Geoscience Canada

Journal of the Geological Association of Canada Journal de l'Association Géologique du Canada

Time-Transgressive Salinic and Acadian Orogenesis, Magmatism and Old Red Sandstone Sedimentation in Newfoundland

Cees R. van Staal, Vicki J. McNicoll and Neil Rogers

Volume 41, Number 2, 2014

URI: https://id.erudit.org/iderudit/1025339ar

See table of contents

Publisher(s)

The Geological Association of Canada

ISSN

0315-0941 (print) 1911-4850 (digital)

Explore this journal

érudit

Cite this article

van Staal, C. R., McNicoll, V. J. & Rogers, N. (2014). Time-Transgressive Salinic and Acadian Orogenesis, Magmatism and Old Red Sandstone Sedimentation in Newfoundland. *Geoscience Canada*, 41(2), 138–164. Article abstract

We propose an intimate relationship between Silurian terrestrial red bed sedimentation (Old Red Sandstone), slab breakoff-related magmatism and deformation in the Newfoundland Appalachians. Red bed sedimentation started during the Early Silurian, and records the progressive rise of the Salinic mountains in the tectonic hinterland of the orogen. The red beds were mainly deposited in molasse-style foreland basins in front of an eastpropagating terminal Salinic deformation front. New U-Pb zircon dating of volcanic rocks interlayered with the Silurian red beds in key structural locations yielded ages ranging between 425 and 418 Ma, which, combined with the existing geochronological database, suggests that the sedimentary rocks are progressively younger from west to east and overstep the accreted Gondwanaderived terranes. We propose that deposition of the red beds is a good proxy for the time of cratonization of the accreted terranes. Eastward migration of the Salinic deformation front was accompanied by eastward-widening of a slab-breakoff-related asthenospheric window. The latter is interpreted to have formed due to a combination of progressive steepening of the down-going plate following entrance of the leading edge of the Gander margin and its eduction. Gander margin eduction (reversed subduction) is proposed to have been instigated by the trench migration of the Acadian coastal arc built upon the trailing edge of the Gander margin, which developed contemporaneously with the Salinic collision. The resultant thinning of the lithosphere beneath the Salinic orogen, built upon the leading edge of the Gander margin immediately prior to the onset of the Early Devonian Acadian orogeny, set the stage for generation of the widespread bloom of Acadian magmatism.

All Rights Reserved © The Geological Association of Canada, 2014

This document is protected by copyright law. Use of the services of Érudit (including reproduction) is subject to its terms and conditions, which can be viewed online.

https://apropos.erudit.org/en/users/policy-on-use/

This article is disseminated and preserved by Érudit.

Érudit is a non-profit inter-university consortium of the Université de Montréal, Université Laval, and the Université du Québec à Montréal. Its mission is to promote and disseminate research.

https://www.erudit.org/en/



HAROLD WILLIAMS SERIES



Time-Transgressive Salinic and Acadian Orogenesis, Magmatism and Old Red Sandstone Sedimentation in Newfoundland

Cees R. van Staal¹, Alexandre Zagorevski², Vicki J. McNicoll² and Neil Rogers²

'Natural Resources Canada Geological Survey of Canada Vancouver, BC, Canada, V6B 5]3 E-mail: cvanstaa@nrcan.gc.ca

²Natural Resources Canada Geological Survey of Canada Ottawa, ON, Canada, K1A 0E8

SUMMARY

We propose an intimate relationship between Silurian terrestrial red bed sedimentation (Old Red Sandstone), slab breakoff-related magmatism and deformation in the Newfoundland Appalachians. Red bed sedimentation started during the Early Silurian, and records the progressive rise of the Salinic mountains in the tectonic hinterland of the orogen. The red beds were mainly deposited in molasse-style foreland basins in front of an eastpropagating terminal Salinic deformation front. New U-Pb zircon dating of volcanic rocks interlayered with the Silurian red beds in key structural locations yielded ages ranging between 425 and 418 Ma, which, combined with the existing geochronological database, suggests that the sedimentary rocks are progressively younger from west to east and overstep the accreted Gondwana-derived terranes. We propose that deposition of the red beds is a good proxy for the time of cratonization of the accreted terranes. Eastward migration of the Salinic deformation front was accompanied by eastward-widening of a slab-breakoff-related asthenospheric window. The latter is interpreted to have formed due to a combination of progressive steepening of the down-going plate following entrance of the leading edge of the Gander margin and its eduction. Gander margin eduction (reversed subduction) is proposed to have been instigated by the trench migration of the Acadian coastal arc built upon the trailing edge of the Gander margin, which developed contemporaneously with the Salinic collision. The resultant thinning of the lithosphere beneath the Salinic orogen, built upon the leading edge of the Gander margin immediately prior to the onset of the Early Devonian Acadian orogeny, set the stage for generation of the widespread bloom of Acadian magmatism.

SOMMAIRE

Nous proposons qu'il y a eu une relation intime entre la sédimentation des couches rouges continentales au Silurien (vieux-grès-rouges), un magmatisme lié à une rupture de segments de croûte, et la déformation appalachienne à Terre-Neuve. La sédimentation des couches rouges qui a débuté au début du Silurien témoigne du soulèvement progressif des monts saliniques de l'arrière-pays tectonique de l'orogène. Les couches rouges se sont déposées sous forme de molasses dans des bassins d'avant-pays, à l'avant du front de déformation salinique terminale qui se déployait vers l'est. De nouvelles datations U-Pb sur zircon de roches volcaniques interstratifiées avec des couches rouges siluriennes en des lieux structurels stratégiques montrent des âges qui varient entre 425 Ma et 418 Ma, ce qui, combiné aux bases de données géochronologiques existantes permet de penser que les roches sédimentaires sont progressivement plus jeunes d'ouest en est, et qu'elles surplombent les terranes accrétés du Gondwana. Nous suggérons que les couches rouges sont de bons indicateurs temporels de la cratonisation des terranes accrétés. La migration vers l'est du front de la déformation salinique a été accompagnée par un élargissement vers l'est d'une fenêtre asthénosphérique liée à une rupture de la croûte. Cette dernière aurait été provoquée par la combinaison de l'enfoncement progressif de la plaque qui a suivi l'entrée du bord d'attaque de la marge de Gander, et son éduction. Nous proposons que l'éduction (l'inverse de la subduction) de la marge de Gander a été provoquée par la migration de la fosse tectonique côtière acadienne, induite par la migration du bord d'attaque de la marge de Gander, contemporaine de la collision salinique.

L'amincissement de la lithosphère sous l'orogène salinique qui en a résulté, et qui s'est déployé au bord d'attaque de la marge de Gander juste avant l'enclenchement de l'orogénie acadienne au début du Dévonien, a préparé le terrain du déploiement à grande échelle du magmatisme acadien.

INTRODUCTION

Beginning with his benchmark 1967 publication on the Silurian rocks of Newfoundland (Williams 1967) the Silurian Period was of special interest to Harold (Hank) Williams. His highly insightful stratigraphic and structural studies of the superbly exposed Silurian rocks in the Notre Dame Bay of north-central Newfoundland (Williams 1972, 1993a), led him to conclude that the Exploits subzone must have been internally divided into two parts separated by a significantly wide Middle Ordovician to Silurian marine seaway that is now sutured along the Dog Bay Line (Williams et al. 1993). Williams considered the closure of this vestige of the Iapetus Ocean to be the tectonic cause of the Silurian deformation, although the responsible tectonic processes (i.e. subduction vs. strike-slip and transpression) were never specified. Williams' work was contrary to the established pre-1985 dogma, which divided the pre-Alleghanian deformation in the northern Appalachians into only two orogenic phases: the Ordovician Taconic and Devonian Acadian orogenies (e.g. Rodgers 1970). The intervening Silurian period was thought to be characterized by tectonic quiescence (e.g. Colman-Sadd 1982; Bradley 1983). Concurrent with Williams' work, evidence for latest Ordovician to Silurian, post-Taconic to pre-Acadian orogenesis was progressively growing in the Northern Appalachians (e.g. van Staal 1987, 1994; van der Pluijm and van Staal 1988; Dunning et al. 1990; van Staal et al. 1990; West et al. 1992; Cawood et al. 1994; Hibbard 1994; van Staal and de Roo 1995). Following the discovery by Boucot (1962) of stratigraphic evidence for Silurian tectonism (Salinic disturbance) in central Maine, the latest Ordovician to Silurian tectonism was referred to as the Salinic orogeny by Dunning et al. (1990) and Malo and Bourque (1993).

When the Salinic exactly

ended and the Acadian began at any given location in the Appalachian orogen is a contentious issue and depends on whether one considers the definition of orogeny from a purely absolute age perspective or from a tectonicdynamic point of view (see discussion in van Staal et al. 2008 and below). Defining the end of the Salinic orogeny and the start of the Acadian orogeny from an absolute age perspective has been problematic, at least in part due to the changes in the mean absolute ages of the series and stage boundaries of the Silurian and Devonian (e.g. 408 ± 12 Ma [Palmer 1983] vs. 419.2 ± 3.2 Ma [ICS 2013]). This led to confusion and grouping of unrelated events (e.g. Dunning et al. 1990; Lin et al. 1994; O'Brien 2003; van Staal and Zagorevski 2012). In addition, deformation associated with both Acadian (e.g. Bradley et al. 2000) and Salinic orogenies (see below) was diachronous and consequently appears to overlap in time and/or be continuous, which led some workers to group them together (e.g. O'Brien 2003; Murphy and Keppie 2005). However, overprinting relationships and tight age constraints on the structures generated by the Salinic and Acadian orogenies consistently show that the Acadian deformation postdated Salinic structures in any given area where both are present (van Staal and de Roo 1995; van Staal et al. 2009; Wilson and Kamo 2012). This suggests that phases of Salinic and Acadian orogenic events may have overlapped in time but never in space at any time (van Staal et al. 2009). In addition, a number of different lines of evidence indicate that they constitute two kinematically and dynamically distinct events that followed each other closely in time (e.g. Dunning et al. 1990; West et al. 1992; van der Pluijm et al. 1993; Hibbard 1994; Holdsworth 1994; Cawood et al. 1994; Burgess et al. 1995; Kerr et al. 1995; D'Lemos et al. 1997; Schofield and D'Lemos 2000; O'Brien 2003; van Staal et al. 2003; McNicoll et al. 2006; Zagorevski et al. 2007; van Staal et al. 2009; Wilson and Kamo 2012). As such we espouse a tectonic-based definition of orogeny or mountain building in this manuscript. With this we mean a series of kinematically and dynamically closely related tectonic processes that led to a

distinct phase of mountain building.

2014

Understanding of the tectonic significance and absolute age of the Salinic and Acadian orogenies is particularly pertinent to the origin and affiliation of Wenlockian to earliest Devonian bimodal magmatic suites (Fig. 1). The magmatic axes of these suites become younger towards the eastsoutheast and they are commonly spatially associated with deposition of syn-tectonic terrestrial sedimentary rocks, such as the Botwood Group in central Newfoundland (Fig. 1). The affiliation of these magmatic suites to either Salinic or Acadian orogenesis is not always clear and sometimes problematic. The standard model for late syn- to post-tectonic bimodal magmatism invokes orogen-wide extension (Turner et al. 1992); however, Salinic and Acadian bimodal magmatism was synchronous with regional shortening and uplift throughout the central orogenic core of the Newfoundland Appalachians (e.g. Dunning et al. 1990). In this contribution, we utilize new and existing geochronological and geochemical databases to integrate the magmatic and tectonic evolution of the Newfoundland Appalachians and explore the possible tectonic settings and causes of this Late Silurian magmatism, terrestrial sedimentation and associated deformation.

MIDDLE ORDOVICIAN-LATE SILURIAN GEOLOGICAL ARCHITECTURE OF THE CENTRAL NEWFOUNDLAND APPALACHIANS

The central Newfoundland Appalachians (Fig. 1) are underlain by Paleozoic and older rocks of the Dunnage and Gander tectonostratigraphic zones (Williams 1978). Tectonostratigraphic zones and subzones were principally defined on their pre-Silurian geological characteristics, although it was later shown that geological contrasts locally continued into the Silurian (Williams et al. 1988, 1993; Reusch and van Staal 2012). The Dunnage zone mainly comprises Cambro-Ordovician arc terranes and associated slivers of supra-subduction zone ophiolites, which were divided into the Notre Dame subzone (peri-Laurentian realm) and the Exploits subzone (peri-Gondwanan realm), separated by the Red Indian Line (Williams et al. 1988; Williams 1993b;



Figure 1. Geology of Newfoundland (modified from Hibbard et al. 2006). BL: Boogie Lake pluton; BVBL: Baie Verte-Brompton Line; CB: Cape Brule porphyry; CF: Cabot Fault; CLV: Charles Lake volcanic unit; CRF: Cape Ray Fault; DBL: Dog Bay Line; DC: Duder Complex; DF: Dover Fault; GBF: Green Bay Fault; GHF: Gunflap Hills Fault; GRUBL: Gander River Ultrabasic Belt Line; HBF: Hermitage Bay Fault; HMT: Hungry Mountain Thrust; HR: Harry's River; IIG: Indian Islands Group; LB: Loon Bay pluton; LCF: Lobster Cove Fault; LPG: La Poile Group; LRF: Lloyds River Fault; LV: Lawrenceton volcanic unit; MN: Meelpaeg Nappe; OBSZ: Otter Brook shear zone; PAV: Port Albert volcanic unit; PF: Pine Falls Formation; RF: Reach Fault; SL: Stony Lake volcanic unit; RIL: Red Indian Line; SLD: Star Lake Dam; VLSZ: Victoria Lake Shear Zone.

Hibbard et al. 2006, 2007). The Red Indian Line (Fig. 1) represents the fundamental Iapetus suture zone along which these two unrelated realms were

juxtaposed during the Sandbian (ca. 455 Ma; van Staal et al. 1998; Zagorevski et al. 2008).

tonic evolution of the Exploits subzone and adjacent Gander zone (Fig. 1) are intimately related because most of the pre-Middle Ordovician rocks of

The paleogeographic and tec-



DC: Duder Complex; DMT: Dunnage Mélange tract; EX: Exploits Group; GPM: Garden Point mélange; HH: Hodges Hill complex; HS: Hamilton Sound Group; River ophiolite complex; MPgb: gabbro phase of Mount Peyton pluton; MPgr: late granite phase of Mount Peyton pluton; P: Pipestone Pond Complex; PF: Pine Falls Formation; PP: Pats Pond Group; PV: Popelogan-Victoria; RA: Robert's Arm Group; RIL: Red Indian Line; RILG: Red Indian Lake group; S: Summerford INI: Indian Islands Group; JC: Joey's Cove; LB: Loon Bay pluton; LBOT - Lushs Bight oceanic tract, LL: Long Lake Group; LP: La Poile Group; LRO: Lloyds Buchans Group; BVOT: Baie Verte oceanic tract; BU: Burgeo Intrusive Suite; C: Coaker Porphyry; CL: Charles Lake volcanic unit; CMM: Carmanville mélange; Group; SK: Skidder Formation; SP: Sutherlands Pond group; SSZ – suprasubduction zone; TM: Ten Mile Formation; TP: Tally Pond Group; TU: Tulks Group; Figure 2. Tectono-stratigraphic columns through the various belts of central Newfoundland discussed in this paper. AOB: Annieopsquotch ophiolite belt; BG: WB: Wild Bight Group; WH: Western Head granite. the Exploits subzone appear to be built on the Gander zone basement (Fig. 2; van Staal et al. 1998; Rogers et al. 2006; Zagorevski et al. 2010, 2012). The latter rocks together with the rocks underlying the Gander zone constitute Ganderia (van Staal et al. 1998, 2012). The Gander zone mainly comprises a Middle Cambrian to Late Ordovician passive margin (Gander margin) deposited on Neoproterozoic-Early Cambrian arc basement (Fig. 2; Dunning and O'Brien 1989; van Staal 1994; Fyffe et al. 2009; van Staal and Barr 2012). The late Early Cambrian-Early Ordovician part of the Gander margin represented the passive margin of the Penobscot backarc basin, which was bordered on its active side by the 515-485 Ma Penobscot arc (Exploits subzone) (Figs. 1, 2). Passive Gander margin sedimentation was interrupted for a brief period (≤ 5 m.y.) between 483 and 478 Ma as a result of inversion of the backarc basin and obduction of the Penobscot backarc ophiolites (Zagorevski et al. 2010). Passive margin sedimentation was re-established again by ca. 475 Ma (e.g. Colman-Sadd et al. 1992); the upper part of the Gander margin tectonically associated with the vounger (475-458 Ma) Popelogan-Victoria arc, which also rifted, opening the Tetagouche-Exploits backarc basin (TEB) (van Staal 1994; van Staal et al. 1998). Contrasts in the Ordovician-Silurian geology led to an additional subdivision of the Exploits subzone by Williams et al. (1993), and introduction of the Dog Bay Line (Fig. 1). The western part of the Exploits subzone, situated between the Red Indian and Dog Bay lines (Fig. 1), is mainly underlain by volcanic and associated sedimentary rocks of the Cambrian-Middle Ordovician Penobscot and Popelogan-Victoria arcs (Zagorevski et al. 2010, 2012), Upper Ordovician to Upper Silurian clastic sedimentary rocks of the marine Badger and terrestrial Botwood groups (Figs. 1, 2; Williams et al. 1993). The marine Badger Group basin was correlated with rocks of the Salinic Matapedia basin in northern New Brunswick and mainly interpreted as a southeast-facing Salinic forearc basin that overstepped onto accreted backarc basin blocks (van Staal 1994; van Staal et al. 1998,

2008, 2009; Wilson et al. 2004). This correlation was recently reinforced by the discovery of Mediterranean Faunal Province conodonts in correlatives of the Badger Group deposited above the Middle to Late Ordovician Duder Complex (Figs. 1, 2), which have not been identified elsewhere in North America, except in coeval rocks of the Matapedia basin (Dickson et al. 2007). The Duder Complex (Williams et al. 1993) is fault-bounded and has been interpreted as an allochthonous slice that originated in the Tetagouche-Exploits backarc basin (Zagorevski et al. 2010). It was accreted to the Badger forearc system during the Late Ordovician-Early Silurian (Fig. 2). Accretion was manifested by formation of the Garden Point mélange (Williams et al. 1993) and deposition of Badger Group clastic rocks above it (Figs. 1, 2). The mélange contains rare limestone blocks (Williams et al. 1993) that we correlate with Katian-Hirnantian limestone that overlies the Duder Complex and was included into the Badger Group by Dickson et al. (2007).

The eastern part of the Exploits subzone, situated east or south of the Dog Bay Line, comprises Middle Ordovician to Lower Silurian clastic sedimentary rocks (e.g. Davidsville, Baie d'Espoir and Red Cross groups) with minor volcanic rocks (mainly felsic) and calcareous sedimentary rocks near the base of the succession and coarse clastic rocks near the top (O'Neill 1991; Colman-Sadd et al. 1992; Williams et al. 1993; Valverde-Vaquero et al. 2006). This sequence disconformably overlies Cambrian-Tremadocian sandstone and shale of the Gander Group; the late Tremadocian hiatus marks obduction of the Penobscot ophiolites onto the Gander margin (Fig. 2; Williams and Piasecki 1990; Colman-Sadd et al. 1992; Zagorevski et al. 2010). This part of the Exploits subzone mainly preserves a continuation of sedimentation on the Cambrian–Ordovician passive Gander margin following opening of the Tetagouche-Exploits backarc basin shortly after 475 Ma (van Staal 1994). The Middle to Late Ordovician Gander margin rocks in turn are overlain by Silurian marine calcareous sedimentary rocks (Donovan et al. 1997; Boyce and Dickson 2006; McNicoll et al.

2006) of the Indian Island Group (Figs. 1, 2). Parts of the Indian Island Group have been correlated with lithologically similar rocks in the Fredericton trough of central New Brunswick, particularly the Flume Ridge Formation (van Staal et al. 2009). The Fredericton trough was interpreted as a Salinic-related marine foredeep formed on the down-going Gander margin while it was being overridden by the Brunswick subduction complex (van Staal 1994; van Staal et al. 1998, 2008, 2009). The overall depositional history of the Indian Island Group, however, is poorly understood at present, mainly because of its poor preservation in Newfoundland (Fig. 1).

The Middle Ordovician rocks of the Popelogan-Victoria arc and the Gander margin are structurally separated by a narrow belt of highly tectonized, polyphase deformed volcanic and sedimentary rocks, including the 469-453 Ma Duder Complex (Currie 1997; Dickson et al. 2007; Zagorevski et al. 2010) and Hamilton Sound Group (Johnston et al. 1994) in northeastern Newfoundland (Figs. 1, 2), and the intensely deformed MORB-like rocks of the Pine Falls Formation (Valverde-Vaquero et al. 2006) in central Newfoundland (Fig. 1). This relatively narrow belt of highly tectonized rocks situated between the Reach fault and the Dog Bay Line (Fig. 1) as defined by Williams et al. (1993) has been interpreted as remnants of the Middle Ordovician Tetagouche-Exploits backarc basin (TEB), which were progressively accreted to the Badger forearc. The tectonic setting, style of deformation and metamorphism of these rocks suggest that they are correlatives of the Brunswick subduction complex (van Staal et al. 2008). The TEB corresponds to the oceanic seaway delineated by Williams et al. (1993), which separated the Popelogan-Victoria arc and the Middle Ordovician rocks of the Gander margin (van Staal et al. 1998; Zagorevski et al. 2010). The Dog Bay Line thus represents the terminal suture along which the TEB closed (cf. Dickson 2006; Dickson et al. 2007).

EXISTING CONSTRAINTS ON THE DURATION AND DISTRIBUTION OF SALINIC COLLISION-RELATED DEFORMATION AND METAMORPHISM IN NEWFOUNDLAND

Robust age constraints on the duration of Salinic convergence and collisionrelated deformation are relatively sparse in Newfoundland. This is unfortunate because there are indications that collision-related deformation is diachronous from west to east (see below). The collision of the first peri-Gondwanan elements with the composite Laurentian margin marks the end of the strictly Laurentian realm Taconic orogenesis (Fig. 2) and the start of the Salinic orogenic cycle, which involves peri-Gondwanan elements as well. Thus, in central Newfoundland, the absolute age constraint on the start of the Salinic cycle is ca. 455 Ma (van Staal et al. 2007, 2009; Zagorevski et al. 2008).

West of the Red Indian Line

The shutdown of Salinic arc magmatism and initiation of non-arc magmatism at ca. 433 Ma (Whalen et al. 2006) provides the best minimum age for the onset of Salinic collision between the composite Laurentian margin and the Gander margin in Newfoundland. High-grade metamorphic rocks of the Ordovician Notre Dame arc east of the Baie Verte Line, but west of the Lloyds River-Hungry Mountain-Lobster Cove thrust system (Figs. 1, 2) have vielded monazite, titanite and rutile ages ranging between 433 and 430 Ma (Lissenberg et al. 2005; van Staal et al. 2007) supporting rapid Salinic-related exhumation. Salinic deformation and high-grade metamorphism in the Laurentian hinterland immediately west of the Baie Verte Line (Fig. 1) was already occurring by ca. 434 Ma and was followed by rapid cooling of high-grade metamorphic rocks between 430 and 425 Ma (Cawood et al. 1994; Lin et al. 2013).

Salinic deformation reactivated or overprinted Ordovician Taconic structures in the Annieopsquotch accretionary tract (Fig. 2), between the Lloyds River-Hungry Mountain-Lobster Cove thrust system and the Red Indian Line. Salinic slab break-offrelated plutonic rocks show both crosscutting (Fig. 3A) and syn-tectonic relationships (Fig. 3B, C) along reactivated Ordovician thrust faults. Syn-tectonic intrusive rocks were emplaced into the Otter Brook Shear Zone and Red Indian Line at 427 and 432 Ma respectively (Fig. 3C, D; Zagorevski et al. 2007). More importantly, Wenlockian red beds and interlayered felsic volcanic rocks (ca. 429 Ma: Chandler et al. 1987; Dunning et al. 1990) constrain the age of thrusting because they are locally preserved in the hanging wall (Fig. 3E) and footwall of southeast-directed sinistral-oblique thrust faults (Fig. 3F, G), yet contain material of the eroded hanging wall (Zagorevski et al. 2007). This foreland fold and thrust belt style relationship between magmatism, deformation and molasse-style sedimentation (for definition see Mitchell and Reading 1978) was also established in the correlative rocks along strike in north-central Newfoundland (Fig. 3H; Dean and Strong 1977; van Staal et al. 2009), and thus represents a regional tectonic style. It emphasizes the relationship between red terrestrial molasse-like sedimentation (Old Red Sandstone facies of the British Caledonides. Friend et al. 2000) and Salinic deformation.

East of the Red Indian Line (Badger Belt)

Evidence for high-level Llandoverian-Wenlockian Salinic folding and thrusting is well preserved in Upper Ordovician-Lower Silurian turbiditic rocks of the Badger Group (Fig. 1), immediately southeast of the Red Indian Line (O'Brien 2003). Thrusts breaching the sea floor overrode their own olistostromes and have been dated by fossils in their matrix as Early Silurian (Fig. 4A; Jacobi and Schweikert 1976; Arnott 1983; van der Pluijm 1986; Reusch 1987). Elsewhere this deformation produced inclined or recumbent F₁ folds and associated bedding-parallel S₁ cleavage in the Badger Group and underlying older rocks (e.g. Elliott et al. 1991). Structural transport direction varied, but generally was to the southeast or south taking into account the reorientations caused by younger deformation events (van der Pluijm 1986; Lafrance and Williams 1992; Kusky and Kidd 1996; O'Brien 2003). This D_1 phase of the Salinic

deformation predated eruption and intrusion of the main phases of the Hodges Hill-Charles Lake magmatic suite (Figs. 1, 2), which yielded ages between 435 and 429 Ma (Kusky and Kidd 1996; Dickson 2000; O'Brien 2003, pers. comm.), and overlaps with the age constraints established by Zagorevski et al. (2007) nearby, along and immediately to the west of the Red Indian Line. On the western margin of the Hodges Hill Batholith, Badger Group sedimentary rocks are deformed and contact metamorphosed to cordierite schist and higher metamorphic grade (Fig. 4B). In contrast, the Twin Lakes phase of the Hodges Hill Batholith and the Dawes Pond Granodiorite do not display penetrative fabric development (Fig. 4C, D).

East of the Dog Bay Line (Gander Margin)

Evidence for Salinic deformation in rocks of the Gander margin mainly comes from syn-tectonic intrusive, migmatitic and metamorphic rocks. Metamorphism accompanying the high-strain D₃ deformation in this part of Newfoundland was dated at ca. 417 Ma both in the northeastern (Holdsworth 1994; D'Lemos et al. 1997; Schofield and D'Lemos 2000) and southwestern parts of the Gander margin (Valverde-Vaquero et al. 2000) over a strike length of more than 300 km, indicating that the preceding deformation, low to high grade metamorphism and migmatization mainly formed during Salinic orogenesis. Migmatitic rocks associated with D_{1-2} were dated with U-Pb zircon, monazite and titanite methods between 425 and 423 Ma (Dunning et al. 1990; Schofield and D'Lemos 2000), whereas Ar-dating of metamorphic muscovite yielded ages as old as 429 Ma (O'Neill and Colman-Sadd 1993). Structural data and pattern of metamorphism suggest that the D_{1-2} structures had a vergence to the southeast or south (O'Neill 1991; Piasecki 1992). The Salinic deformation in the Gander margin thus overlaps with the age constraints further to the west, but lasts at least until 423 Ma and possibly until 417 Ma if one considers the similar. sinistral shear kinematics associated with D_3 structures (Holdsworth 1994). This suggests that the final increments



Figure 3. Representative photographs of Silurian rocks along and west of the Red Indian Line. A. Boogie Lake granodiorite (429⁺⁷/₋₃ Ma: Dunning et al. 1990) contains xenoliths of Ordovician mylonite of the Otter Brook shear zone. B. Syn-tectonic sheets of Silurian granite (ca. 426 Ma U/Pb titanite: Zagorevski et al. 2007) in the Otter Brook shear zone, Star Lake dam. C. Strongly foliated and lineated (inset) Silurian Topsails Igneous Suite syenite in the Hungry Mountain Thrust, Buchans area. D. Syn-tectonic ca. 432 Ma felsite intruded into mélange of the Victoria River delta fault (Thurlow et al. 1992; Rogers et al. 2005; Zagorevski et al. 2007), a subsidiary thrust fault of the Red Indian Line. E. Tilted and normally faulted sequence of Silurian (Chandler et al. 1987) terrestrial columnar jointed andesite (i), siltstone and bentonite (ii), conglomerate (iii) and columnar jointed rhyolite ignimbrite (iv) at Hind's Lake dam. These rocks unconformably overlie Middle Ordovician mid-crustal plutons of the Notre Dame arc (see also Whalen et al. 1987). F. Cleaved Silurian red bed sandstone and conglomerate in the footwall syncline to the Otter Pond shear zone. G. Foliated Silurian peralkaline rhyolite tuff in the footwall of the Hungry Mountain Thrust, Buchans area. H. Folded red bed sandstone in a footwall syncline of the Lobster Cove Fault, Pilley's Island.



Figure 4. Representative photographs of Silurian rocks along and east of the Red Indian Line. A.Darriwilian limestone blocks in a matrix of Silurian shale of the Joey's Cove mélange at Rogers Cove, New World Island (see Reusch 1987) B. Contact metamorphosed Badger Group turbidites adjacent to the Dawes Pond Granodiorite and Hodges Hill Batholith. Note bedding subparallel to foliation. Nearby outcrops of the Roberts Arm Group preserved evidence for polyphase deformation. C. Twin Lakes phase of the Hodges Hill Batholith displaying scalloped contact between mafic and felsic phases, suggesting they are co-magmatic. D. Pristine hypabysal quartz-phyric rhyolite of the Dawes Pond Granodiorite. E. Foliated Stony Lake rhyolite deformed into symmetrical folds in hinge of anticline. Folds are accompanied by weak axial planar cleavage. Hand lens for scale. F. Strongly foliated ca. 423 Ma Stony Lake rhyolite. Foliation is tectonic and folded by younger mesoscopic folds containing a weak axial planar cleavage (lower right corner). Hand lens for scale. G. Unconformity between Salinic (F₁) deformed Badger Group turbidites and subaerial volcanic rocks of the Port Albert Formation of the Botwood Group. Turbidites below the unconformity contain a zone of F₁ folds (Karlstrom et al. 1982) and are overlain by a thin conglomerate layer that locally contains pebbles with pre-entrainment cleavage. Both units are folded by NW-verging Acadian(?) structures (F₃ of Lafrance and Williams 1992). H. Folded and cleaved red beds of Wigwam Formation near the contact with the overlying Stony Lake Formation felsic volcanic rocks.

SILURIAN MAGMATISM AND SEDIMENTATION RELATED TO SALINIC OROGENESIS

Arc magmatism associated with Salinic subduction of the TEB lasted from 446 to 435 Ma in Newfoundland (Whalen et al. 2006; Brem et al. 2007). Field, petrological and isotopic evidence suggest that the younger Silurian non-arc magmatism (433-424 Ma) was related to break-off of the oceanic slab attached to the down-going Gander margin (Whalen et al. 2006). This oceanic slab, parts of which are preserved in the Brunswick subduction complex, New Brunswick, and the Red Cross Group, Newfoundland, was similar to the lithosphere in the Sea of Japan, characterized by discontinuous spreading centres, felsic volcanic centres and fragmented arc basement (e.g. Zagorevski et al. 2010 and references therein). The slab break-off of this weak backarc crust occurred during deformation associated with the Salinic collision (e.g. Dunning et al. 1990; Zagorevski et al. 2007). The bulk of the slab break-off-related igneous rocks (Topsails Igneous Suite: Whalen et al. 1987, 2006) define a relatively narrow (75-100 km wide) belt, which is mainly situated between the Baie Verte and Red Indian lines (Fig 1). This suggests that the mantle window created by the slab breakoff was also relatively narrow.

A younger, Ludlovian–early Lochkovian syn-to post-collisional suite of magmatic rocks (424–419 Ma) occurs mainly between the Red Indian and the Dog Bay lines (Fig. 1; Williams et al. 1993; van Staal et al. 2009). This magmatic suite straddles the as yet poorly delineated Dog Bay Line (Dickson et al. 2007), which forms part of the Salinic suture zone along which the active and passive sides of the TEB were juxtaposed following subduction of the intervening backarc lithosphere. In contrast to the slightly older syncollisional magmatic suite, the Late Silurian-Early Devonian magmatic rocks at least in part post-date Salinic deformation, but consistently predate Acadian deformation both in Newfoundland and Maritime Canada (van Staal et al. 2009). Evidence presented below indicates this magmatism overlapped with the last gasp of Salinic deformation. A similar tectonic scenario was recognized in New Brunswick and Maine (van Staal et al. 2003, 2009; Wilson et al. 2008) where the Bamford Brook-Liberty Line is a correlative of the Dog Bay Line suture zone (Ludman et al. 1993; van Staal et al. 2009; Reusch and van Staal 2012).

In Newfoundland, igneous rocks belonging to the Late Silurian-Early Devonian suite of magmatic rocks (Fig. 1) comprise the ca. 424-422 Ma phases of the Mt. Peyton and Fogo Island batholiths (Dunning 1992, 1994; Aydin 1995; Sandeman and Malpas 1995; Currie 2003) and coeval volcanic eruptive and associated epizonal intrusive dikes and sills such as the Stony Lake volcanic complex (Fig. 4E, F; ca. 423 Ma: Dunning et al. 1990) and the Port Albert composite dikes (ca. 422 Ma: Elliott et al. 1991). This Late Silurian-Early Devonian magmatic suite is herein informally referred to as the Fogo suite. Detailed petrological investigations of the Fogo suite indicate that it comprises both mantle and crust-derived melts (Strong and Dupuy 1982; Sandeman and Malpas 1995; Currie 2003; Kerr 2013). Such a petrogenesis is consistent with formation of the Fogo suite above an asthenospheric window created by slab break-off (Whalen et al. 2006; van Staal et al. 2009).

The Fogo suite has a close spatial association with the Botwood belt (Williams et al. 1993, 1995), which is largely underlain by the terrestrial Botwood Group (Williams 1972). The Botwood Group is a critical unit to constrain the duration of Salinic collision-related deformation, because it disconformably overlies the Early Salinic, syn-tectonic marine Badger Group sedimentary rocks (Fig. 4G; van der Pluijm 1986; Reusch 1987; van der Pluijm et al. 1993; Zagorevski et al. 2008; van Staal et al. 2009; Waldron et al. 2012). In the type locality near the towns of Botwood and Laurenceton, the Botwood Group comprises the Lawrenceton¹ and the Wigwam formations. The Lawrenceton Formation is dominated by mafic and felsic volcanic rocks at its base, whereas the overlying Wigwam Formation comprises red sandstone (Fig. 4H) and minor felsic volcanic rocks. Other formation names have been used elsewhere (Williams et al. 1993; Pollock et al. 2007). Members of the Fogo suite either intruded (Elliott et al. 1991) or were inferred to unconformably overlie folded and cleaved sandstone of the presumed Middle to Upper Silurian Wigwam Formation of the Botwood Group (Fig. 4H; Anderson and Williams 1970; Colman-Sadd and Russell 1982) in the southwestern part of the Botwood belt (Fig. 1). The Fogo suite thus represents a potential marker separating the Salinic- and Acadian-related deformation. Additional evidence presented herein suggests that the slab break-off-related magmatic front was diachronous in Newfoundland and migrated from west (Topsails Suite) to east (Fogo suite). This relationship suggests that the asthenospheric window beneath the Salinic orogen was progressively widening before the onset of the Acadian orogeny.

TECTONIC SETTING AND START OF THE ACADIAN OROGENY

Early Devonian Acadian orogenesis affected nearly the entire width of the northern Appalachian orogen, including Avalonia (Fig. 1), with the exception of Meguma (Williams 1993b; van Staal and Barr 2012). Middle-Late Devonian orogenesis in Meguma has been named Neoacadian (van Staal 2005; van Staal and Barr 2012). Acadian-related deformation and metamorphism were most complex and penetrative in Ganderia, but generally less strong or non-penetrative in Avalonia and west of the Red Indian Line. The presence of Early Devonian Acadian deformation in Avalonia but not

¹ Williams (1972) defined this formation as 'Lawrenceton' rather than 'Laurenceton' in the type area of the Botwood belt.

Meguma suggests a first order relationship between orogenesis and accretion of Avalonia to the composite Laurentian margin (van Staal 2005).

During the Llandoverian, Ganderia was accreted to and became the leading edge of Laurentia (see previous section). The trailing edge (i.e. southeastern in present coordinates) of Ganderia (van Staal 2005) is characterized by development of a short-lived Silurian southeast-facing arc-backarc system (Figs. 1, 2), referred to as the Coastal arc-Mascarene backarc in southern New Brunswick, Nova Scotia (e.g. Barr et al. 2002; Lin et al. 2007) and Maine (Bradley 1983; Robinson et al. 1998). The Coastal arc-backarc system continues into southern Newfoundland (Burgeo pluton-La Poile basin: Figs. 1, 2; O'Brien et al. 1991; Kerr et al. 1995; Lin et al. 2007), but is truncated further to the east by the subvertical Dover-Hermitage Bay fault, which represents the on-land boundary between Avalonia and Ganderia in Newfoundland (Fig. 1, Williams 1993b). The polarity of the arc is inferred from: 1) the inboard position of the Mascarene backarc relative to the Coastal arc suggesting that Ganderia was facing an open Acadian seaway to the southeast; 2) preservation of Early Devonian high pressure-low temperature forearc rocks immediately to the southeast of the Coastal arc in New Brunswick (White et al. 2006); 3) lack or scarcity of Silurian-Early Devonian magmatic rocks in Avalonia; 4) seismic reflectors in Avalonia dip towards the northwest (van der Velden et al. 2004); and 5) sedimentary evidence for Early Devonian tectonic loading of Avalonia (Waldron et al. 1996). These lines of evidence suggest that the Acadian seaway closed by north-westwards dipping subduction during the Silurian-Early Devonian (van Staal et al. 2009, 2012; van Staal and Barr 2012).

The Mascarene and La Poile backarc and/or intra-arc basins in southern New Brunswick and Maine, and southern Newfoundland respectively were inverted starting at ca. 420 Ma (O'Brien et al. 1991; Robinson et al. 1998; van Staal et al. 2009; van Staal and Barr 2012; Piñán-Llamas and Hepburn 2013). Coeval inversion of these basins is considered a proxy for the start of the Acadian collision between composite Laurentia and Avalonia, since most other informative rocks such as those deposited in the arctrench gap (White et al. 2006) are generally not preserved. The general absence of Acadian forearc-related rocks suggest that forearc block subduction, subduction erosion (van Staal et al. 2009) and/or uplift and erosion of the forearc block prevailed during collision. Subduction erosion and/or forearc block subduction may have resulted in underplating of forearc rocks beneath Ganderia, further towards the Laurentian hinterland.

Subsidence analysis of the remnants of a Silurian passive margin built upon Avalonia revealed a significant phase of tectonic loading starting at the Silurian–Devonian boundary (~419 Ma) in Maritime Canada and formation of a marine foreland basin (Waldron et al. 1996). This tectonic loading is broadly coeval with termination of Coastal arc magmatism and inversion of the Acadian backarc basin(s), suggesting a tectonic relationship.

Late Early to Late Devonian clastic red sediments that were deposited on southern Newfoundland Avalonia immediately adjacent to the Dover-Hermitage Bay fault contain metamorphic and magmatic detritus sourced from the exhuming Acadian orogen in adjacent Ganderia (Williams and O'Brien 1995). These sediments are interpreted to represent the remnants of a terrestrial (molasse) foreland basin built on Avalonia during the Acadian collision. The combination of the absence of Early Devonian magmatism, evidence of tectonic loading and molasse sedimentation on Avalonia support our interpretation that it was situated on the lower plate during the Acadian collision.

Following the initiation of Acadian deformation at ca. 420 Ma near the Avalonian–composite Laurentia suture, the Acadian deformation is progressively younger to the northwest (Donohoe and Pajari 1974; Bradley et al. 2000) and predominantly has a northwest-directed vergence. Acadian deformation started between 408 and 406 Ma in central Maine and New Brunswick (Bradley and Tucker 2002; Wilson et al. 2004). Hence, it can be easily separated from the significantly older Salinic structures there. The Acadian retro-arc deformation front reached the border between Maine and Quebec by the end of the Early Devonian (~ 394 Ma, Bradley et al. 2000). A similar process may have also taken place in Newfoundland (see below). The combined geological evidence indicates that during the Early Silurian (443-425 Ma) Ganderia occupied a unique tectonic setting (van Staal et al. 2009), which has few modern analogues today. Ganderia was the lower plate at its leading Salinic edge and in an upper plate setting at its trailing Acadian edge (Figs. 2, 5). Such a setting is present in western Mindanao (Fig. 5; Sajona et al. 2000 and references therein) and a similar setting occurred in parts of Indonesia during the Late Cretaceous closure of the Meso-Tethys Ocean (Hall 2012). We argue below that this tectonic setting was ultimately critical for the generation of the large Acadian magmatic suite in Ganderia and formation of the immediately preceding, but problematic late-syn- to post-Salinic to pre-Acadian (423-417 Ma) magmatic suite, which occurs in the part of composite Laurentia underlain by the leading edge of Ganderia (east of the Red Indian Line in Fig. 1). If correct, this indicates there is a dynamic linkage between the Salinic and Acadian orogenies.

U-Pb GEOCHRONOLOGY

To better constrain the age of Salinic orogenesis, magmatism and sedimentation and to evaluate the sense of diachroneity, we sampled three felsic volcanic rocks in central Newfoundland. These include syn-tectonic rhyolite from the Topsails Suite along the Hungry Mountain thrust west of the Red Indian Line, and two samples from the Botwood belt east of the Red Indian Line. Botwood belt samples comprise Lawrenceton Formation rhyolite near Laurenceton and Port Albert Formation rhyolite tuff near Port Albert Peninsula.

Analytical Techniques

Heavy mineral concentrates were prepared from the samples using standard mineral separation techniques, including crushing, grinding, Wilfley[™] table, and heavy liquid separation. Final sepa148



Figure 5. Comparison of the tectonic setting of (A) Mindanao (from Sajona et al. 2000) and (B) Ganderia during the Early Silurian. Subduction zones and accreted oceanic lithosphere outlined in green. The Popelogan-Victoria arc (PVA), the leading edge of Ganderia, had accreted to the Annieopsquotch accretionary tract (AAT) at ca. 455 Ma along the Red Indian Line (RIL). Subduction had steppedback in the Tetagouche-Exploits backarc basin (TEB), which was largely floored by oceanic lithosphere. Late Ordovician–Early Silurian closure of the TEB was the principal cause of the Salinic orogenic cycle, formation of the Salinic arc and accretion of the remaining part of Ganderia and Avalonia (AV) may have been initiated as a result of the onset of collision between Ganderia and composite Laurentia. HMT: Hungry Mountain thrust. See text and Figure 8 for more details.

ration of the zircon grains was done by magnetic susceptibility using a FrantzTM isodynamic separator and hand-picking with a binocular microscope. SHRIMP II (Sensitive High Resolution Ion MicroProbe) analyses were conducted at the Geological Survey of Canada using analytical procedures described by Stern (1997), with standards and U-Pb calibration methods following Stern and Amelin (2003). Zircon from the samples and fragments of the GSC laboratory zircon standard (z6266 zircon, with ²⁰⁶Pb/²³⁸U age = 559 Ma) and a secondary zircon standard (Temora 2) were cast in an epoxy grain mount (GSC mount # 387), polished with diamond compound to reveal the grain centres, and photographed in transmitted light. Internal features of the zircon grains (such as zoning, structures, alteration, etc.) were characterized in back-scattered electron (BSE) and cathodoluminescence (CL) modes utilizing a Zeiss Evo 50 scanning electron microscope (SEM). Mount surfaces were evaporatively coated with 10 nm of high purity

Au. Analyses were conducted using an ¹⁶O⁻ primary beam, projected onto the zircon grains with an elliptical spot (13 μ m x 16 μ m in size). The count rates of ten masses including background were sequentially measured over 6 scans with a single electron multiplier and a pulse counting system with deadtime of 23 ns. Off-line data processing was accomplished using customized in-house software. The SHRIMP analytical data are presented in Table 1, where the 1σ external errors of ²⁰⁶Pb/²³⁸U ratios reported in the data table incorporate a 1.0% error in calibrating the standard zircon (Stern and Amelin 2003). No fractionation correction was applied to the Pb-isotope data; common Pb correction used the Pb composition of the surface blank (Stern 1997). Isoplot v. 3.00 (Ludwig 2003) was used to generate concordia plots, cumulative probability plots, and calculate weighted means. The error ellipses on the concordia diagrams and the weighted mean errors are reported at 2σ.

Harry's River Rhyolite

The Harry's River volcanic sequence (Figs. 3G, 6A, B) occurs in the immediate footwall of the Hungry Mountain Thrust (HMT; Fig. 1). This thrust represents the backstop of the Annieopsquotch accretionary tract (Fig. 2) and is a fundamental structure between it and the igneous rocks of the adjacent Notre Dame arc (van der Velden et al. 2004; Lissenberg et al. 2005; Zagorevski et al. 2009; van Staal et al. 2009). The Harry's River volcanic sequence was previously included in the Ordovician Buchans Group (Thurlow and Swanson 1987). The physical, structural and geochemical characteristics and its association with numerous, co-magmatic amygdaloidal mafic sills led us to suspect it formed part of the Silurian Topsails Suite and related rocks in the Springdale Group (429-426 Ma: Whalen et al. 1987, 2006). The rhyolite is macroscopically undeformed (Fig. 6A, B); however, the associated volcaniclastic rocks exhibit a very low metamorphic grade cleavage defined by chlorite and sericite (Fig. 3G). This cleavage is parallel to the foliation in the amphibolite- to greenschist-facies mylonite that characterizes the HMT. The occurrence of the cleavage and its parallelism with the HMT suggests that this deformation was associated with this fault.

A sample of red rhyolite (RAX06A058; z9554) was collected along Harry's River, north of the town of Buchans. The volcanic rock forms part of a sequence of brick-red flowbanded rhyolite, beige columnar-jointed rhyolite and orange, beige and light green tuff. The red rhyolite is in part extrusive and brecciated (Fig. 6A), although portions of it appear to be intrusive and display mutual cross-cutting relationships with mafic sills. The rhyolite is compositionally heterogeneous and contains reddish-grey zones that appear to be intermediate in composition. These zones contain brickred felsic (Fig. 6B) and green mafic amoeboid inclusions, suggesting that the intermediate zones may represent hybridized mafic-felsic magma, similar to hybridized magmas common in the adjacent Topsails Igneous Suite (Whalen and Currie 1984; Whalen 1989).

The rhyolite sample yielded a

| m) (ppb) mPb (200) mPb (200) mPb (200) mPb (200) mPb (200) mPb (2011) mPb (2011) | Pb 2012 221 0.533 118 0.519 249 0.518 243 0.517 268 0.509 266 0.509 260 0.557 | 238U 238U | ; ; + | | | | - + | ²⁰ Pb + | Pb Dis |
|---|--|-----------------|----------------------|---|------------------|----------|------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|
| | [21 0.533 [18 0.519 [18 0.519 [19 0.518 [17 0.517 [12 0.509 [12 0.557 | | ²³⁸ U Co | eff ²⁰⁶⁺ Pb | qY ^{an} | D 862 | ²³⁸ U | ²⁰⁶ Pb ²⁰⁰ | Pb (% |
| 31 1 0.000056 0.00010 0.00110 0.11817 0.00123 32 0.0000010 0.000010 0.00003 0.017 0.11806 0.0013 35 0 0.000010 0.00003 0.00013 0.11716 0.0003 42 3 0.0000169 0.0000160 0.00013 0.0113 0.0003 35 0 0.0000190 0.0000160 0.00013 0.0113 0.00013 36 1 0.0000154 0.000015 0.00013 0.00013 0.00013 37 1 0.0000154 0.000013 0.00013 0.00013 0.00013 37 1 0.0000134 0.000013 0.00013 0.0013 0.0013 37 1 0.0000134 0.000013 0.00013 0.00013 0.00013 37 1 0.000013 0.00011 0.00023 0.11764 0.0003 38 4 0.000134 0.00013 0.00013 0.00013 0.0014 | [2] 0.553 [18 0.519 [49 0.518 [60] 0.518 [61] 0.511 [62] 0.534 [63] 0.534 [64] 0.525 [96] 0.509 [12] 0.557 | | | | | | | | : |
| 20 2 0.000030 0.0001 0.0001 0.0011 0.11543 0.00033 35 0 0.000010 0.000010 0.00002 0.11543 0.00033 35 0 0.000132 0.000033 0.000033 0.00033 0.000133 0.00033 0.000133 </td <td>118 0.519 449 0.518 443 0.517 568 0.534 906 0.509 112 0.557</td> <td>0.012 0.0689</td> <td>0.0008 0.5</td> <td>0.0561</td> <td>0.0011</td> <td>429</td> <td>n r</td> <td>457</td> <td>43 70 70</td> | 118 0.519 449 0.518 443 0.517 568 0.534 906 0.509 112 0.557 | 0.012 0.0689 | 0.0008 0.5 | 0.0561 | 0.0011 | 429 | n r | 457 | 43 70 70 |
| 42 5 0.000083 0.00003 0.00033 | 449 0.518 143 0.517 168 0.534 194 0.525 186 0.509 112 0.557 | 0.014 0.064 | C.U 6000.U | 000000000000000000000000000000000000000 | 0.0015 | 074 | n ı | 412 | C- 70 |
| 42 2 0.000049 0.00005 0.00014 0.11587 0.00054 42 3 0.000016 0.00014 0.1787 0.00054 42 3 0.0000134 0.000056 0.00014 0.1787 0.00054 31 1 0.0000134 0.000056 0.00074 0.01187 0.00054 35 1 0.0000134 0.000056 0.00071 0.11751 0.00054 35 1 0.000105 0.00075 0.00071 0.00054 0.00054 35 1 0.0001034 0.000110 0.00055 0.00011 0.00055 35 1 0.000128 0.000111 0.00055 0.00011 0.00055 37 4 0.000128 0.000111 0.00055 0.00055 0.00055 37 4 0.000128 0.000111 0.00055 0.00055 0.00055 37 4 0.000128 0.000111 0.00055 0.01057 0.00055 36 | 4.5 0.51/ 168 0.534 0.525 0.509 112 0.557 | COULU /10.0 | 0.0000 | 0100 000 | 0.0016 | 124 | Ωι | 402 | 00 |
| 35 0 0.000010 0.00002 0.1648 0.0008 18 3 0.000149 0.000013 0.00023 0.1587 0.00013 21 1 0.0000140 0.000066 0.00013 0.1516 0.00013 35 0.000190 0.000015 0.00015 0.00013 0.00013 0.00013 36 1 0.0000140 0.000066 0.00017 0.11716 0.00067 37 4 0.000113 0.000133 0.00011 0.00073 0.01035 37 4 0.000124 0.0000133 0.00023 0.01013 0.00067 37 4 0.000124 0.000013 0.00023 0.01013 0.00067 37 4 0.000124 0.000013 0.00023 0.01013 0.00067 37 4 0.000123 0.000114 0.00023 0.00013 0.0013 37 4 0.000123 0.000140 0.00014 0.01173 0.00067 38 | 068 0.534 094 0.525 086 0.509 112 0.557 | 1200'0 /10'0 | 0.0008 0.4 | 1000.0 404 | /100.0 | 524 | n i | 41/ | 1- 20 |
| 42 0 0.000010 0.00012 0.1771 0.0004 21 1 0.000182 0.000182 0.00018 0.00134 0.00018 0.00134 0.00044 21 1 0.000109 0.000165 0.00013 0.00134 0.00043 23 0.000109 0.000165 0.00017 0.1756 0.0013 33 1 0.000119 0.000165 0.00076 0.1714 0.00041 33 1 0.000119 0.000165 0.00077 0.1753 0.0013 33 2 0.000124 0.000134 0.000134 0.00075 0.0014 34 4 0.000124 0.000141 0.000249 0.00014 0.00075 35 10 0.000143 0.000143 0.000143 0.000249 0.0014 36 3 0.000111 0.000254 0.00143 0.000254 0.0014 37 0.000123 0.000140 0.000249 0.01145 0.00024 0.0114 <td< td=""><td>094 0.525 086 0.509 112 0.557</td><td>0.011 0.0681</td><td>0.0009 0.7</td><td>12 0.0569</td><td>0.0008</td><td>425</td><td>ŋ</td><td>48/</td><td>31 12</td></td<> | 094 0.525 086 0.509 112 0.557 | 0.011 0.0681 | 0.0009 0.7 | 12 0.0569 | 0.0008 | 425 | ŋ | 48/ | 31 12 |
| 42 3 0.00082 0.000163 0.000163 0.00084 0.00085 0.00073 0.00073 0.00073 0.00085 0.0008 |)86 0.509 112 0.557 | 0.010 0.0677 | 0.0008 0.7 | 19 0.0563 | 0.0007 | 422 | S | 463 | 29 8 |
| 18 3 0.000169 0.000182 0.0003 | 112 0.557 | 0.015 0.0678 | 0.0009 0.5 | 50 0.0545 | 0.0013 | 423 | S | 393 | 55 -7 |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | 0.045 0.0670 | 0.0011 0.3 | 0.0603 | 0.0047 | 418 | 1 | 613 | 177 31 |
| 3 0.000134 0.000066 0.0007 0.1908 0.000134 3 1 0.0000119 0.000066 0.0007 0.11802 0.000134 3 1 0.0000119 0.000054 0.0007 0.11802 0.00067 3 1 0.0000169 0.000052 0.00023 0.01193 0.00057 3 0.000128 0.000052 0.00027 0.11847 0.00067 3 0.000128 0.000071 0.00027 0.11847 0.00067 3 0.000118 0.000071 0.00027 0.11847 0.00071 3 0.000118 0.000076 0.00011 0.17735 0.00071 3 0.000119 0.000211 0.00027 0.11847 0.00071 3 0.000111 0.000211 0.00071 0.01773 0.00071 3 0.0000111 0.00071 0.00071 0.01773 0.00071 3 | 0536 | 0.015 0.0684 | 0 0000 0 5 | 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0.0013 | 426 | ſ | 485 | 53 12 |
| 4 0.0000109 0.00003 0.0019 0.0013 0.01753 0.00103 35 1 0.0000169 0.00005 0.00119 0.00103 0.01724 0.0005 35 1 0.0000169 0.000056 0.00011 0.0169 0.0005 35 1 0.0000153 0.000054 0.00013 0.0169 0.0005 36 4 0.000128 0.000113 0.00027 0.1154 0.0005 37 3 0.000124 0.00015 0.00055 0.0005 0.00065 37 0.000133 0.0000111 0.00025 0.00014 0.1755 0.00014 37 0.000056 0.00011 0.00053 0.00023 0.00033 0.00054 38 0.000113 0.000054 0.000153 0.00023 0.00054 0.0014 39 3 0.000113 0.000054 0.00144 0.00153 0.00054 4 of scans = 6; Error in avpls/avl Calibration = 1.0% 0.1753 0.1753 <t< td=""><td>054 0517</td><td>0.019 0.0674</td><td>0 0008 0.4</td><td>124 0.0557</td><td>0.0019</td><td>420</td><td>ď</td><td>440</td><td>4</td></t<> | 054 0517 | 0.019 0.0674 | 0 0008 0.4 | 124 0.0557 | 0.0019 | 420 | ď | 440 | 4 |
| 35 1 0.000039 0.00004 0.0001 0.00021 0.1753 0.0003 0.0013 | 0.617 | 0.013 0.0695 | 0 0000 0 20 | 0.0547 | 0.0011 | 1071 |) u | 300 | 16 |
| 33 4 0.000019 0.0000065 0.00017 0.11753 0.00053 35 4 0.000119 0.000065 0.00011 0.11753 0.00053 35 4 0.000128 0.000055 0.00011 0.11753 0.00053 35 4 0.000123 0.000113 0.00022 0.01649 0.00063 37 4 0.000123 0.000113 0.00021 0.11847 0.00053 37 4 0.000113 0.000113 0.00011 0.01754 0.00053 37 4 0.000113 0.000113 0.00011 0.01754 0.00053 37 2 0.000013 0.000113 0.000134 0.11754 0.00053 38 2 0.0000553 0.000053 0.00053 0.00053 0.00054 39 0.000113 0.0001349 0.00140 0.00554 0.00153 0.00153 30 0.0001349 0.0001349 0.00153 0.11763 0.01754 0.01754 | V.T 0.730 | 10000 0100 0100 | CO0000 | 11000 100 | 0.0011 | 174 | J L | 074 | - 0+ |
| 35 4 0.000119 0.000015 0.00025 0.00027 0.11/25 0.00018 35 1 0.0000165 0.00007 0.1574 0.0005 32 2 0.0000128 0.000133 0.00025 0.0007 0.1574 0.0005 37 5 0.000128 0.000111 0.00025 0.0007 0.1574 0.0005 37 5 0.000123 0.000071 0.00052 0.0007 0.1847 0.0005 37 5 0.000111 0.000752 0.0007 0.1755 0.0007 38 0.000113 0.000076 0.00011 0.00023 0.0007 39 3 0.000113 0.000053 0.0014 0.1755 0.0007 39 3 0.000113 0.00023 0.0014 0.0175 0.0007 30 0.000113 0.00024 0.0014 0.1755 0.0014 4 6 8 0.000141 0.1764 0.0017 4 | 700 / 00 | 1000 01000 | 0.0009 0.4 | +0CU.U 064 | /100.0 | 420 | n ı | 409 | 00 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 0.528 | 0.024 0.0689 | 0.0009 0.3 | 9660.0 666 | 0.0025 | 430 | ŋ | 4.56 | 1 56 |
| 30 4 0.000169 0.000082 0.00029 0.1510 0.0007 25 2 0.000128 0.000110 0.1653 0.0008 37 3 0.000128 0.000110 0.0022 0.1649 0.0006 37 4 0.000128 0.000110 0.0022 0.1649 0.0061 37 4 0.000113 0.000211 0.0025 0.16019 0.0061 37 4 0.000110 0.000056 0.00011 0.1755 0.00011 38 2 0.000113 0.000111 0.00056 0.00011 0.1755 0.00075 37 0.000113 0.000111 0.00053 0.00140 0.0075 0.0175 0.0017 37 0.000055 0.000054 0.00111 0.0059 0.0175 0.0017 37 0.000054 0.00111 0.00059 0.01637 0.1571 0.0015 4 7 0.0000540 0.00117 0.0175 0.0175 0.01571 | 0.515 0.515 | 0.014 0.0686 | 0.0008 0.5 | 20 0.0544 | 0.0013 | 428 | ъ | 387 | 53 -10 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 0.500 | 0.023 0.0682 | 0.0008 0.3 | 0.0532 | 0.0023 | 426 | ŝ | 337 | 102 -26 |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 00 0521 | 0.020 0.0675 | 0 0008 0.4 | 08 0.0560 | 0,000 | 421 | ď | 451 | 87 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 170.0 | CIDD'D 070'D | | | 070000 | 171 | ı د | 101 | 70 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 202.0 080 | 0.02.5 0.06/6 | 0.0008 0.5 | 9660.0 600 | 0.0025 | 421 | ŋ | 508 | - 14 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 0.510 | 0.022 0.0682 | 0.0008 0.3 | 83 0.0542 | 0.0021 | 425 | ŝ | 380 | 91 -11 |
| 37 4 0.000123 0.000211 0.00085 0.11559 0.00064 25 10 0.000191 0.000079 0.00011 0.1735 0.0005 28 2 0.000113 0.000056 0.000059 0.0114 0.1754 0.0013 36 3 0.000113 0.000056 0.000050 0.00114 0.1754 0.0112 32 2 0.000113 0.000053 0.00020 0.0114 0.1754 0.0117 30 0.000113 0.000056 0.000054 0.00079 0.01759 0.0074 4 0.000254 0.000117 0.000559 0.011499 0.11758 0.00157 13 7 0.000294 0.000117 0.00557 0.1128 0.00158 13 7 0.0002945 0.000177 0.00652 0.01128 0.00158 112 4 0.000294 0.001177 0.01657 0.1158 0.01258 12 0.000253 0.000253 0.000253 | 0.491 0.491 | 0.015 0.0671 | 0.0009 0.5 | 645 0.0531 | 0.0014 | 419 | ъ | 331 | 59 -26 |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 0534 | 0.01.2 0.06.80 | 0.0008 | 71 0.0562 | 0.0011 | 130 | u | 150 | 13 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | 00000 71000 | r'n 00000 | 700000 1/0 | 1100.0 | 004 | יר | 107 | f i |
| 39 3 0.000111 0.00054 0.00013 0.00013 0.00013 0.00013 0.00013 0.00013 0.00013 0.00013 0.00013 0.00013 0.00013 0.00013 0.00013 0.00013 0.00013 0.00013 0.00013 0.00013 0.00014 0.1753 0.0013 0.00013 0.00014 0.1754 0.0113 0.00014 0.01753 0.00013 0.00014 0.01753 0.00014 0.01753 0.00015 0.00015 0.00015 0.00016 0.00015 0.00016 0.00015 0.00016 0.00015 0.00015 0.00015 0.00015 0.00015 0.00015 0.00015 0.00015 0.00015 0.00015 0.00015 0.00015 0.00015 0.00015 0.0015 <td>[46 0.48/</td> <td>0.05/0.0</td> <td>0.0008 0.2</td> <td>83 0.0220</td> <td>0.0036</td> <td>420</td> <td>Q</td> <td>511</td> <td>cc- tol</td> | [46 0.48/ | 0.05/0.0 | 0.0008 0.2 | 83 0.0220 | 0.0036 | 420 | Q | 511 | cc- tol |
| 28 2 0.000079 0.00014 0.1764 0.0112 33 2 0.000113 0.000013 0.00023 0.00011 0.1773 0.00013 34 of scans = 6; Error in ^{3or} Pb/ ^{xw} U calibration = 1.0% 0.1769 0.00024 16 7 0.000133 0.000114 0.1753 0.00075 17 0.000553 0.000161 0.00054 0.00175 0.0175 17 0.0000249 0.000111 0.0055 0.00075 0.0175 19 4 0.0001399 0.001177 0.00692 0.01757 0.00126 19 7 0.0000399 0.00177 0.00592 0.01758 0.01758 10 7 0.0001303 0.00177 0.01637 0.1128 0.0126 10 6 8 0.001409 0.000139 0.010237 0.01438 0.0016 110 0.001229 0.0001346 0.022259 0.1126 0.0144 111 0.0001229 0.0003346 0.0113 | 0.539 | 0.013 0.0692 | 0.0008 0.5 | 62 0.0564 | 0.0012 | 432 | Ś | 469 | 46 8 |
| 32 2 0.000113 0.000063 0.00011 0.1753 0.00073 $\#$ of scans = 6; Error in ^{3m} Pb/ ^{3m} U calibration = 1.0% $\#$ of scans = 6; Error in ^{3m} Pb/ ^{3m} U calibration = 1.0% 0.00076 0.000161 0.1759 0.00076 $= 21, 679379E - 5491148N$ 0.000161 0.00153 0.10155 0.1718 0.0076 $= 21, 679379E - 5491148N$ 0.000171 0.00551 0.001753 0.1718 0.0075 $= 7$ 0.000566 0.000171 0.00579 0.1128 0.0157 $= 7$ 0.000399 0.00177 0.00692 0.1157 0.0076 $= 7$ 0.000345 0.000177 0.00692 0.1178 0.0173 $= 6$ 0.001233 0.000450 0.001637 0.1128 0.00176 $= 6$ 0.001733 0.000753 0.01137 0.01128 0.00163 $= 7$ 0.000533 0.000753 0.02133 0.00163 0.00163 $= 7$ 0.000533 0.000754 0.01132 0.1128 0.01164 $= 7$ | 112 0.539 | 0.025 0.0688 | 0.0008 0.3 | 0.0569 | 0.0025 | 429 | ŝ | 487 | 98 11 |
| 2.2 2 0.000113 0.00003 0.00011 0.1759 0.00013 3.6 3 0.000113 0.000013 0.00003 0.00014 0.00014 $\#$ of scans = 6; Error in ³⁰⁰ Pb/ ³⁸ U calibration = 1.0% $=$ | NCO 0 0 1 1 1 | 00000 0000 | 0.0000 0.2 | 100 0.0207 | 0.000 | 200 |) u | 201 | 00 |
| 30 0.00013 0.00003 0.00013 0.00013 0.00014 0.00014 0.00014 0.00014 0.000110 0.0001100 0.0001100 | 710.0.200 | 0.014 0.000/ | 2.0 00000 | 104 0.0333 | 0.0012 | 420 | n r | 207 | 0 / 0 1 / 0 |
| # of scars = 6; Error in ³⁰⁰ Pb/ ³⁸ U calibration = 1.0% e 21, 679379E - 5491148N 16 7 0.000653 0.000161 0.00959 0.2003 0.0075 13 7 0.000655 0.000294 0.01153 0.1128 0.015 13 4 0.000244 0.000111 0.0051 0.1128 0.015 12 4 0.000399 0.000177 0.00692 0.11552 0.00205 12 4 0.000349 0.000177 0.00692 0.1158 0.0178 12 6 0.0010044 0.000339 0.01174 0.0205 13 0.000453 0.000450 0.01764 0.1128 0.0105 13 0.0001733 0.000450 0.02442 0.1124 0.0205 14 0.0001733 0.000450 0.03004 0.11128 0.00205 15 0.0001733 0.000450 0.03004 0.11128 0.00205 16 11 0.000533 0.0000554 0.02137 0.1128 0.0016 20 110 0.000533 0.0000554 0.02133 0.01148 0.0016 21 0.001729 0.000554 0.02133 0.01455 0.01465 23 0.0001929 0.000548 0.01155 0.01455 0.01465 24 14 0.0007190 0.000398 0.01357 0.11482 0.0165 24 14 0.000710 0.000398 0.01357 0.1482 0.0165 24 14 0.000710 0.000398 0.01357 0.1482 0.0165 24 14 0.000710 0.000398 0.01357 0.1482 0.0165 24 14 0.000718 0.000398 0.01357 0.1482 0.0165 24 14 0.000718 0.000398 0.01357 0.1482 0.0165 24 14 0.000718 0.000398 0.01357 0.1482 0.0165 24 12 0.000783 0.000748 0.01357 0.1482 0.0165 24 12 0.000783 0.000748 0.01357 0.1482 0.0165 24 14 0.000718 0.000398 0.01357 0.1482 0.0165 24 15 0.000788 0.000748 0.01357 0.1482 0.0165 24 15 0.000788 0.000748 0.01357 0.1482 0.0165 24 15 0.000788 0.000748 0.01357 0.01488 0.0165 25 0.01456 0.000758 0.000758 0.000751 0.04858 0.01111 0.00254 26 21, 620015E - 5450810N | 1100 110 | 00000 0100 | 11000 | 11000 01 | CT00'0 | 0074 | - | inc | 11- 00 |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | | | | | | | | |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 0.507 0.507 | 0.027 0.0672 | 0.0009 0.3 | 68 0.0546 | 0.0027 | 420 | Ś | 397 | 5 |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 117 0.533 | 0.040 0.0672 | 0.0010 0.3 | 0.0576 | 0.0041 | 419 | 9 | 513 | 164 18 |
| 6 5 0.000865 0.000305 0.001637 0.1128 0.0153 12 4 0.000399 0.000177 0.00692 0.11552 0.0013 7 0 0.000144 0.000339 0.01637 0.11552 0.0013 6 0 0.001233 0.000445 0.00133 0.01138 0.0113 6 9 0.001233 0.000450 0.02137 0.1124 0.0016 9 11 0.001233 0.000450 0.02442 0.1114 0.0011 9 11 0.000130 0.000130 0.001300 0.0011 0.0011 20 11 0.000130 0.000136 0.01332 0.00156 0.0014 20 11 0.0001346 0.01357 0.1156 0.0163 0.0163 16 12 0.000253 0.000234 0.01356 0.0146 0.01356 0.0146 16 12 0.000263 0.000236 0.01357 0.1482 0.0164 <td< td=""><td>0.539</td><td>0.026 0.0674</td><td>0.0009 0.3</td><td>86 0.0580</td><td>0.0026</td><td>420</td><td>ŝ</td><td>531</td><td>102 20</td></td<> | 0.539 | 0.026 0.0674 | 0.0009 0.3 | 86 0.0580 | 0.0026 | 420 | ŝ | 531 | 102 20 |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 157 0.50A | 0.050 0.0675 | 0,0000 | 0000 010 | 0.0054 | 107 | 2 | 002 | 105 40 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | C10010 7C010 | 2.0 00000 0 00000 | 070000 011 | 10000 | 1771 | 5 1 | 101 | |
| 9 7 0.000045 0.000393 0.01637 0.1158 0.0018 7 6 0.001004 0.000349 0.0174 0.1488 0.0068 6 8 0.001409 0.000450 0.02259 0.1124 0.0020 6 9 0.001733 0.000450 0.03259 0.1124 0.0201 6 9 0.001733 0.0007253 0.02259 0.1136 0.001 6 9 0.001729 0.000754 0.01132 0.0148 0.00166 7 11 0.000554 0.001155 0.11555 0.1548 0.0163 7 11 0.0007897 0.000746 0.01155 0.0155 0.01461 7 11 0.0007897 0.000746 0.01357 0.01462 0.00164 7 11 0.0007897 0.000744 0.03357 0.01465 0.00165 7 11 0.0007893 0.000744 0.03357 0.01482 0.0164 6 | 9.20 0.584 | 0.051 0.06/1 | 0.0009 0.3 | 0.0632 | 0.0051 | 418 | ŋ | c1/ | 109 41 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 178 0.548 | 0.064 0.0677 | 0.0010 0.2 | 47 0.0587 | 0.0067 | 422 | 9 | 557 | 270 24 |
| 6 6 0.0001233 0.000435 0.00137 0.01121 0.00253 6 8 0.001409 0.000450 0.02442 0.1118 0.00191 6 9 0.001409 0.000450 0.02259 0.1118 0.00116 9 11 0.000553 0.000754 0.01118 0.00116 0 6 0.001229 0.000754 0.01155 0.1118 0.0016 11 0.000553 0.000754 0.011555 0.11566 0.00156 7 11 0.001729 0.000544 0.0213 0.01259 0.00146 7 11 0.001782 0.0002469 0.001556 0.01555 0.01576 0.01585 7 11 0.001746 0.03155 0.1482 0.0164 7 11 0.001746 0.03155 0.1482 0.0167 7 11 0.001749 0.001259 0.01444 0.02755 0.01445 7 11 0.001299 0.0 | 0.600 | 0.054 0.0667 | 0 0010 0 2 | 80 0.0653 | 0.0057 | 416 | 9 | 782 | 196 46 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | | 0.000 | 000 00011 | 0.0000 | | 0 | | |
| 6 8 0.001409 0.000336 0.02442 0.1124 0.0201 6 9 0.001733 0.000450 0.03004 0.1118 0.0191 20 11 0.000533 0.000756 0.01322 0.1118 0.001 6 6 0.001729 0.000554 0.0133 0.1022 0.00156 16 11 0.000533 0.000346 0.01555 0.1633 0.0143 7 11 0.001792 0.001146 0.01555 0.1555 0.0154 0.0155 7 11 0.001792 0.001146 0.03155 0.1482 0.0164 7 11 0.001792 0.000144 0.01357 0.1482 0.0164 7 11 0.001819 0.000539 0.001352 0.1482 0.0164 7 11 0.001792 0.000144 0.02525 0.0146 0.00167 7 18 0.0002803 0.000711 0.00252 0.01145 0.00167 | C/C.0 C05 | 0.00/ | 7'N CTNN'N | +100.0 00. | 0.000 | 444 | 0 | 700 | CC 105 |
| 6 9 0.001733 0.000450 0.03004 0.1118 0.0191 20 11 0.001229 0.000176 0.1136 0.0116 20 11 0.0001229 0.000154 0.1132 0.1366 0.016 6 6 0.01229 0.000554 0.01132 0.1378 0.006 16 12 0.000563 0.000544 0.01555 0.1548 0.0164 7 11 0.001729 0.000546 0.011555 0.1548 0.0136 7 11 0.001783 0.000249 0.01357 0.01458 0.0164 7 11 0.001793 0.000249 0.01357 0.14482 0.0164 7 11 0.001792 0.000144 0.03255 0.01487 0.00167 6 8 0.001457 0.000151 0.01252 0.01487 0.0167 7 11 0.00149 0.03255 0.11482 0.0167 7 114 0.00234 | 201 0.496 | 0.064 0.0670 | 0.0010 0.2 | 36 0.0536 | 0.0068 | 418 | 9 | 355 | 316 -17 |
| 9 10 0.001303 0.000253 0.02259 0.1366 0.0116 20 11 0.000653 0.000176 0.01132 0.1878 0.0086 6 6 0.001229 0.000554 0.0213 0.1022 0.0016 16 12 0.000563 0.000756 0.01132 0.1878 0.0014 16 12 0.000543 0.000546 0.01555 0.1623 0.0154 17 10 0.001792 0.000548 0.01555 0.01548 0.0156 18 12 0.0001792 0.000548 0.01357 0.1565 0.0164 5 9 0.001299 0.000548 0.01357 0.01458 0.00164 6 11 0.001299 0.000548 0.01357 0.1482 0.0164 7 11 0.001291 0.000131 0.01255 0.0147 0.00253 6 8 0.00141 0.021525 0.0148 0.0157 0.0164 7 | 191 0.448 | 0.067 0.0654 | 0.0011 0.2 | 31 0.0497 | 0.0073 | 408 | 9 | 182 | 310 -124 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 116 0.467 | 0.041 0.0683 | 0,0000 | 76 0.0406 | 0.0042 | 907 | 9 | 175 | 14/ |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | | 710 00000 0 | 0/10'0 0/ | 71-00-0 | 04 | | 2/1 | |
| 6 6 0.001229 0.00554 0.0215 0.1022 0.0215 18 3 0.000563 0.000346 0.01555 0.1653 0.0141 16 11 0.001792 0.001146 0.01555 0.1555 0.01548 0.01555 18 12 0.0007792 0.001146 0.01555 0.01548 0.01548 7 11 0.001792 0.001146 0.01357 0.1565 0.0164 7 11 0.001792 0.000248 0.01357 0.1482 0.0164 7 11 0.001819 0.000539 0.03152 0.1482 0.0164 6 8 0.00144 0.02525 0.1176 0.00167 7 18 0.000201 0.01458 0.01571 0.00157 7 18 0.002803 0.00721 0.04858 0.13111 0.0284 6 21, 629015E - 5450810N 0.00558 0.01559 0.01691 0.01691 <td>010.0 080</td> <td>0.029 0.06//</td> <td>0.0008 0.3</td> <td>0400.0 020</td> <td>0.0029</td> <td>774</td> <td>0</td> <td>CKC</td> <td>0- 071</td> | 010.0 080 | 0.029 0.06// | 0.0008 0.3 | 0400.0 020 | 0.0029 | 774 | 0 | CKC | 0- 071 |
| 8 3 0.000563 0.000346 0.11555 0.11548 0.0136 7 112 0.0007897 0.0001346 0.013555 0.11548 0.0136 7 111 0.000783 0.0000248 0.013575 0.01448 0.0136 7 111 0.000783 0.0000249 0.01357 0.01475 0.00455 7 111 0.001789 0.000549 0.003155 0.11482 0.0164 7 111 0.001819 0.000549 0.03152 0.11482 0.0167 7 111 0.001819 0.000519 0.001239 0.01176 0.00167 7 114 0.001710 0.000151 0.01223 0.1176 0.01677 7 18 0.000710 0.000151 0.01223 0.01176 0.00277 7 18 0.000710 0.000721 0.004588 0.01371 0.00771 7 18 0.000730 0.000721 0.004588 0.013771 0.002777 <td>215 0.519</td> <td>0.083 0.0667</td> <td>0.0011 0.2</td> <td>22 0.0565</td> <td>0.0089</td> <td>416</td> <td>9</td> <td>471</td> <td>391 11</td> | 215 0.519 | 0.083 0.0667 | 0.0011 0.2 | 22 0.0565 | 0.0089 | 416 | 9 | 471 | 391 11 |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 141 0.580 | 0.048 0.0672 | 0.0011 0.3 | 14 0.0626 | 0.0050 | 410 | 1 | 909 | 18.0 30 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | | 11000 | | ×=00.0 | | . ` | | |
| 7 11 0.001792 0.001146 0.03105 0.0785 0.0435 18 12 0.000783 0.000248 0.01357 0.1505 0.0104 7 11 0.001819 0.000509 0.03344 0.0719 0.0202 7 11 0.001457 0.000414 0.03152 0.1176 0.0167 24 14 0.000710 0.000151 0.0123 0.1771 0.0072 7 18 0.002803 0.000721 0.04858 0.1311 0.0284 $\epsilon 21, 629015E - 5450810N$ | CIC.U 0CI | 6000.0 CCU.0 | 0.000 0.2 | 900U 400 | 00000 | 41/ | 0 | 448 | 240 0 |
| 18 12 0.000783 0.000248 0.01357 0.1505 0.0104 5 9 0.001929 0.000509 0.03344 0.0719 0.0202 7 11 0.001819 0.000338 0.03152 0.1442 0.0164 6 8 0.01157 0.000414 0.02525 0.11716 0.0167 7 18 0.000710 0.000121 0.014858 0.01171 0.00284 7 18 0.002803 0.000721 0.04858 0.13111 0.0284 6<21, 629015E - 5450810N | 135 0.492 | 0.168 0.0658 | 0.0016 0.1 | 96 0.0543 | 0.0183 | 411 | 10 | 382 | 017 -7 |
| 10 12 0.000029 0.000029 0.000029 0.000129 0.000216 0.00129 0.000216 0.00216 0.00216 0.00216 0.00216 0.00216 0.00216 0.00216 0.00216 0.00216 0.00216 0.00216 0.00216 0.00216 0.00216 0.00216 0.00216 0.00216 0.00167 0.0028 0.0123 0.1177 0.0028 0.0028 0.0028 0.0028 0.0028 0.0028 0.0028 0.0028 0.0028 0.0028 0.0028 0.0028 0.0028 0.0028 0.0028 0.0028 0.0028 0.0028 0.0028 <th< td=""><td>0.500</td><td>0.038 0.0660</td><td>0 0000 0 2</td><td>00 0.0551</td><td>0.00.00</td><td>118</td><td>Ľ</td><td>116</td><td>171</td></th<> | 0.500 | 0.038 0.0660 | 0 0000 0 2 | 00 0.0551 | 0.00.00 | 118 | Ľ | 116 | 171 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | | | | 00000 | | n t | 000 | |
| 7 11 0.001819 0.000398 0.03152 0.1482 0.0164 6 8 0.001457 0.000414 0.02525 0.1176 0.0167 7 18 0.000710 0.000151 0.0123 0.1771 0.0072 7 18 0.00293 0.000721 0.04858 0.1311 0.028 e 21, 629015E - 5450810N | 202 0.460 | 0.07/ 0.0660 | 0.0011 0.2 | CUCU.U 17: | 0.0000 | 412 | _ | . 077 | -9/ |
| 6 8 0.001457 0.000414 0.02525 0.1176 0.0167 24 14 0.000710 0.000151 0.0123 0.1771 0.0072 7 18 0.002803 0.000721 0.04858 0.1311 0.0284 6 21, 629015E 5450810N 0.000458 0.0364 0.0004 | 164 0.535 | 0.066 0.0667 | 0.0013 0.2 | 273 0.0582 | 0.0070 | 416 | ~ | 536 | 286 22 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 177 U 560 | 0.074 | 0.0010 0.0 | 0.0616 | 0.0078 | 419 | 1 | VED. | 92 200 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | | 7100.0 | 010000 600 | 01000 | 110 | - | | |
| 7 18 0.002803 0.000721 0.04858 0.1311 0.0284 e 21, 629015E - 5450810N 14 0.01456 0.000458 0.07524 0.1081 0.0100 | 0.519 | 0.025 0.0677 | 0.0008 0.3 | 571 0.0556 | 0.0025 | 422 | D. | 438 | 104 3 |
| e 21, 629015E - 5450810N 14 | 284 0.427 | 0.107 0.0652 | 0.0013 0.2 | 00 0.0475 | 0.0118 | 407 | 8 | . 20 | 728 -435 |
| e 21, 629015E - 5450810N 14 - 21 - 0.001456 - 0.000458 - 0.02534 - 0.0400 | | | | | | | 0 | | |
| e ZI, 629UIDE - 545U8IUN 16 - 21 - 0001166 - 0000469 - 005524 - 01000 | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 21 D.D.14E6 D.D.D.4E9 D.D.524 D.1081 D.010D | | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 465 | 0.071 0.0664 | 0.0011 0.2 | 0.0508 | 0.0076 | 415 | 9 | 231 | 312 -79 |
| | | 10000 1/00 | 11000 | | 010000 | <u>-</u> | | 101 | |
| 11 19 0.001928 0.000297 0.03341 0.1214 0.0123 | 0.468 | 0.051 0.0665 | 0.0008 0.2 | 37 0.0511 | 0.0055 | 415 | D. | 243 | 243 -70 |
| 15 8 0.000640 0.000224 0.0111 0.1407 0.0007 | 0524 | 0.036 0.0665 | 0.0010 0.3 | 35 0.0572 | 0.0038 | 415 | 9 | 498 | 162 16 |
| | | | | 11000 00 | 0.000 | | | 0.01 | |
| 18 19 0.001186 0.000199 0.02056 0.1501 UUUU | 016.0 00] | 0.039 0.0665 | 0.0012 0.0 | / 52 0.0.0 25 | 0.0040 | 415 | 1. | 438 | c 89] |
| 8 11 0.001593 0.000441 0.02762 0.1223 0.0174 | 174 0.519 | 0.071 0.0669 | 0.0010 0.2 | 233 0.0563 | 0.0075 | 417 | 9 | 463 | 327 9 |
| | | | | | 220000 | | | | |
| 13 14 0.001294 0.000415 0.02242 0.1425 0.0175 | 173 0.522 | 0.063 0.0671 | 0.0011 0.2 | cocu 0.0562 | 0.0066 | 419 | 9 | 471 | 282 11 |

Geoscience Canada

Volume 41

2014

<u>149</u>

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Īv | pparent A | ges (Ma) | | |
|---------------|------------|---------------|-------|----------|-------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|--------|------------------------|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------|-------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------|
| Spot name | D | \mathbf{Th} | Th | Pb^* | dP b | 204 Pb | $\pm \frac{204}{\text{Pb}}$ | | 94*802 | $\pm \frac{208 Pb}{1}$ | dT *™ | + ²⁰⁷ Pb | ^{206*} Pb | \pm^{206} Pb | Corr | ^{207*} Pb | + ²⁰⁷ Pb | ²⁰⁶ Pb ± | ²⁰⁶ Pb | ad | + ²⁰⁷ Pb | Disc. |
| | (mdd) | (mdd) | n | (mdd) | (qdd) | d ¶ ³⁰² | 206 Pb | $f(206)^{204}$ | 206*Pb | 206 Pb | Ω_{ssz} | Ω^{22} | U ⁸²² | Ω_{872} | Coeff | 206* Pb | 206 Pb | 738 U | Ω^{238} | ²⁰⁶ Pb | ²⁰⁶ Pb | (%) |
| RAX05-909 |) (z8776) | UTM N | IAD83 | zone 21, | , 629015 | E - 5450810 | N (Continued) | (| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8776-119.1 | 390 | 184 | 0.489 | 27 | 25 | 0.001105 | 0.000178 | 0.01914 | 0.1385 | 0.0100 | 0.480 | 0.030 | 0.0673 | 0.0008 | 0.307 | 0.0518 | 0.0031 | 420 | ŝ | 275 | 141 | -52.6 |
| 8776-118.1 | 154 | 46 | 0.312 | 10 | 23 | 0.002468 | 0.000558 | 0.04277 | 0.1069 | 0.0237 | 0.504 | 0.085 | 0.0673 | 0.0012 | 0.225 | 0.0543 | 0.0090 | 420 | ~ | 384 | 384 | -9.5 |
| 8776-28.1 | 330 | 149 | 0.467 | 23 | 18 | 0.000931 | 0.000198 | 0.01614 | 0.1414 | 0.0091 | 0.491 | 0.035 | 0.0674 | 0.0008 | 0.281 | 0.0529 | 0.0037 | 420 | ŝ | 325 | 167 | -29.2 |
| 8776-7.1 | 155 | 51 | 0.342 | 10 | 2 | 0.000761 | 0.000190 | 0.01318 | 0.0981 | 0.0082 | 0.545 | 0.031 | 0.0677 | 0.0008 | 0.324 | 0.0583 | 0.0032 | 422 | 5 | 542 | 125 | 22.0 |
| 8776-115.1 | 274 | 116 | 0.435 | 19 | 15 | 0.000921 | 0.000188 | 0.01596 | 0.1428 | 0.0090 | 0.559 | 0.031 | 0.0677 | 0.0008 | 0.335 | 0.0599 | 0.0031 | 422 | ŝ | 009 | 118 | 29.6 |
| 8776-26.1 | 316 | 116 | 0.380 | 21 | 20 | 0.001094 | 0.000168 | 0.01896 | 0.1049 | 0.0070 | 0.482 | 0.028 | 0.0678 | 0.0009 | 0.343 | 0.0516 | 0.0028 | 423 | 5 | 269 | 129 | -57.1 |
| 8776-45.1 | 171 | 56 | 0.340 | 12 | 18 | 0.001793 | 0.000279 | 0.03108 | 0.1026 | 0.0115 | 0.503 | 0.046 | 0.0678 | 0.0010 | 0.283 | 0.0539 | 0.0048 | 423 | 9 | 365 | 213 | -15.9 |
| 8776-30.1 | 565 | 411 | 0.752 | 43 | 16 | 0.000477 | 0.000082 | 0.00827 | 0.2353 | 0.0044 | 0.527 | 0.020 | 0.0678 | 0.0007 | 0.398 | 0.0563 | 0.0019 | 423 | 4 | 465 | 78 | 9.1 |
| 8776-62.1 | 231 | 95 | 0.427 | 16 | 18 | 0.001319 | 0.000518 | 0.02287 | 0.1288 | 0.0199 | 0.527 | 0.081 | 0.0679 | 0.0011 | 0.226 | 0.0563 | 0.0085 | 424 | 1 | 465 | 375 | 8.9 |
| 8776-60.1 | 324 | 103 | 0.329 | 22 | 11 | 0.000595 | 0.000144 | 0.01031 | 0.1096 | 0.0062 | 0.572 | 0.025 | 0.0680 | 0.0008 | 0.386 | 0.0610 | 0.0024 | 424 | 5 | 639 | 88 | 33.6 |
| 8776-38.1 | 144 | 50 | 0.356 | 10 | 14 | 0.001639 | 0.000290 | 0.0284 | 0.1109 | 0.0146 | 0.559 | 0.047 | 0.0681 | 0.0010 | 0.302 | 0.0596 | 0.0048 | 425 | 9 | 588 | 186 | 27.8 |
| 8776-117.1 | 177 | 58 | 0.337 | 12 | 18 | 0.001726 | 0.000322 | 0.02992 | 0.1178 | 0.0132 | 0.562 | 0.051 | 0.0682 | 0.0009 | 0.264 | 0.0598 | 0.0053 | 425 | 5 | 597 | 203 | 28.8 |
| 8776-44.1 | 254 | 130 | 0.529 | 18 | 21 | 0.001354 | 0.000227 | 0.02346 | 0.1476 | 0.0101 | 0.493 | 0.037 | 0.0686 | 0.0010 | 0.314 | 0.0522 | 0.0037 | 428 | 9 | 293 | 172 | -45.8 |
| 8776-113.1 | 139 | 71 | 0.526 | 11 | 20 | 0.002225 | 0.000734 | 0.03857 | 0.1521 | 0.0296 | 0.557 | 0.120 | 0.0727 | 0.0015 | 0.216 | 0.0556 | 0.0118 | 452 | 6 | 437 | 437 | -3.4 |
| 8776-109.1 | 114 | 48 | 0.436 | 8 | 22 | 0.002928 | 0.000472 | 0.05075 | 0.1062 | 0.0190 | 0.462 | 0.080 | 0.0727 | 0.0012 | 0.215 | 0.0461 | 0.0078 | 452 | 1 | 4 | 473 -(| 480.1 |
| 8776-8.1 | 120 | 48 | 0.415 | 6 | 14 | 0.001805 | 0.000317 | 0.03128 | 0.1035 | 0.0128 | 0.422 | 0.053 | 0.0729 | 0.0011 | 0.242 | 0.0420 | 0.0052 | 453 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 8776-20.1 | 02 | 29 | 0.425 | ŝ | 12 | 0.002619 | 0.000491 | 0.0454 | 0.1447 | 0.0245 | 0.585 | 0.085 | 0.0734 | 0.0015 | 0.264 | 0.0578 | 0.0082 | 457 | 6 | 521 | 344 | 12.2 |
| 8776-116.1 | 226 | 111 | 0.507 | 17 | 21 | 0.001417 | 0.000219 | 0.02455 | 0.1544 | 0.0094 | 0.520 | 0.039 | 0.0741 | 0.0010 | 0.303 | 0.0508 | 0.0037 | 461 | 9 | 233 | 175 | -97.5 |
| 8776-56.1 | 48 | 33 | 0.707 | 4 | 21 | 0.006055 | 0.001061 | 0.10494 | 0.1938 | 0.0440 | 0.511 | 0.208 | 0.0746 | 0.0023 | 0.200 | 0.0497 | 0.0199 | 464 | 14 | 180 | 1364 | 157.1 |
| 8776-108.1 | 178 | 69 | 0.400 | 13 | 25 | 0.002111 | 0.000301 | 0.03659 | 0.1095 | 0.0122 | 0.558 | 0.052 | 0.0749 | 0.0010 | 0.269 | 0.0541 | 0.0049 | 465 | 9 | 374 | 220 | -24.4 |
| 8776-40.1 | 204 | 85 | 0.430 | 16 | 15 | 0.001158 | 0.000219 | 0.02007 | 0.1413 | 0.0103 | 0.578 | 0.039 | 0.0750 | 0.0009 | 0.299 | 0.0559 | 0.0037 | 466 | ŝ | 448 | 152 | -4.1 |
| 8776-46.1 | 194 | 115 | 0.616 | 16 | 19 | 0.001519 | 0.000237 | 0.02632 | 0.1893 | 0.0116 | 0.591 | 0.043 | 0.0751 | 0.0010 | 0.296 | 0.0571 | 0.0040 | 467 | 9 | 494 | 163 | 5.6 |
| 8776-33.1 | 227 | 100 | 0.454 | 18 | 6 | 0.000592 | 0.000375 | 0.01027 | 0.1473 | 0.0148 | 0.610 | 0.064 | 0.0751 | 0.0010 | 0.252 | 0.0589 | 0.0060 | 467 | 9 | 564 | 239 | 17.2 |
| 8776-57.1 | 204 | 60 | 0.302 | 16 | 12 | 0.000857 | 0.000226 | 0.01484 | 0.1017 | 0.0094 | 0.660 | 0.041 | 0.0768 | 0.0009 | 0.310 | 0.0624 | 0.0037 | 477 | ŝ | 687 | 133 | 30.6 |
| 8776-111.1 | 427 | 108 | 0.261 | 71 | 15 | 0.000250 | 0.000064 | 0.00433 | 0.0796 | 0.0028 | 1.753 | 0.035 | 0.1686 | 0.0018 | 0.633 | 0.0754 | 0.0012 | 1005 | 10 | 1079 | 32 | 6.9 |
| 8776-61.1 | 669 | 104 | 0.153 | 364 | 19 | 0.000064 | 0.000017 | 0.00111 | 0.0426 | 0.0008 | 11.916 | 0.140 | 0.4985 | 0.0054 | 0.961 | 0.1734 | 0.0006 | 2607 | 23 | 2590 | 9 | -0.7 |
| Notes (see St | ern 1997): | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

spot name follows the convention x-y.z; where x = sample number, y = grain number and z = spot number. Multiple analyses in an individual spot are labelled as x-y.z.z.

Uncertainties reported at 10 (absolute) and are calculated by numerical propagation of all known sources of error 200⁴⁴⁴ refers to mole fraction of total ³⁰⁴Pb that is due to common Pb, calculated using the ³⁰⁴Pb-method; common Pb composition used is the surface blank (4/6: 0.05770; 7/6: 0.89500; 8/6: 2.13840)

Cautoration standard 6266; U = 910 ppm; Age = 259 Ma; ²⁰⁰Pb/²⁰⁰Pb age) Th/U calibration: F = 0.03900*UO + 0.85600 Grain mount IP387: store size ***

mount IP387; spot size $13\mu m \ge 16\mu m$; # of scans = 6; Error in ²⁰⁶Pb/²³⁰U calibration = 1.0%

moderate number of zircon grains. Most are quite magnetic. The euhedral zircon grains are predominantly stubby prismatic crystals in the 100 µm to 200 um size range (Fig. 6C inset). Most of the grains contain numerous inclusions and fractures. BSE-SEM imaging reveals faint growth or sector zoning in many of the grains. SHRIMP II analyses comprise a single age population with no evidence of inheritance (Fig. 6C). A concordia age for these analyses is calculated to 425.3 ± 2.0 Ma (MSWD of concordance and equivalence = 0.72; probability = 0.98; n = 25). Taking into account the error associated with the zircon standards analyzed on the SHRIMP grain mount, the crystallization age of the Harry's River rhyolite is interpreted to be 425 ± 4 Ma.

Fogo Suite Felsic Volcanic Rocks. Lawrenceton Formation of the **Botwood Group**

Lawrenceton Formation is exposed in the core of an anticline near Laurenceton (Fig. 1). Felsic volcanic rocks are prominently exposed along a ridge crest that crosses the Burnt Arm Pond road, where they are dominated by felsic pyroclastic breccia. Lithic clasts comprise flow-banded, K-feldspar-porphyritic rhyolite, aphyric rhyolite and flattened pumice in a fine-grained crystal-rich matrix (Fig. 6D). Outcrops are pervasively fractured by steep joints. A cleavage is lacking. Crude size distribution of clasts suggests that the felsic volcanic rocks are sub-horizontal. The breccia forms part of unit 4 of the Lawrenceton Formation as mapped by Colman-Sadd (1994), which overlies a cleaved polymict conglomerate (unit 3 of the Lawrenceton Formation of Colman-Sadd 1994) containing rounded to angular clasts of basalt, rhyolite and red sandstone. The rocks of unit 3 have a steeply dipping layering and a pronounced cleavage. The contact between units 3 and 4 is not exposed on Burnt Arm Pond road, but may be nonconformable because of the apparent differences in structure, a relationship also suggested as an option by Colman-Sadd (1994).

Sample RAX05-909 was collected from monomict, trachytic, felsic pyroclastic breccia (Fig. 6D) with ovoid spherulites (?) and banded perlite. The

Table 1: U-Pb SHRIMP analytical data (Continued)

2014



Figure 6. A, B: Representative photographs of the Harry's River rhyolite (Topsails Suite) below the Hungry Mountain Thrust. A. Flow-banded rhyolite transitions to volcanic breccia supporting its extrusive nature. Nearby outcrops of the rhyolite are columnar-jointed. B. Hybrid zone in rhyolite at the dated locality. Hybrid zone is characterized by rhyolite inclusions in intermediate flow. Amoeboid inclusions of basalt occur throughout this outcrop. C. U–Pb Concordia diagram of the Harry's River rhyolite. D. Representative photograph of Lawrenceton Formation monomictic rhyolite breccia. E. Representative SEM images of igneous and inherited zircon grains. F. Probability plot for zircon analyses of the Lawrenceton Formation rhyolite. G. Representative photograph of Port Albert Formation rhyolitic lapilli tuff. H. U–Pb Concordia diagram of Port Albert Formation rhyolitic lapilli tuff.

rhyolite sample yielded zircon grains ranging from $<50 \ \mu m$ to $>200 \ \mu m$ in size including: equant multifaceted crystals, euhedral stubby prisms to prismatic crystals, subhedral grains with rounded facets, and rounded grains (Fig. 6E, Appendix 1). Many zircon grains contain inclusions or fractures and some are quite altered.

BSE-SEM imaging reveals weak growth zoning in some of the zircon crystals. SHRIMP II analyses of zircon grains from the rhyolite resulted in several age populations (Fig. 6F, Table 1). The oldest analyses include grains of 2.6 Ga and 1.0 Ga, which are interpreted to represent inherited components (not plotted). An age population of 464 ± 5 Ma (weighted average of ${}^{206}Pb/{}^{238}U$ ages; MSWD = 1.4, probability = 0.16, n = 11) is also interpreted to be inherited in origin. Analyses that are interpreted as inherited were obtained from probable inherited cores as well as entirely inherited zircon grains with no evidence of younger overgrowths. A weighted average ²⁰⁶Pb/²³⁸U age of the youngest dominant age population is calculated to be 421.0 ± 2.5 Ma (MSWD = 0.45, probability = 0.98, n = 19). Taking into account the associated error on the standard zircon grains analyzed on the SHRIMP grain mount, the crystallization age of the rhyolite is interpreted to be 421 ± 4 Ma.

Fogo Suite Rhyolite Lapilli Tuff, Port Albert Formation of the Botwood Group

Port Albert Peninsula locally exposes the contact between Badger and Botwood groups. On the northwest limb of the Farewell syncline, 1 km northeast of the Port Albert Harbour, rocks of the Badger Group are overlain by volcanic and sedimentary rocks of the Port Albert Formation (Karlstrom et al. 1982; Williams et al. 1993), a correlative of the Lawrenceton Formation (Fig. 4G). The base of the Port Albert Formation is characterized by a thin coarse sandy bed with a conglomeratic base (Williams 1993a; Williams et al. 1993). The latter contains pebbles and cobbles of cleaved Badger Group, suggesting that the deposition of the Port Albert Formation postdates early Salinic deformation of the underlying Badger Group (Reusch 1987; van der

Pluijm et al. 1993), which mimics the unconformable relationships observed between the Badger and Botwood groups on the nearby Change Islands (van der Pluijm et al. 1993).

Above the unconformity, the Port Albert Formation is characterized by vesicular mafic flows and felsic tuff. Sample RAX05-905 was collected from the outcrops stratigraphically above the Badger-Botwood unconformity (i.e. topographically above the outcrop shown in Fig. 4G). The sample comprises an aphyric, rhyolitic lapilli tuff (Fig. 6G). The sample yielded abundant euhedral zircon grains ranging in size from 75 to 150 µm (Appendix 1). Zircon morphologies include stubby prismatic to slightly elongate crystals and most of the zircon grains contain numerous inclusions and fractures. SHRIMP II analyses from the rhyolite produced a single age population (Fig. 6H; Table 1). A weighted average ²⁰⁶Pb/²³⁸U age of all of these analyses is calculated to be 418.5 ± 2.5 Ma (MSWD = 0.52, probability = 0.96, n= 22). Taking into account the error on the standard zircon grains analyzed on the SHRIMP grain mount, the crystallization age of the rhyolite is interpreted to be 418.5 ± 4 Ma, which overlaps in error with the 422 ± 2 Ma Port Albert felsite of Elliott et al. (1991), suggesting they are consanguineous.

DISCUSSION

Timing of Salinic Collision-Related Deformation Northwest of the Red Indian Line

The oldest Salinic tectonism in the peri-Laurentian realm (Notre Dame subzone of Williams et al. 1988) (Figs. 1, 2) is locally recorded by the Notre Dame Arc, which underwent complex exhumation in Late Ordovician (van Staal et al. 2007). In southwestern Newfoundland, a thick sequence of conglomerate, sandstone and terrestrial ignimbrite of the Windsor Point Group (ca. 453 Ma: Dubé et al. 1996) unconformably overlies Taconic deformed Ordovician Notre Dame arc plutons (ca. 488 to 459 Ma: van Staal et al. 2007). Similar evidence for Salinic exhumation is preserved in northwestern Newfoundland, where 442 Ma and vounger terrestrial red beds uncon-

formably overlie Upper Ordovician-Lower Silurian plutons (e.g. ca. 446 Ma Burlington granodiorite: Skulski et al. 2010; Fig. 1) of the southeast-facing Salinic arc (Whalen et al. 2006). In addition, Salinic exhumation of the Notre Dame arc in Late Ordovician (Katian to Llandoverian) is supported by peri-Laurentian provenance of Late Ordovician sedimentary rocks to the east of the Red Indian Line in the Badger Group (van Staal et al. 2009; Waldron et al. 2012; see following). Deformation, uplift and erosion continued into the Wenlockian, when extrusive components of the syn-collisional Topsails Suite and Springdale Group were unconformably deposited above the exhumed Notre Dame arc (Whalen et al. 2006). The Topsails Suite and Springdale Group volcanic and interlayered terrestrial red sandstone (Old Red Sandstone) (Fig. 3E) and consanguineous plutons form an overstep sequence on all peri-Laurentian terranes and can thus be utilized as markers of Salinic deformation. The age of the oldest phases of the Topsails Suite indicate that the Salinic collision-related deformation had started by at least 433 Ma (Whalen et al. 2006). These relationships provide strong evidence for uplift, exhumation, progressive localization of deformation into high level ductile-brittle structures and cratonization of the composite Laurentian margin (van Staal et al. 2009).

The ca. 425 Ma age of the Harry's River rhyolite confirms our hypothesis that it forms a late phase of the Silurian Topsails Suite. Thus, Topsails Suite volcanic and clastic sedimentary rocks occur in both the hanging and footwall of the HMT. Topsails Suite sills, dikes and plutons that are consanguineous with the dated rhyolite cut across the HMT and related faults (Figs. 3C, 7), suggesting that magmatism was contemporaneous with latest faulting. These relationships indicate that the Middle Ordovician HMT was reactivated at ca. 425 Ma during the Salinic collision-related deformation. This result provides tighter age constraints on the age of the Salinic collision-related deformation in the Annieopsquotch accretionary tract (Zagorevski et al. 2007), and the area straddling the Red Indian Line (Fig. 1), which may have slightly outlasted



Figure 7. Flow-banded (inset) Topsails Suite rhyolitic dike (correlative of Harry's River rhyolite) cuts across foliation and a minor thrust fault, Buchans area. The minor thrust is a subsidiary structure to the Hungry Mountain and Airport thrusts (Thurlow et al. 1992).

Salinic deformation further to the west (see above). In addition, this age also dates the Silurian terrestrial red beds (Old Red Sandstone), which are invariably interlayered with the volcanic rocks (Fig. 3E) in this part of Newfoundland. They confirm that this part of composite Laurentia was now fully uplifted above sea level and cratonized (van Staal et al. 2009).

Similar relationships are observed along the Otter Brook shear zone (Fig. 2), a correlative of the HMT to the southwest. The Otter Brook shear zone is principally an Ordovician structure (Lissenberg et al. 2005) that was reactivated during the Silurian (Zagorevski et al. 2007). Silurian red beds of the Topsails Suite occur both in the hanging wall and footwall of the Otter Brook shear zone (Zagorevski et al. 2007). The latest motion of the Otter Brook Shear zone is constrained by a ca. 426 Ma age (titanite) on Silurian granite that has been deformed at amphibolite facies in the hanging wall and sub-greenschist facies in the footwall. Similar relationships are described in the Notre Dame Bay area (Dean and Strong 1977); however, absolute age constraints are lacking there.

Nature of the Contact between Badger and Botwood Groups

East or southeast of the Red Indian Line, Ordovician phases of the extinct Popelogan-Victoria arc are overlain by marine sedimentary rocks of the Katian-Llandoverian Badger Group and terrestrial volcano-sedimentary rocks of the younger Botwood Group. The nature of the contact between the Badger and Botwood groups has been a matter of contentious debate and both conformable and unconformable relationships have been proposed (Fig. 4G; Williams 1993a; van der Pluijm et al. 1993; O'Brien 2003; Dickson 2006; McNicoll et al. 2006). Our new age dates of the Botwood Group supplement sparse existing ages pertaining to the nature of the contact between the Badger and Botwood groups.

Botwood Group felsic volcanic rocks in the Lawrenceton (421 \pm 4 Ma) and Port Albert formations $(418.5 \pm 4 \text{ Ma})$ yielded within error ages that constrain the age of Botwood Group magmatism to the Ludlovian-Pridolian and possibly the lowermost part of the Lochkovian (ICS 2013). These ages are consistent with the inferred fossil age range for the Botwood Group following reclassification of the Silurian units in central Newfoundland (Dickson 1994; Boyce and Ash 1994). The Botwood Group volcanic rocks overlap within error the U-Pb zircon ages of the spatially associated igneous rocks of the Fogo suite (ca. 422 Ma Fogo Batholith: Aydin 1995; ca. 424 Ma Mount Peyton Suite: Dunning 1992, 1994; ca. 422 Ma Port Albert composite dikes: Elliott et al. 1991). This supports the proposed consanguineous relationship between these volcanic and plutonic rocks.

Our new age constraints on the Botwood Group have major implications for the stratigraphy and deformation history west of the Dog Bay Line. They indicate that the contact between the Badger and the Botwood groups is everywhere unconformable. Badger Group has been constrained as no younger than Telychian (> 433 Ma: O'Brien 2003), whereas Botwood Group and consanguineous rocks are younger than 426 Ma. This suggests that the contact between Badger and Botwood groups is characterized by a hiatus of 11-7 m.y. (433-422 Ma) based on the most recent time-stratigraphic table (ICS 2013). This interpretation contrasts with Williams (1993a), but is consistent with our observations and those of other workers (van der Pluijm et al. 1993; O'Brien 2003; Dickson 2006; McNicoll et al. 2006). The Badger-Botwood unconformity likely corresponds to the main phase of collision-related Salinic deformation (D₁ of Elliott et al. 1991; Lafrance and Williams 1992; van der Pluijm et al. 1993; Kusky and Kidd 1996 and O'Brien 2003) and covers a similar time-span determined for the Salinic collision-related deformation in correlative rocks in central New Brunswick (van Staal et al. 2003; Wilson and Kamo 2012).

Fogo Suite and Botwood Group Deformation

The Siluro-Devonian deformation is complex and polyphase (e.g. Lafrance and Williams 1992; Piasecki 1992; Holdsworth 1994; O'Brien 2003; van Staal et al. 2009). The evidence presented above indicates that Fogo suite magmatism (Fig. 1) and deposition of the Botwood Group postdated the main phase of collision-related Salinic deformation. Deformation that is preserved in the Fogo suite and Botwood Group thus must represent either (1) the latest stages of the Salinic orogeny or (2) the start of the Acadian Orogeny. In general, Fogo suite plutonic rocks do not display penetrative deformation; however, the Fogo Island batholith is tilted, exposing the full section of a bimodal magma chamber from layered gabbro to evolved granite and high-level hypabyssal rocks (Fig. 1; Kerr 2013). Associated Botwood Group rocks are commonly folded and cleaved suggesting that strain may be heterogeneous and preferentially partitioned into relatively incompetent rocks such as the Botwood Group sandstone and siltstone rather than into competent igneous rocks. However, as the Mt Peyton gabbro (424 \pm 2 Ma: Dunning 1992) was interpreted to intrude into deformed Botwood Group, the deformation preceded and/or was coeval with magmatism.

Alternatively, there may be a cryptic unconformity within the Botwood Group.

In the southwestern part of the Botwood belt, an unconformable relationship was inferred between the Wigwam (older) and Stony Lake (younger) formations of the Botwood Group. Macroscopically undeformed, subhorizontally overlying felsic volcanic rocks of the 423 $^{+3}/_{-2}$ Ma Stony Lake Formation have been interpreted to unconformably overlie folded and cleaved red sandstone of the Wigwam Formation (Anderson and Williams 1970; Colman-Sadd and Russell 1982; Williams et al. 1995; Pollock et al. 2007). An unconformable relationship was also inferred between the 423 \pm 3.5 Ma Patch Valley rhyolite and the Botwood Group (Rogers and van Staal 2005; McNicoll et al. 2008). The Stony Lake Formation contains several volcanic units (Colman-Sadd and Russell 1982) and our field investigations confirm that they do indeed locally appear fresh and undeformed; however, they are folded and cleaved elsewhere (Fig. 4E, F). The marked contrast in the degree of deformation from one locality to the other suggests (1) that the heterogeneous development of cleavage may be rheologically controlled and the deformation of the Botwood Group everywhere postdated the Fogo suite or (2) that the deposition of the Botwood Group and the Fogo suite magmatism partially overlapped the last gasp of Salinic deformation. The evidence for either case is ambiguous with the existing dataset.

Scenario 1 is simple and consistent with the unconformable relationship between the Salinic-deformed Badger and Botwood groups. In this scenario, the deformation postdated the Fogo suite and the strain was heterogeneous. The coplanar nature of the Acadian structures in the deformed Indian Island belt rocks (McNicoll et al. 2006) with those in the adjacent Botwood belt could suggest that the main folding and cleavage forming event in the Botwood belt is Acadian. This is consistent with the age constraints for the main phase of Acadian folding and cleavage formation in the adjacent Indian Island belt (415-410 Ma: McNicoll et al. 2006) and age constraints on Acadian (F3 dextral transpression of Lafrance and Williams 1992) deformation in the Notre Dame Bay area (≥ 408 Ma: Elliott et al. 1991; O'Brien 2003). However, as some phases of the Fogo suite cut deformed and cleaved Badger and Botwood groups rocks (McNicoll et al. 2006; Dickson et al. 2007), there is a requirement for deformation following the initiation of Botwood deposition but prior to intrusion of parts of the ca. 424-422 Ma Fogo suite. This indicates that at least some of the deformation in the Botwood Group is pre-Acadian. This deformation may equate with the south-verging F₂ folds of Lafrance and Williams (1992).

Scenario 2 implies that the major structures in the Botwood belt were late Salinic and that folding and cleavage formation must have taken place over a very short time span. This is consistent with the observation that some Fogo suite phases cut folded and/or cleaved Badger and Botwood groups rocks (McNicoll et al. 2006; Dickson et al. 2007; Kerr 2013) and are deformed by the same deformation elsewhere. The coplanar orientation of some of the Botwood belt structures and the Acadian structures in the adjacent Indian Islands belt (McNicoll et al. 2006) may be coincidental, as the strain axes related to Salinic and Acadian convergence may have been similar locally. Hence, these structures may be unrelated and/or Acadian deformation reactivated and/or reoriented Salinic structures (see Lafrance and Williams 1992).

The second scenario requires that the Botwood Group red beds were deposited syn-tectonically near the late-Salinic deformation front, similar to what happened slightly earlier farther to the west (see above), and that there is evidently west to east diachroneity in the timing of Salinic tectonism, magmatism and red bed deposition (e.g. O'Brien 2003; van Staal et al. 2009). These relationships mirror those established in central New Brunswick (van Staal et al. 2003, 2009; Wilson et al. 2004, 2008; Wilson and Kamo 2012) and, weighing all combined lines of evidence, favour the second model. This scenario is complex but not anomalous in the northern Appalachians (e.g. see Bradley and Tucker 2002) and other dissected shallow level orogenic tracts preserved elsewhere (e.g. White 1982). Our new interpretations thus indicate that the Salinic orogeny may have locally lasted until the end of the Silurian (~ 419 Ma) or even slightly younger farther east, was diachronous from west to east and spatially and temporally overlapped bimodal magmatism and terrestrial red bed sedimentation.

Separation of the Salinic and Acadian Structures

Acadian ductile deformation in Newfoundland started at the leading edge of composite Laurentia (originally the trailing edge of Ganderia) at ca. 420 Ma with the inversion of the La Poile basin in Newfoundland (O'Brien et al. 1991) but was progressively younger to the northwest. It took place between 415 and 410 Ma near the Dog Bay Line (McNicoll et al. 2006) and had reached the Red Indian Line probably slightly before 408 Ma (Elliott et al. 1991), because locally it also affects Emsian terrestrial sandstone occurring immediately west of it (Chandler 1982).

Separation of Salinic and Acadian structures on the basis of age is therefore possible in central Newfoundland (e.g. Zagorevski et al. 2007), but is problematic in the area where the Acadian started near the leading edge of composite Laurentia. For example, deep-seated Acadian metamorphic nappes started to form shortly after ca. 418 Ma (Valverde-Vaquero et al. 2000, 2006; van der Velden et al. 2004) immediately northwest of the Coastal arc-back arc system and thus may have overlapped in time with the last gasps of Salinic-related deformation further to the west or north. However, the fact that the La Poile basin (Coastal arc-back arc system) was an extensional intra-arc or backarc basin until 420 Ma, suggests that the Silurian Salinic deformation front never crossed the full width of the Gander margin and left its trailing (eastern) edge partially untouched. Separation of Salinic and Acadian structures in this part of Newfoundland can thus be achieved on the basis of geological arguments and kinematic analysis.

Salinic Orogenesis and Deposition of the Old Red Sandstone

One of the important implications of our new data is that the final phase of Salinic deformation, slab break-offrelated magmatism and sedimentation of clastic terrestrial molasse rocks became progressively younger from west to east. The terrestrial sedimentary rocks thus form a time-transgressive overstep sequence that links together the sequentially accreted terranes to composite Laurentia from west to east (van Staal et al. 2009), as well as dating when these terranes became fully emergent and hence cratonized. These clastic rocks were probably deposited in molasse-like foreland basins, sourced from the nearby, progressively rising Salinic mountains, situated west of an east-migrating late Salinic continental deformation front. The red beds, carefully dated using their interlayered felsic volcanic rocks, form part of the Old Red Sandstone facies as defined in the British Caledonides (Friend et al. 2000), which had started by at least 442 Ma in western Newfoundland. This is significantly older than the oldest known Old Red Sandstone lithologies in the British Isles, which are Wenlockian and/or younger (Friend et al. 2000). The Old Red Sandstone overstepped the Red Indian Line and the western part of the marine Badger belt by ca. 429 Ma (the age of the subaerial Charles Lake volcanic suite of Dickson (2000) which occurs immediately east of the Red Indian Line) followed by deposition of the Ludlovian-early Lochkovian red beds of the Botwood Group farther to the east. The Upper Silurian red beds overstep the Dog Bay Line tectonic zone and lie disconformably on Llandoverian-Ludlovian marine sedimentary rocks of the Indian Islands Group and underlying Ordovician rocks deposited on the Gander margin (Williams et al. 1993; Currie 1997; Dickson et al. 2007). Hence, Ganderia was fully emerged and incorporated into composite Laurentia by the end of the Silurian. The next overstep phase of the Old Red Sandstone took place when it was deposited onto Avalonia. This phase probably took place late during the Early Devonian (Williams and O'Brien 1995). Like their counterparts in the British Isles the Old Red Sandstone

was consistently deformed by Early Devonian Acadian deformation (Soper and Woodcock 2003; van Staal and Zagorevski 2012) and thus represents an important tectonic marker.

Our interpretation also implies that the regional sub-Botwood unconformity represents a change from Katian-Ludlovian marine deposition in the arc-trench gap and foredeep during subduction and early collision (van Staal et al. 1998, 2009; Zagorevski et al. 2008; Waldron et al. 2012) to a late syn-collisional molasse foreland basin setting during the Late Silurian. This change followed progressive Salinic deformation and uplift of the marine Badger basin and the adjacent Late Ordovician-Ludlovian marine Indian Island foredeep (Boyce and Dickson 2006; Dickson et al. 2007; van Staal et al. 2009; van Staal and Zagorevski 2012). Red siliciclastic sedimentary rocks of the Big Indian Pond and Ten Mile Lake formations overlie the marine sedimentary rocks of the Indian Island Group (Williams 1993a; Currie 1995; Dickson 2006). The latter are younger than ca. 430 Ma and older than ca. 411 Ma based on U-Pb detrital zircon data (Pollock et al. 2007) and intrusive relationships (McNicoll et al. 2006; Dickson et al. 2007). Fossil evidence suggests these red beds are younger than the Ludlovian. Hence, they are obvious correlative units of the Botwood Group. The Old Red Sandstone, including the Botwood Group, thus probably represents a time-transgressive, terrestrial overstep sequence as was previously suggested by Pollock et al. (2007) and van Staal et al. (2009). As predicted by our model, the red bed-molasse deposition on Avalonia is even younger and started during the Early Devonian (Williams and O'Brien 1995) as a result of its Acadian collision with Laurentia.

Tectonic Model for East-Migrating Bimodal Magmatism

The widespread bloom of late Early Silurian to Early Devonian (433–419 Ma) bimodal magmatism, concentrated in the central orogenic core of the Canadian Appalachians (i.e. central mobile belt of Williams 1964) has been interpreted to be generated during break-off of the northwest-dipping Salinic slab and formation of an asthenospheric window beneath the Salinic collision zone (Whalen et al. 2006; van Staal et al. 2009). The slab break-off model is consistent with the observed spatial and temporal overlap between Salinic collision-related deformation and magmatism and concurrent rapid uplift (van Staal et al. 2007), as well as sedimentation in Silurian continental (red beds) and marine (Indian Island Group) foreland basins. The latter phenomenon is primarily a consequence of the isostatic rebound and unloading of the upper plate following break-off of the subducting slab (Regard et al. 2008 and references therein) and continuing deformation; however, this model raises the question of why the slab break-off-related processes are diachronous from west to east (Fig. 2). That is, they were retreating towards the foreland (Gander margin), over a period of 6 to 10 m.y. Alternative models capable of producing asthenospheric windows beneath the Salinic orogen, such as retreating delamination (progressive peeling-off of the lithospheric mantle) are not considered viable. This is because the latter process requires more time than is observed and also predicts significant uplift and at least locally, exhumation of deeply buried crust in the collision zone (e.g. see Krystopowicz and Currie 2013), either of which is rare in the core of the Canadian Appalachians (van Staal and de Roo 1995; van Staal and Barr 2012). Hence, an asthenospheric window created by processes such as rollback or eduction (reversed subduction and coherent exhumation of a portion of the subducted lithosphere during collision, Andersen et al. 1991; Duretz et al. 2012) following break-off of the down-going slab is thought to be more realistic.

Field data, numerical modelling and laboratory experiments provide some guidelines and constraints on the possible tectonic processes. The rheology of the lower crust, the characteristics of the subducting oceanic lithosphere (e.g. width and thermal structure) and of the attached passive margin (e.g. width and buoyancy) are important factors that impose a control on the nature of the slab break-off, degree of exhumation of deeply buried rocks and how the orogen evolved after the onset of collision (Sizova et al. 2012). The original architecture of the down-going Gander (passive) margin is poorly known, although seismic data (van der Velden et al. 2004) suggest it was wide and gradual rather than short and abrupt, which would enhance its ability to subduct (slab pull). On the other hand, the Tetagouche-Exploits backarc basin was relatively narrow ($\leq 800 \text{ km}$), young (10-20 m.y.) and contained numerous fragmented slivers of felsic crust (van Staal 1994; van Staal et al. 2003, 2012), which would lower the overall slab pull and subduction velocity. A low slab pull results in relatively shallow subduction of the leading edge of the continental block, breakoff shortly after the onset of collision and modest exhumation (van Hunen and Allen 2011; Sizova et al. 2012), which would be consistent with what is observed in the Salinic orogen exposed in central Newfoundland. Salinic subduction-related high pressure metamorphic rocks, such as blueschist, are confined to narrow zones and are very rare. Where they occur they apparently returned by extrusion along a narrow subduction channel (van Staal et al. 2008). With a few exceptions (e.g. Cawood et al. 1994), deeply buried Salinic crustal metamorphic rocks in general are rarely exposed. The main evidence for deep subduction of Gander margin continental crust comes from shallow mantle reflectors, which were interpreted to image a fossil westdipping Salinic A-subduction zone beneath central Newfoundland (van der Velden et al. 2004).

Both numerical modelling and laboratory experiments (Regard et al. 2008) generally indicate that after the onset of collision, the down-going plate progressively steepens at depth and may even roll back or reverse its dip above the break-off scar. Such a process could progressively open an asthenospheric window beneath the foreland (Fig. 8) and hence, may explain the observed west-east diachroneity of collision-related magmatism and potentially also a reversal in structural vergence from southeastto northwest-directed tectonic transport (O'Brien 2003). However, the latter could also represent a change from Salinic to Acadian-related thrusting.

More careful dating of structures is needed to test these options. Another process that could progressively open an asthenospheric window beneath the Salinic foreland is eduction of the leading edge of the Gander margin following break-off of the oceanic part of the slab (Fig. 8C). Modelling has shown that eduction is to a large extent dependent on the viscosity of the subduction channel and asthenosphere and generally leads to progressive flattening of the down-going slab above the break-off scar (Duretz et al. 2012) rather than widening the asthenospheric window. However, the mechanism controlling eduction may have been different in the Salinic collision zone, because of the unique tectonic setting of the Gander margin with the Acadian seaway subducting beneath its trailing edge concurrent with slab detachment along its leading edge. The former process may have imposed an additional extensional force due to slab roll-back in the Acadian seaway (van Staal et al. 2009, 2012), which may have triggered trenchward motion of Ganderia and hence, rapid eduction of the subducted part of the Gander margin at its leading edge (Fig. 8). Continued magmatism in the Mascarene-La Poile backarc basin until ca. 421 Ma indicates that the Coastal arc was extensional throughout its life span and attests to the viability of this process. The proposed tectonic model is attractive because any of slab break-off, eduction, roll-back and/or slab-dip reversal may have led to removal and/or significant thinning of the lithospheric mantle beneath the Gander margin at the end of the Silurian, immediately before the onset of the Acadian orogeny (Fig. 8C). Such a process could explain the generation of the Acadian magmatic suite exclusively in Ganderia and not elsewhere. In addition, both processes will lead to uplift and partial collapse of the Salinic orogen above the retreating and/or educting Gander margin slab. This could explain continuous shortening near the deformation front and the evidence for significant late Salinic crustal thinning in the core of the collision zone, both in central New Brunswick (D₃ of van Staal and de Roo 1995) and Newfoundland (D3 of Zagorevski et al. 2007). The time of D_3 crustal thinning

GEOSCIENCE CANADA

Volume 41



Figure 8. Tectonic model for Salinic and Acadian subduction and orogenesis. A. Late Ordovician-Early Silurian closure of the Tetagouche-Exploits backarc basin (TEB) and start of the Salinic collision of composite Laurentia with leading edge of Gander margin (see also Fig. 5). Waning Salinic-related arc magmatism took place to the west (3rd phase of Notre Dame arc of van Staal et al. 2007) of the Red Indian Line (RIL). Salinic collision was coeval with and hence, may have been the cause of initiation of subduction in the Acadian seaway beneath the trailing edge of Gander margin, forming the Coastal arc-backarc system (CAB). Red bed molasse sedimentation (shown in red) initiated in basins that formed during early Salinic thrusting and uplift in the hinterland of orogen. Marine sedimentation took place in the Badger forearc basin. The Badger forearc progressively grew eastwards due to accretion of buoyant blocks such as the Duder Complex (DC), which formed in the TEB. A marine foredeep accumulated Badger-like clastic sedimentary rocks (e.g. Outflow Formation, Currie 1997) and sedimentary rocks of the younger Indian Island Group on the leading edge of the Gander margin of Ganderia. B. Progressive steepening of the Gander margin slab during and/or following tearing (break-off) of the TEB oceanic slab. Red bed sedimentation reached the Red Indian Line (RIL), while the adjacent marine Badger forearc basin was inverted. DBL: Dog Bay Line; C. Eduction of the Gander margin and eastward expansion of an asthenospheric window beneath the Salinic orogen. Red bed sedimentation had now overstepped onto all parts Ganderia. Start of Acadian collision at ca. 420 Ma at the trailing edge of the Gander margin (now the leading edge of composite Laurentia) due to the entrance of Avalonia at the trench. Progressive flattening of the down-going slab following the start of collision produced a hinterlandmigrating retro-arc deformation zone and terminated in expulsion of the mantle wedge and extinction of Acadian magmatism during the Emsian. AW: accretionary wedge; DA: Dashwoods; FA: forearc; PVA: Popelogan-Victoria arc.

2014

in central New Brunswick is constrained by circumstantial evidence to the Early Devonian (van Staal and de Roo 1995; van Staal et al. 2008), but in central Newfoundland took place between 426 and 417 Ma.

The Tectonic Setting of Early Devonian Acadian Magmatism

The two tectonic processes, slab steepening and eduction, potentially associated with break-off of the Salinic slab discussed above, would have created and progressively widened an asthenospheric window beneath the foreland of the Salinic orogen. These processes would not only have allowed Salinic slab break-off magmatism to migrate towards the Salinic foreland, but they would also have made this area a potentially magmatically fertile, hot and hence, weak (Hyndman et al. 2005) backarc region of the progressively growing Acadian orogen during the Early Devonian. The Acadian collision had started at ca. 420 Ma along Ganderia's trailing edge. Continued underthrusting of Avalonia's leading edge (A-subduction) beneath this region could have caused melting and generation of arc-like mafic melts in the mantle wedge trapped above a progressively flattening and dehydrating Avalonian slab (Fig. 5), which in turn may have partially melted the overlying crustal rocks. In particular, underplated forearc sedimentary rocks of the Coastal arc may have been a fertile source for the large bloom of Acadian granitoid plutons in Ganderia (van Staal et al. 2009, see above).

The resultant voluminous bimodal Acadian suite has mixed arc to non-arc geochemical and isotopic signatures (van Staal et al. 2009). The western extent of the Acadian magmatic suite closely mirrors the position of the Acadian structural-metamorphic front in central Newfoundland (van Staal and Zagorevski 2012). This front is defined by the leading edge of the west-directed Acadian Meelpaeg metamorphic nappe (van der Velden et al. 2004; Valverde-Vaquero et al. 2006), which locally overrode unmetamorphosed grey Emsian (408-394 Ma) sandstone and mudstone (Chandler 1982) for a short distance, as shown by local overturning and folding, beyond which these sedimentary rocks generally remained undeformed and lie subhorizontally (van Staal et al. 2005). The most spectacular evidence of this structural juxtaposition is shown by tightly folded and cleaved Emsian sandstone of the Billiards Brook formation of Chorlton (1980). This unit is situated structurally directly beneath the Meelpaeg nappe in the Cape Ray-Gunflap Hills fault zone along the upper reaches of the La Poile River in southwestern Newfoundland (Lin et al. 1994). Here the Meelpaeg nappe overrode and buried the Red Indian Line, whereas farther northeast it coincides (or nearly so) with this structure (van Staal et al. 2005; Valverde-Vaquero et al. 2006). Acadian deformation locally occurred farther west and even affected parts of the Humber margin (Fig. 1), but deformation is typically heterogeneous and not associated with penetrative metamorphism (van Staal and Zagorevski 2012). This suggests there is a close relationship between migration of the Acadian front and the Acadian magmatism, a relationship well established earlier in Maine by Bradley and Tucker (2002).

CONCLUSIONS

The red beds of the Botwood Group are Upper Silurian (mainly Pridolian), but may have extended into the Lower Devonian (Lochkovian). They form part of the Old Red Sandstone facies and were deposited unconformably on early Salinic-deformed (D1) Katian-Llandoverian marine turbidite sequences of the Badger Group. This Middle to Late Silurian unconformity marks the main phase of the Salinic collision. The red beds overstepped the deformation zone associated with the Dog Bay Line during the Late Silurian, heralding the emergence of the Gander margin above sea level. Deposition of the Botwood Group overlapped with bimodal magmatism, related to break-off of the west-dipping slab of Tetagouche-Exploits backarc oceanic lithosphere attached to the Gander margin (van Staal et al. 2009), and the final phase of Salinic deformation.

Our new data, integrated with the existing geochronological database pertinent to the tectonic evolution of Silurian and Early Devonian rocks in Newfoundland, suggest that the deformation front associated with the final phase of Salinic tectonism, associated deposition of the Old Red Sandstone and slab break-off magmatism were time-transgressive from west to east. They date the progressive growth of the Salinic mountains and the time the sequentially accreted terranes became fully emerged.

Steepening and rollback of the downgoing slab possibly accompanied by a slab dip reversal of its broken-off tip (Regard et al. 2008) and eduction of the Gander margin (Fig. 8), progressively opened an asthenospheric window beneath the Salinic foreland, which led to time-transgressive uplift, sedimentation of Old Red Sandstone molasse, and magmatism near an eastmigrating deformation front. Eduction of the Gander margin may have been triggered by slab rollback of the northwest-dipping oceanic slab of the Acadian seaway attached to Avalonia, which was subducting beneath the trailing edge of Ganderia, while the Salinic orogeny was taking place at its leading edge. The cause of the continuity of contraction near the deformation front for a short period after slab detachment and the onset of eduction in northeast Newfoundland may be due to compressive stresses generated in the upper plate following slab detachment and progressive uplift of the overlying Salinic collisional wedge (e.g. Price and Audley-Charles 1987; Buiter et al. 2002). Thinning and heating of the lithosphere beneath the leading edge of the Salinic mountain belt set the stage for the Acadian orogenesis which was superimposed on the Salinic-deformed rocks shortly thereafter during the Early Devonian. Fluids released during dehydration of the down-going Avalonian slab caused large-scale melting in the extensive mantle wedge trapped between it and the overlying, thin edge of composite Laurentia. Ponding of mafic arc-like melt bodies in the lower crust may have produced voluminous felsic magmatism. Progressive flattening of the Avalonian slab over time (Fig. 8C) led to a hinterland-propagating (northwest) retro-arc foreland fold and thrust belt, expulsion and cooling of the trapped mantle wedge and hence termination of syn-Acadian magmatism.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This is a contribution to the Geological Survey of Canada Targeted Geoscience Initiative program. In addition to our own fieldwork, discussions and many field trips over a twenty year time span with Hank Williams, Steve Colman-Sadd, Ken Currie, Brian O'Brien, Andy Kerr, Lawson Dickson, Pablo Valverde-Vaquero and Joe Whalen concerning the tectonic evolution of the central core (central mobile belt) of the Newfoundland Appalachians contributed significantly to our new ideas concerning the Salinic and Acadian orogenies in Newfoundland.

The first author (CvS) also acknowledges the gentle mentorship by Hank Williams, who over the years guided him to many critical exposures and their implications to understanding the Appalachian orogen. In general, Williams' ground-breaking geological investigations in Newfoundland between 1965 and 2000 ultimately formed the foundation for many of our evolving tectonic ideas. This manuscript benefitted from reviews by Sebastian Castonguay, Doug Reusch and Hamish Sandeman.

REFERENCES

- Andersen, T.B., Jamtveit, B., Dewey, J.F., and Swensson, E., 1991, Subduction and eduction of continental crust: major mechanisms during continentcontinent collision and orogenic extensional collapse, a model based on the South Norwegian Caledonides: Terra Nova, v. 3, p. 303–310.
- Anderson, F.D., and Williams, H., 1970, Geology, Gander Lake (West half), Newfoundland: Geological Survey of Canada, Map 1195A, final map with descriptive notes.
- Arnott, R.J., 1983, Sedimentology of Upper Ordovician–Silurian sequences on New World Island, Newfoundland: separate fault-controlled basins?: Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, v. 20, p. 345–354,
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/e83-033. Aydin, N.S., 1995, Petrology of the composite mafic-felsic rocks of the Fogo Island batholith: A window to mafic magma chamber processes and the role of mantle in the petrogenesis of granitoid rocks: Unpublished PhD thesis, Memorial University of Newfoundland, St. John's, NL, 191 p.
- Barr, S.M., White, C.E., and Miller, B.V., 2002, The Kingston terrane, southern

New Brunswick, Canada: Evidence for an Early Silurian volcanic arc: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 114, p. 964–982, http://dx.doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606(2002)114<0964:TKTSNB>2.0.C O;2.

Boucot, A.J., 1962, Appalachian Siluro–Devonian, *in* Coe, K., *ed.*, Some aspects of the Variscan fold belt: Manchester University Press, Manchester, UK, p. 155–163.

Boyce, W.D., and Ash, J.S., 1994, New Silurian–Devonian(?) faunas from the Gander (NTS 2D/15) and Botwood (NTS 2E/3) map areas: Report – Government of Newfoundland and Labrador, Department of Mines and Energy, Geological Survey, p. 53–63.

- Boyce, W.D., and Dickson, W.L., 2006, Recent fossil finds in the Indian Islands Group, central Newfoundland: Current Research, Newfoundland and Labrador Department of Natural Resources, Geological Survey Report 06-1, p. 221–231.
- Bradley, D.C., 1983, Tectonics of the Acadian Orogeny in New England and adjacent Canada: The Journal of Geology, v. 91, p. 381–400, http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/628785.
- Bradley, D., and Tucker, R., 2002, Emsian synorogenic paleogeography of the Maine Appalachians: The Journal of Geology, v. 110, p. 483–492, http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/340634.
- Bradley, D.C., Tucker, R.D., Lux, D.R., Harris, A.G., and McGregor, D.C., 2000, Migration of the Acadian Orogen and foreland basin across the Northern Appalachians of Maine and adjacent areas: U.S. Geological Survey, Professional Paper 1624, 49 p.
- Brem, A.G., Lin, Shoufa, van Staal, C.R., Davis, D.W., and McNicoll, V.J., 2007, The Middle Ordovician to Early Silurian voyage of the Dashwoods microcontinent, West Newfoundland; based on new U/Pb and ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar geochronological, and kinematic constraints: American Journal of Science, v. 307, p. 311–338, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.2475/02.2007.01.
- Buiter, S.J.H., Govers, R., and Wortel, M.J.R., 2002, Two-dimensional simulations of surface deformation caused by slab detachment: Tectonophysics, v. 354, p. 195–210, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/S0040-1951(02)00336-0.
- Burgess J.L., Brown M., Dallmeyer, R.D., van Staal C.R., 1995, Microstructure, metamorphism, thermochronology and P–T–t deformation history of the Port-aux-Basques gneisses, south-west Newfoundland, Canada: Journal of

Metamorphic Geology, v. 13, p. 751–776, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1111/j.1525-1314.1995.tb00257.x.

- Cawood, P.A., Dunning, G.R., Lux, D., and van Gool, J.A.M., 1994, Timing of peak metamorphism and deformation along the Appalachian margin of Laurentia in Newfoundland: Silurian, not Ordovician: Geology, v. 22, (with Suppl. Data 9423), p. 399–402, http://dx.doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613(1994)022<0399:TOP-MAD>2.3.CO;2.
- Chandler, F.W., 1982, Sedimentology of two middle Paleozoic terrestrial sequences, King George IV Lake area, Newfoundland, and some comments on regional paleoclimate: Geological Survey of Canada, Paper 82-1A, p. 213–219.
- Chandler, F.W., Sullivan, R.W., and Currie, K.L., 1987, The age of the Springdale Group, western Newfoundland, and correlative rocks; evidence for a Llandovery overlap assemblage in the Canadian Appalachians: Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh: Earth Sciences, v. 78, p. 41–49.
- Chorlton, L., 1980, Geology of the La Poile River area (11O/16), Newfoundland: Newfoundland Department of Mines and Energy, Report 80-3, 86 p.
- Colman-Sadd, S.P., 1982, Two stage continental collision and plate driving forces: Tectonophysics, v. 90, p. 263–282, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/0040-1951(82)90190-1.
- Colman-Sadd, S.P., 1994, Silurian subaerial rocks near Lewisporte, central Newfoundland: Current Research (1994), Newfoundland Department of Mines and Energy, Geological Survey Branch, Report 94-1, p. 65–76.
- Colman-Sadd, S.P., and Russell, H.A.J., 1982, Geology of the Miguels Lake map area (2D/12), Newfoundland: Current Research (1982), Newfoundland Department of Mines and Energy, Geological Survey Branch, Report 82-1, p. 30–50.
- Colman-Sadd, S.P., Dunning, G.R., and Dec, T., 1992, Dunnage-Gander relationships and Ordovician orogeny in central Newfoundland; a sediment provenance and U/Pb age study: American Journal of Science, v. 292, p. 317–355, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.2475/ajs.292.5.317.
- Currie, K.L., 1995, The northeast end of the Dunnage Zone in Newfoundland: Atlantic Geology, v. 31, p. 25–38.
- Currie, K.L., 1997, Geology, Gander-River - Gander Bay Region, Newfoundland (2E east half): Geological Survey of Canada Open File 3467,

http://dx.doi.org/10.4095/209111.

- Currie, K.L., 2003, Emplacement of the Fogo Island Batholith, Newfoundland: Atlantic Geology, v. 39, p. 79–96.
- D'Lemos, R.S., Schofield, D.I., Holdsworth, R.E., and King, T.R., 1997, Deep crustal and local rheological controls on the siting and reactivation of fault and shear zones, northeastern Newfoundland: Journal of the Geological Society, v. 154, p. 117–121, http://dx.doi.org/10.1144/gsjgs.154.1. 0117.
- Dean, P.L., and Strong, D.F., 1977, Folded thrust faults in Notre Dame Bay, central Newfoundland: American Journal of Science, v. 277, p. 97–108, http://dx.doi.org/10.2475/ajs.277.2.9 7.
- Dickson, W.L., 1994, Geology of the southern portion of the Botwood map area (NTS 2E/3), north-central Newfoundland: Current Research, Newfoundland Department of Mines and Energy, Geological Survey Branch, Report 94-1, p. 101–116.
- Dickson, W.L., 2000, Geology of the Hodges Hill (NTS 2E/04) map area, central Newfoundland: Newfoundland Department of Mines and Energy, Geological Survey, Map 2000-23, Open File 002E/04/1082.
- Dickson, W.L., 2006, The Silurian Indian Islands Group and its relationships to adjacent units: Current Research (2006), Newfoundland and Labrador Department of Natural Resources, Geological Survey Report 06-1, p. 1–24.
- Dickson, W.L., McNicoll, V.J., Nowlan, G.S., and Dunning, G.R., 2007, The Indian Islands Group and its relationships to adjacent units: Recent data: Current Research (2007), Newfoundland and Labrador Department of Natural Resources, Geological Survey, Report 07-01, p. 1–9.
- Donohoe, H.V., and Pajari, G., 1974, The age of Acadian deformation in Maine-New Brunswick: Maritime Sediments, v. 9, p. 78–82.
- Donovan, S.K., Dickson, W.L., Boyce, W.D., and Ash, J.S., 1997, A new species of stalked crinoid (Echinodermata) of possible Late Silurian age from central Newfoundland: Atlantic Geology, v. 33, p. 11–17.
- Dubé, B., Dunning, G.R., Lauzière, K., and Roddick, J.C., 1996, New insights into the Appalachian Orogen from geology and geochronology along the Cape Ray fault zone, southwest Newfoundland: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 108, p. 101–116, http://dx.doi.org/10.1130/0016-

7606(1996)108<0101:NIITAO>2.3.C O;2.

- Dunning, G.R., 1992, U–Pb geochronological research agreement final report for the Newfoundland Department of Mines and Energy. Unpublished report.
- Dunning, G.R., 1994, U–Pb geochronological research agreement final report for the Newfoundland Department of Mines and Energy. Unpublished report.
- Dunning, G.R., and O'Brien, S.J., 1989, Late Proterozoic–early Paleozoic crust in the Hermitage flexure, Newfoundland Appalachians: U/Pb ages and tectonic significance: Geology, v. 17, p. 548–551, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1130/0091-7613(1989)017 <0548:LPEPCI>2.3.CO;2.
- Dunning, G.R., O'Brien, S.J., Colman-Sadd, S.P., Blackwood, R.F., Dickson, W.L., O'Neill, P.P., and Krogh, T.E., 1990, Silurian orogeny in the Newfoundland Appalachians: The Journal of Geology, v. 98, p. 895–913, http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/629460.
- Duretz, T., Gerya, T.V., Kaus, B.J.P., and Andersen, T.B., 2012, Thermomechanical modeling of slab eduction: Journal of Geophysical Research, v. 117, B08411, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1029/2012[B009137.
- Elliott, C.G., Dunning, G.R., and Williams, P.F., 1991, New U/Pb zircon age constraints on the timing of deformation in north-central Newfoundland and implications for early Paleozoic Appalachian orogenesis: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 103, p. 125–135, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1130/0016-7606(1991)103 <0125:NUPZAC>2.3.CO;2.
- Friend, P.F., Williams, B.P.J., Ford, M., and Williams, E.A., 2000, Kinematics and dynamics of Old Red Sandstone basins: Geological Society, London, Special Publications, v. 180, p. 29–60, http://dx.doi.org/

10.1144/GSL.SP.2000.180.01.04.

- Fyffe, L.R., Barr, S.M., Johnson, S.C., McLeod, M.J., McNicoll, V.J., Valverde-Vaquero, P., van Staal, C.R., and White, C.E., 2009, Detrital zircon ages from Neoproterozoic and early Paleozoic conglomerate and sandstone units of New Brunswick and coastal Maine; implications for the tectonic evolution of Ganderia: Atlantic Geology, v. 45, p. 110–144.
- Hall, R., 2012, Late Jurassic–Cenozoic reconstructions of the Indonesian region and the Indian Ocean: Tectonophysics, v. 570–571, p. 1–41, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.201

2.04.021.

- Hibbard, J., 1994, Kinematics of Acadian deformation in the Northern and Newfoundland Appalachians: The Journal of Geology, v. 102, p. 215–228,
 - http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/629664.
- Hibbard, J., van Staal, C., Rankin, D., and Williams, H., 2006, Lithotectonic map of the Appalachian Orogen, Canada – United States of America: Geological Survey of Canada, Map 2096A.
- Hibbard, J.P., van Staal, C.R., and Rankin, D.W., 2007, A comparative analysis of pre-Silurian crustal building blocks of the northern and southern Appalachian orogen: American Journal of Science, v. 307, p. 23–45, http://dx.doi.org/10.2475/ 01.2007.02.
- Holdsworth, R.E., 1994, Structural evolution of the Gander-Avalon terrane boundary: a reactivated transpression zone in the NE Newfoundland Appalachians: Journal of the Geological Society, v. 151, p. 629–646, http://dx.doi.org/10.1144/gsjgs.151.4. 0629.
- Hyndman, R.D., Currie, C.A., and Mazzotti, S.P., 2005, Subduction zone backarcs, mobile belts, and orogenic heat: GSA Today, v. 15, p. 4–10.
- ICS. International Commission on Stratigraphy, 2013, International Chronostratigraphic Chart v. 2013: http://www.stratigraphy.org/ICSchart /ChronostratChart2013-01.pdf.
- Jacobi, R.D., and Schweikert, R.A., 1976, Implications of new data on stratigraphic and structural relations of Ordovician rocks on New World Island, north-central Newfoundland (abstract): Geological Society of America, Abstracts with Programs, v. 8, p. 206.
- Johnston, D.H., Williams, H., and Currie, K.L., 1994, The Noggin Cove Formation: A middle Ordovician back-arc basin deposit in northeastern Newfoundland: Atlantic Geology, v. 30, p. 183–194.
- Karlstrom, K.E., van der Pluijm, B.A., and Williams, P.F., 1982, Structural interpretation of the eastern Notre Dame Bay area, Newfoundland: regional post-Middle Silurian thrusting and asymmetrical folding: Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, v. 19, p. 2325–2341,
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/e82-204. Kerr, A., 2013, The Fogo process from a geologist's perspective: a discussion of models and research problems: Current Research (2013), Newfoundland and Labrador Department of Natural

Resources, Report 13-1, p. 233–265.

- Kerr, A., Jenner, G.A., and Fryer, B.J., 1995, Sm–Nd isotopic geochemistry of Precambrian to Paleozoic granitoid suites and the deep-crustal structure of the southeast margin of the Newfoundland Appalachians: Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, v. 32, p. 224–245,
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/e95-019. Krystopowicz, N.J., and Currie, C.A., 2013, Crustal eclogitization and lithosphere delamination in orogens: Earth and Planetary Science Letters, v. 361, p. 195–207, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/j.epsl.2012.09.056.
- Kusky, T.M., and Kidd, W.S.F., 1996, Tectonic implications of early Silurian thrust imbrication of the northern exploits subzone, central Newfoundland: Journal of Geodynamics, v. 22, p. 229–265, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/0264-3707(96)00011-7.
- Lafrance, B., and Williams, P. F., 1992, Silurian deformation in eastern Notre Dame Bay, Newfoundland: Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, v. 29, p. 1899–1914,
 - http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/e92-148.
- Lin, Shoufa, van Staal, C.R., and Dubé, B., 1994, Promontory-promontory collision in the Canadian Appalachians: Geology, v. 22, p. 897–900, http://dx.doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613(1994)022<0897:PPCITC>2.3.C O;2.
- Lin, Shoufa, Davis, D.W., Barr, S.M., van Staal, C.R., Chen, Yadong, and Constantin, M., 2007, U–Pb geochronological constraints on the evolution of the Aspy Terrane, Cape Breton Island: Implications for relationships between Aspy and Bras d'Or Terranes and Ganderia in the Canadian Appalachians: American Journal of Science, v. 307, p. 371–398, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.2475/02.2007.03.
- Lin, Shoufa, Brem, A.G., van Staal, C.R., Davis, D.W., McNicoll, V.J., and Pehrsson, S., 2013, The Corner Brook Lake block in the Newfoundland Appalachians: A suspect terrane along the Laurentian margin and evidence for large-scale orogen-parallel motion: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 125, p. 1618–1632, http://dx.doi.org/10.1130/B30805.1.
- Lissenberg, C.J., Zagorevski, A., McNicoll, V.J., van Staal, C.R., and Whalen, J.B., 2005, Assembly of the Annieopsquotch accretionary tract, Newfoundland Appalachians: Age and geodynamic constraints from syn-kinematic intrusions: The Journal of Geology, v. 113, p. 553–570,

http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/431909.

- Ludman, A., Hopeck, J.T., and Brock, P.C., 1993, Nature of the Acadian Orogeny in eastern Maine: Geological Society of America, Special Papers, v. 275, p. 67–84, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1130/SPE275-p67.
- Ludwig, K.R., 2003, User's manual for Isoplot/Ex rev. 3.00: a Geochronological Toolkit for Microsoft Excel: Berkeley Geochronology Center, Berkeley, Special Publication 4, 70 p.
- Malo, M., and Bourque, P.-A., 1993, Timing of the deformation events from Late Ordovician to Mid-Devonian in the Gaspé Peninsula: Geological Society of America, Special Papers, v. 275, p. 101–122, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1130/SPE275-p101.
- McNicoll, V.J., Squires, G.C., Wardle, R.J., Dunning, G.R., and O'Brien, B.H., 2006, U–Pb geochronological evidence for Devonian deformation and gold mineralization in the eastern Dunnage Zone, Newfoundland: Current Research, Newfoundland and Labrador Department of Natural Resources, Geological Survey Report 06-1, p. 45–60.
- McNicoll, V.J., Squires, G.C., Kerr, A., and Moore, P.J., 2008, Geological and metallogenic implications of U–Pb zircon geochronological data from the Tally Pond area, central Newfoundland: Current Research, Newfoundland Department of Natural Resources, Geological Survey Report 08-01, p. 173–192.
- Mitchell, A.H.G., and Reading, H.G., 1978, Sedimentation and Tectonics, *in* Reading, H.G., *ed.*, Sedimentary Environments and Facies: Elsevier, 557 p.
- Murphy, J.B., and Keppie, J.D., 2005, The Acadian Orogeny in the Northern Appalachians: International Geology Review, v. 47, p. 663–687, http://dx.doi.org/10.2747/0020-6814.47.7.663.
- O'Brien, B.H., 2003, Geology of the central Notre Dame Bay region (Parts of NTS areas 2E/3,6,11) northeastern Newfoundland: Newfoundland and Labrador Department of Mines and Energy, Geological Survey Report 03-03, 147 p.
- O'Brien, B.H., O'Brien, S.J., and Dunning, G.R., 1991, Silurian cover, late Precambrian–Early Ordovician basement, and the chronology of Silurian orogenesis in the Hermitage Flexure (Newfoundland Appalachians): American Journal of Science, v. 291, p. 760–799, http://dx.doi.org/10.2475/ajs.291.8.7 60.
- O'Neill, P.P., 1991, Geology Davidsville

Group and Gander River Complex, NW Weir's Pond area: Government of Newfoundland and Labrador, Department of Mines and Energy, Geological Survey Report 91–03, p. 164.

- O'Neill, P.P., and Colman-Sadd, S.P., 1993, Geology of the eastern part of the Gander (NTS 2D/15) and western part of the Gambo (NTS 2D/16) map areas, Newfoundland: Government of Newfoundland and Labrador, Department of Mines and Energy, Geological Survey, Report 93-02, 53 p.
- Palmer, A.R., 1983, The Decade of North American Geology 1983 Geologic Time Scale: Geology, v. 11, p. 503–504, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1130/0091-7613(1983)11 <503:TDONAG>2.0.CO;2.
- Piasecki, M.A.J., 1992, Tectonics across the Gander-Dunnage boundary in northeastern Newfoundland: Current Research, part E; Geological Survey of Canada, Paper 92-1E, p. 259–268.
- Piñán Llamas, A., and Hepburn, J.C., 2013, Geochemistry of Silurian–Devonian volcanic rocks in the Coastal volcanic belt, Machias-Eastport area, Maine: Evidence for a pre-Acadian arc: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 125, p. 1930–1942,
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1130/B30776.1.
- Pollock, J.C., Wilton, D.H.C., van Staal, C.R., and Morrissey, K.D., 2007, U–Pb detrital zircon geochronological constraints on the Early Silurian collision of Ganderia and Laurentia along the Dog Bay Line: The terminal Iapetan suture in the Newfoundland Appalachians: American Journal of Science, v. 307, p. 399–433, http://dx.doi.org/10.2475/ 02.2007.04.
- Price, N.J., and Audley-Charles, M.G., 1987, Tectonic collision processes after plate rupture: Tectonophysics, v. 140, p. 121–129, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951(87)90224-1.
- Regard, V., Faccenna, C., Bellier, O., and Martinod, J., 2008, Laboratory experiments of slab break-off and slab dip reversal: insight into the Alpine Oligocene reorganization: Terra Nova, v. 20, p. 267–273, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1111/j.1365-3121.2008.00815.x.
- Reusch, D., 1987, Silurian stratigraphy and mélanges, New World Island, north central Newfoundland: Geological Society of America Centennial Field Guide, Northeastern Section, p. 463–466.
- Reusch, D.N., and van Staal, C.R., 2012, The Dog Bay – Liberty Line and its significance for Silurian tectonics of

the northern Appalachian orogen: Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, v. 49, p. 239–258, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1139/e11-024.

- Robinson, P., Tucker, R.D., Bradley, D., Berry, H.N., IV, and Osberg, P.H., 1998, Paleozoic orogens in New England, USA: GFF, v. 120, p. 119–148, http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/110358998 01202119.
- Rodgers, J., 1970, The tectonics of the Appalachians: Wiley-Interscience, New York, 271 p.
- Rogers, N., and van Staal, C.R., 2005, Geology, Grand Falls, Newfoundland (NTS 12-D/13): Geological Survey of Canada, Open File 4545, scale 1:50,000, 1 sheet.
- Rogers, N., van Staal, C. R., Pollock, J., Zagorevski, A., 2005, Geology, Lake Ambrose and part of Buchans, Newfoundland (NTS 12-A/10 and part of 12-A/15): Geological Survey of Canada Open File OF4544, scale 1:50,000, 1 sheet.
- Rogers, N., van Staal, C.R., McNicoll, V., Pollock, J., Zagorevski, A., and Whalen, J., 2006, Neoproterozoic and Cambrian arc magmatism along the eastern margin of the Victoria Lake Supergroup: A remnant of Ganderian basement in central Newfoundland?: Precambrian Research, v. 147, p. 320–341, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/j.precamres.2006.01.025.
- Sajona, F.G., Maury, R.C., Pubellier, M., Leterrier, J., Bellon, H., and Cotten, J., 2000, Magmatic source enrichment by slab-derived melts in a young post-collision setting, central Mindanao (Philippines): Lithos, v. 54, p. 173–206, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0024-4937(00)00019-0.
- Sandeman, H.A., and Malpas, J., 1995, Epizonal I- and A-type granites and associated ash-flow tuffs, Fogo Island, northeast Newfoundland: Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, v. 32, p. 1835–1844,
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/e95-141.
 Schofield, D.I., and D'Lemos, R.S., 2000, Granite petrogenesis in the Gander Zone, NE Newfoundland: mixing of melts from multiple sources and the role of lithospheric delamination: Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, v. 37, p. 535–547,
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/e99-116. Sizova, E., Gerya, T., and Brown, M., 2012, Exhumation mechanisms of meltbearing ultrahigh pressure crustal rocks during collision of spontaneously moving plates: Journal of Metamorphic Geology, v. 30, p. 927–955, http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1525-

1314.2012.01004.x.

- Skulski, T., Castonguay, S., McNicoll, V., van Staal, C.R., Kidd, W., Rogers, N., Morris, W., Ugalde, H., Slavinski, H., Spicer, W., Moussalam, Y., and Kerr, I., 2010, Tectonostratigraphy of the Baie Verte oceanic tract and its ophiolite cover sequence on the Baie Verte peninsula: Current Research, Newfoundland and Labrador Department of Natural Resources, Geological Survey Report 10-1, p. 315–335.
- Soper, N.J., and Woodcock, N.H., 2003, The lost Lower Old Red Sandstone of England and Wales: a record of post-Iapetan flexure or Early Devonian transtension?: Geological Magazine, v. 140, p. 627–647, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1017/S0016756803008380.
- Stern, R.A., 1997, The GSC Sensitive High Resolution Ion Microprobe (SHRIMP): analytical techniques of zircon U–Th–Pb age determinations and performance evaluation, current research: Radiogenic Age and Isotopic studies, Report 10, Geological Survey of Canada, p. 1–31.
- Stern, R.A., and Amelin, Y., 2003, Assessment of errors in SIMS zircon U–Pb geochronology using a natural zircon standard and NIST SRM 610 glass: Chemical Geology, v. 197, p. 111–142, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2541(02)00320-0.
- Strong, D.F., and Dupuy, C., 1982, Rare earth elements in the bimodal Mount Peyton Batholith: Evidence of crustal anatexis by mantle-derived magma: Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, v. 19, p. 308–315,
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/e82-022. Thurlow, J.G., and Swanson, E.A., 1987, Stratigraphy and structure of the Buchans Group: Geological Survey of Canada Paper 86-24, p. 35–46.
- Thurlow, J.G., Spencer, C.P., Boerner, D.E., Reed, L.E., and Wright, J.A., 1992, Geological interpretation of a high resolution reflection seismic survey at the Buchans Mine, Newfoundland: Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, v. 29, p. 2022–2037,

http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/e92-159.

- Turner, S., Sandiford, M., and Foden, J., 1992, Some geodynamic and compositional constraints on 'postorogenic' magmatism: Geology, v. 20, p. 931–934, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1130/0091-7613(1992)020 <0931:SGACCO>2.3.CO;2.
- Valverde-Vaquero, P., Dunning, G.R., and van Staal, C.R., 2000, The Margaree orthogneiss: an Ordovician, peri-Gondwanan, mafic-felsic igneous complex in southwestern Newfound-

land: Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, v. 37, p. 1691–1710, http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/e00-053.

- Valverde-Vaquero, P., Dunning, G.R., and O'Brien, S.J., 2006, Polycyclic evolution of the Late Neoproterozoic basement in the Hermitage Flexure region (southwest Newfoundland Appalachians): New evidence from the Cinq-Cerf gneiss: Precambrian Research, v. 148, p. 1–18, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/j.precamres.2006.03.001.
- van der Pluijm, B.A., 1986, Geology of eastern New World Island, Newfoundland: An accretionary terrane in the northeastern Appalachians: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 97, p. 932–945, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1130/0016-7606(1986)97 <932:GOENWI>2.0.CO;2.
- van der Pluijm, B.A., and van Staal, C.R., 1988, Characteristics and evolution of the Central Mobile Belt, Canadian Appalachians: The Journal of Geology, v. 96, p. 535–547, http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/629250.
- van der Pluijm, B.A., Johnson, R.J.E., and Van der Voo, R., 1993, Paleogeography, accretionary history, and tectonic scenario: A working hypothesis for the Ordovician and Silurian evolution of the northern Appalachians: Geological Society of America Special Papers, v. 275, p. 27–40, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1130/SPE275-p27.
- van der Velden, A.J., van Staal, C.R., and Cook, F.A., 2004, Crustal structure, fossil subduction, and the tectonic evolution of the Newfoundland Appalachians: Evidence from a reprocessed seismic reflection survey: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 116, p. 1485–1498, http://dx.doi.org/10.1130/B25518.1.
- van Hunen, J., and Allen, M.B., 2011, Continental collision and slab break-off: A comparison of 3-D numerical models with observations: Earth and Planetary Science Letters, v. 302, p. 27–37, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2010. 11.035.
- van Staal, C.R., 1987, Tectonic setting of the Tetagouche Group in northern New Brunswick: implications for plate tectonic models of the northern Appalachians: Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, v. 24, p. 1329–1351, http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/e87-128.
- van Staal, C.R., 1994, Brunswick subduction complex in the Canadian Appalachians: Record of the Late Ordovician to Late Silurian collision between Laurentia and the Gander margin of Avalon: Tectonics, v. 13, p. 946–962, http://dx.doi.org/

10.1029/93TC03604.

- van Staal, C.R., 2005, North America: Northern Appalachians, *in* Selley, R.C., Cocks, R.L.M., and Plimer, I.R., *eds.*, Encyclopedia of Geology, volume 4: Elsevier, Amsterdam, p. 81–92.
- van Staal, C.R., and Barr, S.M., 2012, Lithospheric architecture and tectonic evolution of the Canadian Appalachians and associated Atlantic margin, *in* Percival, J.A., Cook, F.A., and Clowes, R.M., *eds.*, Tectonic Styles in Canada: The LITHOPROBE perspective: Geological Association of Canada Special Paper 49, p. 41–95.
- van Staal, C.R., and de Roo, J.A., 1995, Mid-Paleozoic tectonic evolution of the Appalachian Central Mobile belt in northern New Brunswick, Canada: Collision, extensional collapse and dextral transpression, *in* Hibbard, J.P., van Staal, C.R., and Cawood, P.A., *eds.*, Current Perspectives in the Appalachian-Caledonian Orogen: Geological Association of Canada Special Paper 41, p. 367–389.
- van Staal, C.R., and Zagorevski, A., 2012, Accreted terranes of the Appalachian Orogen in Newfoundland: In the footsteps of Hank Williams: Geological Association of Canada, Guidebook Trip A1, 99 p.
- van Staal, C.R., Ravenhurst, C.E., Winchester, J.A., Roddick, J.C., and Langton, J.P., 1990, Post-Taconic blueschist suture in the northern Appalachians of northern New Brunswick, Canada: Geology, v. 18, p. 1073–1077, http://dx.doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613(1990)018<1073:PTBSIT>2.3.C O;2.
- van Staal, C.R., Dewey, J.F., Mac Niocaill, C., and McKerrow, W.S., 1998, The Cambrian-Silurian tectonic evolution of the northern Appalachians and British Caledonides: history of a complex, southwest Pacific-type segment of Iapetus, *in* Blundell, D.J., and Scott, A.C., *eds.*, Lyell: the Past is the Key to the Present: Geological Society Special Publications, v. 143, p. 199–242.
- van Staal, C.R., Wilson, R.A., Rogers, N., Fyffe, L.R., Langton, J.P., McCutcheon, S.R., McNicoll, V., and Ravenhurst, C.E., 2003, Geology and tectonic history of the Bathurst Supergroup, Bathurst mining camp, and its relationships to coeval rocks in southwestern New Brunswick and adjacent Maine—A synthesis: Economic Geology Monograph 11, p. 37–60.
- van Staal, C.R., Valverde-Vaquero, P., Zagorevski, A, Boutsma, S., Pehrsson, S., van Noorden, M., and McNicoll,

V., 2005, Geology, King George IV Lake, Newfoundland (NTS 12-A/04): Geological Survey of Canada, Open File 1665, scale 1:50,000, 1 sheet.

- van Staal, C.R., Whalen, J.B., McNicoll, V.J., Pehrsson, S., Lissenberg, C.J., Zagorevski, A., van Breemen, O., and Jenner, G.A., 2007, The Notre Dame arc and the Taconic orogeny in Newfoundland, *in* Hatcher, R.D., Jr., Carlson, M.P., McBride, J.H., and Martínez Catalán, J.R., *eds.*, 4-D Framework of continental crust: Geological Society of America Memoirs, v. 200, p. 511–552, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1130/2007.1200(26).
- van Staal, C.R., Currie, K.L., Rowbotham, G., Rogers, N., and Goodfellow, W., 2008, Pressure-Temperature paths and exhumation of Late Ordovician–Early Silurian blueschists and associated metamorphic nappes of the Salinic Brunswick subduction complex, northern Appalachians: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 120, p. 1455–1477,
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1130/B26324.1.
 van Staal, C.R., Whalen, J.B., Valverde-Vaquero, P., Zagorevski, A., and Rogers, N., 2009, Pre- Carboniferous, episodic accretion-related, orogenesis along the Laurentian margin of the northern Appalachians, *in* Murphy, J.B., Keppie, J.D., and Hynes, A.J., *eds.*, Ancient orogens and modern analogues: Geological Society, London, Special Publications, v. 327, p. 271–316, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1144/SP327.13.
- van Staal, C.R., Barr, S.M., and Murphy, J.B., 2012, Provenance and tectonic evolution of Ganderia: Constraints on the evolution of the Iapetus and Rheic oceans: Geology, v. 40, p. 987–990, http://dx.doi.org/10.1130/G33302.1.
- Waldron, J.W.F., Murphy, J.B., Melchin, M.J., and Davis, G., 1996, Silurian tectonics of western Avalonia: Strain-corrected subsidence history of the Arisaig Group, Nova Scotia: The Journal of Geology, v. 104, p. 677–694,

http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/629862. Waldron, J.W.F., McNicoll, V.J., van Staal, C.R., 2012, Laurentia-derived detritus in the Badger Group of central Newfoundland: deposition during closing of the Iapetus Ocean: Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, v. 49, p.

- 207–221, http:dx.doi.org/ 10.1139/e11-030.
- West, D.P., Jr., Ludman, A. and Lux, D.R., 1992, Silurian age for the Pocomoonshine Gabbro-Diorite, southeastern Maine and its regional tectonic implications: American Journal of Science,

v. 292, p. 253–273, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.2475/ajs.292.4.253.

- Whalen, J.B, 1989, The Topsails igneous suite, western Newfoundland: an Early Silurian subduction-related magmatic suite?: Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, v. 26, p. 2421–2434, http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/e89-207.
- Whalen, J.B., and Currie, K.L., 1984, The Topsails igneous terrane, western Newfoundland: evidence for magma mixing: Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, v. 87, p. 319–327, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF003812 88.
- Whalen, J.B., Currie, K.L., and van Breemen, O., 1987, Episodic Ordovician–Silurian plutonism in the Topsails igneous terrane, western Newfoundland: Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh: Earth Sciences, v. 78, p. 17–28, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1017/S0263593300010920.
- Whalen, J.B., McNicoll, V.J., van Staal, C.R., Lissenberg, C.J., Longstaffe, F.J., Jenner, G.A., and van Breemen, O., 2006, Spatial, temporal and geochemical characteristics of Silurian collisionzone magmatism, Newfoundland Appalachians: An example of a rapidly evolving magmatic system related to slab break-off: Lithos, v. 89, p. 377–404, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/j.lithos.2005.12.011.
- White, C.E., Barr, S.M., Reynolds, P.H., Grace, E., and McMullin, D.W.A., 2006, The Pocologan metamorphic suite: high-pressure metamorphism in a Silurian fore-arc complex, Kingston terrane, southern New Brunswick: The Canadian Mineralogist, v. 44, p. 905–927, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.2113/gscanmin.44.4.905.
- White, R.S., 1982, Deformation of the Makran accretionary sediment prism in the Gulf of Oman (north-west Indian Ocean): Geological Society, London, Special Publications, v. 10, p. 357–372, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1144/GSL.SP.1982.010.01.24.
- Williams, H., 1964, The Appalachians in northeastern Newfoundland — A two-sided symmetrical system: American Journal of Science, v. 262, p. 1137–1158, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.2475/ajs.262.10.1137.
- Williams, H., 1967, The Silurian rocks of Newfoundland, *in* Neale, E.R.W., and Williams, H., *eds.*, Geology of the Atlantic Region: Geological Association of Canada, Special Paper 4, p. 93–137.
- Williams, H., 1972, Stratigraphy of Botwood map-area, northeastern Newfoundland: Geological Survey of

- Canada, Open File Report 113, 98 p. Williams, H., 1978, Tectonic lithofacies map of the Appalachian Orogen: Memorial University of Newfoundland, St John's, NL, scale 1:1,000,000.
- Williams, H., 1993a, Stratigraphy structure of the Botwood Belt and definition of the Dog Bay Line in northeastern Newfoundland: Current Research, Part D, Geological Survey of Canada, Paper 93-1D, p. 19–27.
- Williams, H., 1993b, Acadian Orogeny in Newfoundland: Geological Society of America Special Papers, v. 275, p. 123–134, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1130/SPE275-p123.
- Williams, H., and O'Brien, S.J., 1995, Fortune Belt, *in* Williams, H., *ed.*, Geology of the Appalachian–Caledonian Orogen in Canada and Greenland: Geological Survey of Canada, Geology of Canada Series, no. 6, p. 423–425, http://dx.doi.org/10.4095/205242.
- Williams, H., and Piasecki, M.A.J., 1990, The Cold Spring Melange and a possible model for Dunnage-Gander zone interaction in central Newfoundland: Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, v. 27, p. 1126–1134,
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/e90-117. Williams, H., Colman-Sadd, S.P., and Swinden, H.S., 1988, Tectonic-stratigraphic subdivisions of central Newfoundland: Geological Survey of Canada Paper 88-1B, p. 91–98.
- Williams, H., Currie, K.L., and Piasecki, M.A.J., 1993, The Dog Bay Line: a major Silurian tectonic boundary in northeast Newfoundland: Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, v. 30, p. 2481–2494,
 - http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/e93-215.
- Williams, H., Dean, P.L., and Pickering, K.T., 1995, Botwood Belt, *in* Williams, H., *ed.*, Geology of the Appalachian-Caledonian Orogen in Canada and Greenland: Geology of Canada: Geological Survey of Canada, no. 6, p. 413–420.
- Wilson, R.A., and Kamo, S.L., 2012, The Salinic Orogeny in northern New Brunswick: geochronological constraints and implications for Silurian stratigraphic nomenclature: Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, v. 49, p. 222–238,

http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/e11-041.

Wilson, R.A., Burden, E.T., Bertrand, R., Asselin, E., and McCracken, A.D., 2004, Stratigraphy and tectono-sedimentary evolution of the Late Ordovician to Middle Devonian Gaspé Belt in northern New Brunswick: evidence from the Restigouche area: Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, v. 41, p. 527–551, http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/e04-011.

Wilson, R.A., van Staal, C.R., and Kamo, S., 2008, Lower Silurian subductionrelated volcanic rocks in the Chaleurs Group, northern New Brunswick, Canada: Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, v. 45, p. 981–998, http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/E08-051.

Zagorevski, A., van Staal, C.R., and McNicoll, V.J., 2007, Distinct Taconic, Salinic, and Acadian deformation along the Iapetus suture zone, Newfoundland Appalachians: Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, v. 44, p. 1567–1585, http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/E07-037.

- Zagorevski, A., van Staal, C.R., McNicoll, V., Rogers, N., and Valverde-Vaquero, P., 2008, Tectonic architecture of an arc-arc collision zone, Newfoundland Appalachians, *in* Draut, A.E., Clift, P.D., and Scholl, D.W., *eds.*, Formation and Applications of the Sedimentary Record in Arc Collision Zones: Geological Society of America Special Papers, v. 436, p. 309–333, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1130/2008.2436(14).
- Zagorevski, A., Lissenberg, C.J., and van Staal, C.R., 2009, Dynamics of accretion of arc and backarc crust to continental margins: Inferences from the Annieopsquotch accretionary tract, Newfoundland Appalachians: Tectonophysics, v. 479, p. 150–154, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.200 8.12.002.
- Zagorevski, A., van Staal, C.R., Rogers, N., McNicoll, V.J., and Pollock, J., 2010, Middle Cambrian to Ordovician arcbackarc development on the leading edge of Ganderia, Newfoundland Appalachians, *in* Tollo, R.P., Bartholomew, M.J., Hibbard, J.P., and Karabinos, P.M., *eds.*, From Rodinia to Pangea: The Lithotectonic Record of the Appalachian Region: Geological Society of America Memoirs, v. 206, p. 367–396, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1130/2010.1206(16).
- Zagorevski, A., van Staal, C.R., McNicoll, V.J., Hartree, L., and Rogers, N., 2012, Tectonic evolution of the Dunnage Mélange tract and its significance to the closure of Iapetus: Tectonophysics, v. 568–569, p. 371–387, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.201 1.12.011.

Received July 2013 Accepted as revised November 2013 First published on the web December 2013