Labour

Journal of Canadian Labour Studies

Le Travail

Revue d'Études Ouvrières Canadiennes

Larry Nesper, "Our Relations... The Mixed Bloods": Indigenous Transformation and Dispossession in the Western Great Lakes (Albany: SUNY Press, 2021)

Heather Devine

Volume 92, Fall 2023

URI: https://id.erudit.org/iderudit/1107954ar DOI: https://doi.org/10.52975/llt.2023v92.0014

See table of contents

Publisher(s)

Canadian Committee on Labour History

ISSN

0700-3862 (print) 1911-4842 (digital)

Explore this journal

Cite this review

Devine, H. (2023). Review of [Larry Nesper, "Our Relations… The Mixed Bloods": Indigenous Transformation and Dispossession in the Western Great Lakes (Albany: SUNY Press, 2021)]. *Labour / Le Travail, 92*, 317–318. https://doi.org/10.52975/llt.2023v92.0014

 All Rights Reserved © Canadian Committee on Labour History, 2023
 This document is protected by copyright law. Use of the services of Érudit (including reproduction) is subject to its terms and conditions, which can be viewed online.

 https://apropos.erudit.org/en/users/policy-on-use/

 This article is disseminated and preserved by Érudit.

 Érudit is a non-profit inter-university consortium of the Université de Montréal, Université Laval, and the Université du Québec à Montréal. Its mission is to

https://www.erudit.org/en/

promote and disseminate research.

grips with the historical hurt that centuries of subjugation have institutionalized, leaving entire populations debilitated and deformed, as well as resolutely dissenting, in the process.

BRYAN D. PALMER Warkworth, Ontario

Larry Nesper, "Our Relations... The Mixed Bloods": Indigenous Transformation and Dispossession in the Western Great Lakes (Albany: SUNY Press, 2021)

FROM THE SIXTEENTH to the nineteenth centuries, European nations encountered diverse North American Indigenous groups possessing overlapping - and often contested - ecological niches, subsistence strategies, spiritual practices, and political alliances. These initial contacts evolved quickly into trading partnerships and political alliances as rival colonial powers sought to establish continental "beachheads" prior to westward expansion. By the mid-19th century, European colonies in North America had evolved into independent nation-states, while Indigenous groups struggled unsuccessfully to maintain their autonomy in the face of shrinking hunting territories, epidemic disease, and settler violence.

In the northern reaches of the continent, the U.S. and Canadian boundaries along the 49th parallel and the Alaska/ Yukon borders respectively were codified into law. As the national boundaries evolved, so did the destinies of those Indigenous groups whose traditional territories were bisected *arbitrarily* by these imposed national boundaries. One of the Indigenous polities affected was the Anishinaabe/Chippewa, also known as the Ojibwa. The bands located southwest of the Great Lakes became "American" by default, and it is Wisconsin Chippewa communities bordering the southern shores of Lake Superior that Larry Nesper details in his book.

What follows is a complex, multidisciplinary analysis of how 19th-century federal Indigenous policy failed to protect the ancestral lands of the Great Lakes Chippewa. Chapters 1 and 2 introduce the reader to the natural environment of the southern Great Lakes, and to the Anishinaabe themselves, a collectivity characterized by its ethnic and racial inclusivity during the years of the colonial fur trade. During this period, there was little or no ethnic separation between full-bloods and mixed-bloods. However, this was to change as the fur trade declined in importance, and westward expansion accelerated.

Chapter 3 focusses on the past negotiation of treaties with the Great Lakes Chippewa, and how emerging concepts of race shaped differential government responses to mixed-bloods and full bloods. Tribal leaders' insistence that their mixed-race relations be included in any treaty agreements prompted government negotiators to consider Darwinian concepts of human evolution in their negotiations. One of these ideas, particularly the notion that agriculturalists were evolutionally superior to hunters and gatherers, provided the rationale needed to negotiate separate, parallel provisions for mixed-bloods among the Chippewa. Previous investigations suggested a link between the creation of separate 80-acre allotments for mixed-blood families in the 1854 treaty and the eventual dispossession of these families due to pressure from commercial lumber and mining interests at a later date. Indeed, out of nearly four dozen treaties negotiated in the region, there were nearly twenty separate land cessions from the 1820s to the 1850s.

The remainder of the book is a stepby-step accounting of over 200 years of struggle by various bands of Great Lakes Chippewa against government and business interests eager to acquire and exploit previously ceded reservation wetlands adjacent to reservations. Because government was legally required to maintain environmental protections due to Indigenous harvesting rights enshrined in various treaties, conservation was maintained for a time. However, by 2013 the state of Wisconsin introduced an industry-friendly mining bill that lowered the environmental requirements for business in the region, placing the annual wild rice harvest in jeopardy.

Nesper concludes that despite the efforts of tribal leaders to ensure the inclusion of their Métis kin in any distribution of land and benefits, the decision to create a distinct and separate category for mixed-race families at the outset of negotiations, served to isolate them legally from "full-bloods" on the reservations, and made their retention of their allotted lands tenuous, at best.

This is a complex and useful book. The initial chapters, featuring the work of scholars from both sides of the Canada-U.S. border, provide a solid historiographical foundation for the discussions that follow. For readers familiar with the sorry history of Métis scrip distribution and dispossession, this book will rekindle the debate over how governments' use of allotments and scrip served to undermine, rather than preserve, Indigenous title to land in North America.

Heather Devine University of Calgary

Jacinthe Michaud, Frontiers of Feminism: Movements and Influences in Québec and Italy, 1960–1980 (Vancouver: UBC Press, 2021)

L'OUVRAGE *Frontiers of Feminism*, de Jacinthe Michaud, s'intéresse à l'évolution des mouvements féministes québécois et italiens à partir de leurs marges, c'est-à-dire en mettant en lumière différentes forces qui ont faconné leurs transformations entre 1960 et 1980. Michaud se penche sur ce qu'elle nomme « [the] synergies and frictions, dialogues and confrontations » (7) entre les mouvements féministes et les groupes de gauche, ces points de rencontre ayant contribué à la définition des féminismes québécois et italiens. Ainsi, son approche s'éloigne d'autres historiographies qui se concentrent sur le rôle d'intermédiaire joué par les groupes féministes entre la population et les institutions gouvernementales. En proposant une « analyse généalogique transversale » (8), Michaud vient combler un vide historiographique, du moins au Québec, quant aux interactions entre le mouvement féministe et la gauche. Pour l'autrice, la notion de *frontiers* prend son sens à travers plusieurs articulations : d'abord entre le mouvement féministe et la jeunesse; entre le féminisme et le politique; entre le politique et la culture; entre le marxisme et la psychanalyse; et enfin entre l'individuel et le collectif (16 - 17).

L'étude de Michaud couvre trois décennies, des années 1960 aux années 1980. Il s'agit, pour l'autrice, de comprendre la transformation d'un mouvement politique (féminisme) en un mouvement social (mouvement des femmes). Michaud campe le féminisme en tant que mouvement politique dans une période de débats intenses avec la gauche – et particulièrement la tendance marxiste - qui prend fin au cours des années 1970. Son étude pose un regard comparatif sur le Québec et l'Italie. Sans occulter leurs différences, l'autrice note plusieurs similitudes entre les mouvements québécois et italiens qui justifient le choix de ces deux aires géographiques. Tous deux développent des spécificités liées à leur