri*, they would not necessarily have known it was by Alberti. The fifteenth-century manuscripts went unpublished "until 1734. Even at that date, only the third book was presented to the public. It masqueraded under the name of ... Agnolo Pandolfini—a mistake that went uncorrected for a hundred years," that is, until 1843. Alberti, *The Family in Renaissance Florence*, trans. Renée N. Watkins (Columbia, SC: University of South Carolina Press, 1969), 3.

^{57.} Ibid., 206. To Janis Langins, the panopticon is not conceived of as a site of productivity or industry: "More than being merely at the center of a Panopticon, Louis [XIV] was an industrious spider at the center of a web at Versailles." Conserving the Enlightenment: French Military Engineering from Vauban to the Revolution (Cambridge, MA: MIT, 2004), 128.

^{58.} See Simon Werrett, "The Panopticon in the Garden: Samuel Bentham's Inspection House and Noble Theatricality in Eighteenth-Century Russia," *Ab Imperio* 3 (2008): 51–54; Alessandro Stanziani, "The Travelling Panopticon: Labor Institutions and Labor Practices in Russia and Britain in the Eighteenth and Nineteenth Centuries," *Comparative Studies in Society and History* 51/4 (2009): 717–20, 728–40 *passim*; Morriss, 576–79. All of this is without considering Jeremy's own schemes for panoptic workhouses.

his French. Several letters from Jeremy, who stayed in England, are full of hope for an eventual visit by Samuel to Paris. This is even though Jeremy acknowledges that his father balked at the cost of having Samuel follow him there after departing from Caen.⁵⁹ Jeremiah Bentham and his wife arrived in Paris by the end of August, having left Samuel in Normandy.

The first letter sent directly from Jeremy to Samuel is dated 12 September and was addressed to him and his hosts in Caen. In it Jeremy sounds certain that Samuel will shortly be off to Paris, so certain that he asks him to "get if you can some trifling toy at the prison of the Bicêtre in Paris; a sort of Bridewell."60 He was determined that Samuel should join his parents there, saying "I hope you will go to Paris, if it be but for a day or two. Make a hard push for it."61 Jeremy then addressed a letter to his father at the Place Royale in September, dated the 22nd, and again to Samuel in Normandy on the 25–26th. In this second letter to Caen, he is again utterly sure of Samuel's imminent destination: "Je suis ravi d'apprendre que vous verrez Paris; et que vous y ferez un si long séjour," although what the length of his stay might be is not specified. 62 In that same letter he instructed his brother to deliver a letter to a certain M. Godefroi in Paris, and the draft letter is found a few pages later describing Samuel as its bearer: "Il vous instruira à son mieux de tout ce dont vous pourrez souhaiter savoir de moi."63 Jeremy sent off two final missives to Samuel in Caen at the beginning of October, and then there is a gap in the correspondence until 14 November, after Samuel's return to England.

^{59.} The footnote to the letter sent by Jeremy to his father Jeremiah dated 27 August 1775 notes that it had been addressed to the Benthams' host M. Blanchard at Saint-Germain-En-Laye but had been forwarded to M. Pattle *gentilhomme anglais* at the Place Royale (present Place des Vosges) *près les Minimes* in Paris. *Correspondence* T. I, 239.

^{60.} Ibid., 253. On Bicêtre, the Salpêtrière, and other such penal institutions, see Andrews, *Law, Magistracy, and Crime in Old Regime Paris...*, 316–82.

^{61.} Ibid., 255. For more on British travellers to France in this period, see Jeremy Black, *France and the Grand Tour* (New York, NY: Palgrave MacMillan, 2003).

^{62.} The line continues: "J'aurai soin de vous y envoyer une lettre pour ce Mr. Godefroi dont je vous ai parlé dans ma dernière. Elle vous sera adressé chez Mr. Pattle etc." Ibid., 266.

^{63.} Ibid., 281. This letter is undated but the editor of the correspondence thinks it was probably sent, and if so, that it was sent in early October. On his identity, "it is tempting to suppose that he was a member of the distinguished legal family of Godefroy."

The two final letters, dated 1-3 and 5-6 October, are addressed to Caen, but both were forwarded to the Benthams' host Mr. Pattle in Paris at the Place Royale (now the Place des Vosges).⁶⁴ Another early October letter to their father has Jeremy imploring him to indulge Samuel "a month or ... a fortnight longer," be it in Caen, Paris, or one of a number of French channel towns.⁶⁵ From this evidence, it seems clear that Samuel was already in Paris at the beginning of October, before the news of his departure reached Jeremy, and that he spent between a fortnight and a month there. There appears to be no correspondence or record of his time in Paris, but this must be the moment Jeremy referred to twelve years later in his letter from Russia. There is, additionally, one final piece of evidence supporting the view that Samuel visited Paris in the autumn of 1775. Ten years later, while Jeremy was en route to Krichev to visit Samuel, he passed through Paris. On his approach to the city, he stopped by the village of Argenteuil, then a secluded rural hamlet, and stayed at a certain Mr. Pattle's country house for some days in August 1785. In a footnote, the editor of volume three of Bentham's correspondence notes that "In 1775 Samuel Bentham had stayed for a short time with a Mr. Pattle at Paris" and refers the reader to Jeremy's two letters to Samuel of early October 1775.66

Argenteuil is also of interest for a somewhat different reason, however. It had a direct connection with some distinguished figures of the French Enlightenment, which Jeremy noted; Pattle's country house had formerly been the "House of the Marquis du Châtelet and residence of Voltaire," Voltaire being the lover and collaborator of the remarkable mathematician and *philosophe* Émilie du Châtelet. 68

^{64.} Ibid., 266–73 and 273–81. Although Jeremy's first October letter permits Samuel to "ne pas répondre/je veux dire envoyer un réponse [?]/qu'après que vous êtes arrivé à Paris," Samuel it seems did not reply.

^{65.} Ibid., 287. This letter is from 6 October and again closes with Jeremy's shopping requests: "French books I want none," but it is written under the assumption that Samuel was still in Caen at that time.

^{66.} Correspondence T. III, 348. There are some letters from Thomas Pattle to Jeremiah dated 1785 in BL IV.

^{67.} Ibid.

^{68.} On Émilie Du Châtelet and the country house in Argenteuil, which she purchased in 1748, a year before her death, see Élisabeth Badinter and Danielle Muzerelle, *Madame Du Châtelet: La Femme des Lumières* (Paris: Bibliothèque nationale de France, 2006), 57.

Florent-Louis-Marie, duc Du Châtelet-Lomont, Émilie's son and heir, has been studied by Ken Alder as a figure who straddled the currents of both the High Enlightenment and the "other," disciplinary or Military Enlightenment depicted by Foucault in his discussion of docile bodies.⁶⁹ Raised at the château de Cirey, "the country capital of the High Enlightenment, where 'Newton was god,""70 the duc Du Châtelet was educated according to a bespoke, highly intellectual curriculum fashioned by his mother.⁷¹ Once embarked on his military career, the duc in turn became one of the predecessors to the new "Newton of 'small bodies,' small movements, small actions" with a concern for iron discipline and the minutiae of military drill.⁷² Thus, Argenteuil, though seemingly nowhere near as evocative as Cirey, stands as an unexpected and ignored crossroads of the diverse currents of both the French and British Enlightenments. It is indeed remarkable that Pattle hosted both Samuel in Paris in 1775, when the seed of the idea for the panopticon was planted, and Jeremy in 1785, while the latter was travelling to Russia where the panopticon concept would finally be born and developed.

To turn again to Samuel, he was fortunate in the timing of his trip to Paris, as the *École militaire* closed in February 1776 and would not reopen until 1778. Barring the discovery of new documents on Samuel's few weeks there, his itinerary and movements must remain a matter of conjecture. We know from Jeremy's 1787 letter that Samuel and his father visited the school's dormitories and they would also necessarily have seen, at a minimum, Gabriel's imposing "château" and the dome of the Invalides chapel that overlooks the school. On the completion of the "château," which housed the administration's offices and the chapel, the latter was open to the public for its services.⁷³ Horace

^{69.} Ken Alder, "Stepson of the Enlightenment – The duc Du Châtelet, The Colonel who 'Caused' the French Revolution," *Eighteenth Century Studies*, 32/1 (1908): 1–18.

^{70.} Ibid., 6. See page 8 for Voltaire's opinion of his "stepson."

^{71.} Meghan K. Roberts, Sentimental Savants: Philosophical Families in Enlightenment France (Chicago: The University of Chicago Press, 2016), 110–14. Émilie Du Châtelet wrote the Institutions de Physique for her son's instruction, and defended it for serving "for her intellectual benefit as well as her son's."

^{72.} \overrightarrow{DP} , 141; SP, 142. Foucault's new Newton was Napoleon (Sheridan's translation is an accurate rendering of the original "des 'petits corps,' des petits mouvements, des petites actions").

^{73.} AN MM 669, 13 July 1773, 100.

Walpole had needed special permission from the bishop of Chartres to visit Saint-Cyr in 1769, where he saw the girls at a chapel service, in class, and supper, while also visiting the dormitories and archives. The Samuel however would have visited the École militaire as a member of the public with a ticket on an open day. An article by Laulan dealing with visits to the École militaire focuses exclusively on aristocratic tourists, but a list of places such as the dormitories, classrooms, refectories, kitchens, the infirmary, and the manège that distinguished guests were invariably shown may indicate locales accessible to the public as well. The first distinguished visitor to the school was probably the Spanish ambassador, whose unannounced arrival on 22 September 1754 caused the school's administration some embarrassment as there was no official on hand to meet him and he was forced to leave his carriage and mix with spectators on foot. The same transfer of the public as well are the school of the public as well are the school of the public as well are the school of the school of the public as well are the school of the

At that early date, students were becoming accustomed to being observed by the public. In July 1754, less than a year after the arrival of the first cohort, it was decided to allow selected visitors to observe students in the dining room at mealtimes on the first Sunday of every month as well as on festive days.⁷⁷ From 1760 on their military drill and exercises were carried out in public as the school opened its doors to

^{74.} Letter to George Montagu, Esq. from Paris, 17 September 1769, Correspondence of Horace Walpole with George Montagy, Esq., Hon H.S. Conway, Rev. W. Cole, Lady Hervey, Richard West, Esq., Gray, the Poet, Countess of Ailesbury, Rev. Mr. Birch, Hon. G. Hardinge, Earl of Strafford, John Chute, Esq., David Hume, Esq., Lady Craven, Rev. W. Mason, Mrs. Hannah More, &c., T. II: 1760–1769 (London: 1837), 452–54.

^{75.} Robert Laulan, "Visites royales et princières à l'École militaire sous l'Ancien régime," *Revue historique des armées* 19/3 (August 1963): 15–30. Visitors included Louis XV, the marquise de Pompadour, the Archbishop of Paris Christophe Beaumont, and foreign princes such as Christian VII of Denmark, Grand-Duke Paul of Russia, Gustav III of Sweden, and Prince Henry of Prussia.

^{76.} Bibliothèque Universitaire Droit-Lettres, Poitiers, Fonds d'Argenson P 36. Letter 225 by Joseph Pâris-Duverney, founder and Intendent of the *École militaire* to the comte d'Argenson, Minister of War and Superintendant, 24 September 1754. The school was housed in the château de Vincennes's Pavillon du Roi during the years 1753–56. The ambassador was a military man, Jaime Masones de Lima y Sotomayor, conde de Montalvo, posted to Versailles 1752–61.

^{77.} AN MM 658 f°16 v° 2 July 1754, 14. On weekdays, visitors specially approved and guided by the school's Commandant (the *Lieutenant de Roi*) were permitted access. From 1759, "il ne sera plus permis d'entrer, ni de voir l'hôtel, sans un billet imprimé du command[ant]." AN MM 665, 17 July 1759, 63.

all curious observers the afternoon of the same days.⁷⁸ The comte de Vaublanc, a student at the school 1770-74, described the exercises as the main draw for crowds of spectators, as well as an opportunity for colonels to observe and choose prospective officers for their regiments.⁷⁹ The administration's own rationale for the exercises was threefold: firstly, to satisfy the curiosity of the public, secondly to pique the émulation of the students, and thirdly to showcase the care taken with regards to their education. 80 Internally, the Minister of War insisted in 1764 on closer control of professors' lessons, to be effected by members of the administration visiting their classrooms during their lectures and observing them in order to evaluate their effectiveness.⁸¹ It is uncertain if the general public, as opposed to selected guests, was allowed to enter the classrooms, but if they were, they would have seen the spatial arrangement of these rooms. Long benches with desks were accommodated the whole length of the walls, while a chair was placed in the middle of the room from which the professor would always be able to see everything that the students did. There, any misbehaviour

^{78.} AN MM 666, Conseil de Police, 21 August 1760, 30. The public exercises were conducted from May to October: "Indépendamment de l'exercice du matin, il se fera un exercice public le premier dimanche de chaque mois, depuis le mois de mai jusqu'au mois d'octobre inclus, à l'heure que le commandant l'ordonnera." Article LXXVI of the 'Règlements généraux arrêtés par M. le duc de Choiseul en 1769, concernant les Officiers de l'État-major, les Officiers des Compagnies, les Professeurs & Maîtres, & les Élèves dudit Hôtel' in Recueil des Édits, déclarations, ordonnances, arrêts et règlemens, concernant l'École Royale-militaire, T. I (Paris, 1782), 349–50.

^{79.} Souvenirs, par le comte de Vaublanc, ancien ministre de l'Intérieur, T. I (Paris, 1838), 46–47, 76.

The students carried out the drill for special visitors, who would inspect them and in some cases, as the 15-year old comte d'Artois did in 1772, speak with them. During the review, Artois encountered a student released from a six-month spell in a "chambre noir" who was wearing the "habit de bure" and requested that he be pardoned. AN MM 669, 30 June 1772, 48.

^{80.} AN MM 658 f'16 v° 2 July 1754, 14. At La Flèche, the public was allowed to enter and walk in the collège's park, albeit completely separated from the section reserved for the students. Article LXXIII, 'Arrêt du Conseil d'État du Roi, portant règlement pour le Collège royal de la Flèche du 8 août 1767' in *Recueil des Édits* ... T. II. 781.

^{81.} AN MM 679, Lettre de Choiseul au Conseil de l'hôtel, Compiègne, 11 August 1764, 113.

was punished by attaching a collar to the culprit's neck and fastening it to a ring at his desk as a punishment for his lack of docility. 82

Another measure in a similar vein that had previously been adopted was the forced constraint of students who misbehaved in the infirmary to their beds: "ils v seront liés s'ils font difficulté d'y rester pendant le temps qui leur sera prescrit."83 Shocking though such scenes may appear, they reinforce the punitive, rebarbative nature of a school that had its own purpose-built prisons and a dungeon; in Vaublanc's account, the École militaire was a "prison claustrale."84 It even went so far as to employ cages, at least until 1764, cages to which the students could originally be tied.85 At this time, institutions such as the École militaire and the panopticon were perceived to stand at the opposite end of the spectrum of eighteenth-century educational thought to that exemplified by Jean-Jacques Rousseau's Émile, as acknowledged by Jeremy himself. 86 Despite such perceived antinomy, it is intriguing to note that a popular 1763 novel which tried to pass itself off as another educational treatise by Rousseau featured a cage in which the protagonist was raised for twelve years to preserve him from any contact with adults and the world.⁸⁷ Meanwhile, even as the École militaire gave up some forms of confinement, others were proposed, for instance

^{82.} AN MM 665, 17 July 1759, 62. This spatial arrangement was also touted as a means of permitting an increase in the student body from 169 to 264 children.

^{83.} AN MM 664, Conseil de Police, 17 January 1757, 23. This quotation is the second half of a line that begins: "Décidé que les élèves qui sont à l'infirmerie et qui y commettront quelque faute, seront retenues dans leur lit, sans pouvoir se lever..."

^{84.} Vaublanc, 111. Also an alumnus of La Flèche, he describes it as a prison and its students as slaves on page 30.

^{85. &}quot;Ordonner de faire huit cages forts, et de manière que les pieds ne puissent pas toucher à terre et qu'il soit impossible à ceux qui y seront mis de les promener." AN MM 664, 20 August 1756, 6. The same register notes on 31 October 1757 that due to health concerns, students "ne seront plus attachés dans la cage." Unfortunately, more detail on the design of the cages that would allow their reconstruction is missing.

^{86.} In his 21st letter, Jeremy speculated: "... nor do I imagine he would put his *Emilius* into an inspection-house; but I think he would have been glad of such a school for his Sophia." Bentham/Božovič, *The Panopticon Writings*, 90. The subtext of the Helvétius-Rousseau dispute and its implications for pedagogico-panopticism is one which merits its own separate treatment.

^{87.} On the 1763 three-part novel by Gaspard Guillard de Beaurieu, L'Elève de la nature, par J.-J. Rousseau, nouvelle édition signée par l'auteur (Lille, 1783), see Gilbert Py, Rousseau et les éducateurs: Étude sur la fortune des idées pédagogiques de Jean-Jacques Rousseau en France et en Europe au XVIII^e siècle (Oxford: Voltaire Foundation, 1997), 543–49.

that the *grades* or student-officers be "mis en prison dans leur chambre et pendant longtemps" for any fault they committed.88 In its own pursuit of preventing its students' contact with corrupting external influences, the École militaire thus deployed a harsh disciplinary, cruel regime which clearly carried echoes of both prisons and the military. Although after 1764 it could rely on first La Fèche and later twelve other collèges to provide the rudiments of education, socialization and discipline to its students, the violent and destructive nature of some students presented a standing challenge to its pedagogical goals and tactics.⁸⁹ Such measures exemplify the obverse aspect of an institution which several modern scholars have described as at least partially aligned with the High Enlightenment and the Encyclopédie's vision for educational reform. 90 Given the numerous parallels between practice and design in the École militaire and later prisons, one may be permitted to ask why such measures were taken in what was after all a primary school. The self-evident reply would be that it aimed to fashion Foucauldian docile bodies for military careers.

However, Foucault himself points to another motivation at play in the architectural design and surveillance practices: the control of students' sexuality. In a 1975 lecture at the *Collège de France*, he described how schools in the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries saw the introduction of the rule of *discretio maxima*: "the architecture of educational establishments ... the way in which dormitories are laid out ... and even tables and benches are constructed and set out in a classroom, the way in which the entire space of visibility is carefully organized..." was intended so that "while in colleges, seminaries, and

Voltaire's comparison of Émile and the École militaire is found on page 40 of Py's book.

^{88.} AN MM 667, Conseil de Police, 5 November 1764, 12. This punishment was Duverney's idea.

^{89.} At La Flèche, while "l'on travaille pour les nouveaux dortoirs," a student J.L.F. Tilly de Prémont "s'est fait remettre au cachot" as "il défaisait l'ouvrage des maçons" in the night. Stanislas Dupont de La Motte, *Journal*, ed. Didier Boisson (Rennes: Presses Universitaires, 2005) Third cahier, 30 August 1773.

Other student insubordination in Paris included murder plots, stabbings, beatings, and self-mutilation.

^{90.} Lucette Pérol, "Plan d'éducation et modèle politique dans l'*Encyclopédie*," *Dix-huitième siècle*, 17 (1985): 346; Marie Jacob, "L'École royale militaire – un modèle selon l'Encyclopédie?" *Recherches sur Diderot et sur l'Encyclopédie*, 43 (2008): 105–25; Grandière, 73–182.

schools one speaks of the body of pleasure as little as possible, everything in the arrangement of their sites and things designates its dangers."91 Strikingly, the potential problems posed by the youthful male gaze in the public chapel services of the École militaire and the prospect of installing tribunes for female worshipers there led the priests servicing it to issue a warning in 1769: to them, the mooted "tribunes saillantes en dedans pour y placer les dames" would very probably provoke "la dissipation ... parmi les élèves dont les veux seront sans cesse fixés sur ces tribunes."92 Later, in a 1977 interview, Foucault summarily stated: "... au XVIIIe siècle, le problème du sexe était le problème du sexe masculin, et la discipline du sexe était mise en œuvre dans les collèges de garçons, les écoles militaires..."93 Foucault's concern with the soul as "the prison of the body" seems perhaps even clearer and takes on a different aspect when considered in this context, that of pedagogical architecture; it also offers an incentive for further research on the construction of masculinity and sexuality (and other aspects of daily life impinging on those subjects, such as religion, hygiene, diet, and medicine) in ancien régime schools, military or otherwise. Here we may have a further overlooked bridge between Foucault's work on institutions, society, and discipline, and his histories of sexuality. The vista of unexplored possibilities in and beyond the context of panopticism thus continues to unfold.94

^{91.} Lecture of 5 March 1975 in *Abnormal: Lectures at the Collège de France*, 1974–1975, ed. Valerio Marchettie and Antonella Salomoni, trans. Graham Burchell (New York: Picador, 1999), 232–33.

^{92.} Comparing the architecture of the school's chapel unfavorably to those of La Flèche, the Sorbonne, the *college Mazarin*, and the Invalides, the complaint is found in a memorandum sent by the Docteurs de la Sorbonne to the Archbishop of Paris, who forwarded it to the Minister of War and he in turn to the administration of the school. AN MM 680, Lettre de Choiseul au Conseil de l'École royale militaire, Versailles, 14 May 1769, 1. The priests' heightened level of concern is highlighted by the fact that when they drafted their complaint, the new chapel's first stone had not even been laid by the king; that ceremony took place on 5 July 1769.

^{93.} He goes on to describe what he perceives as a shift in attention to women taking place in the nineteenth century, when female sexuality apparently became the object of greater medico-social importance. Foucault, "Le jeu de Michel Foucault" in *Dits et Écrits*, 1954–1988 T. III (Paris: Gallimard, 1977), 319.

^{94.} For a comparison of Foucault and Jeremy Bentham's views of sexuality, though without reference to panopticism, see Chapter 1 of Anne Brunon-Ernst's *Utilitarian Biopolitics: Bentham, Foucault, and Modern Power* (London: Pickering and Chatto, 2012).