

Corporate Members of the Geological Association of Canada

Volume 34, Number 2, June 2007

URI: https://id.erudit.org/iderudit/geocan34_2misc02

[See table of contents](#)

Publisher(s)

The Geological Association of Canada

ISSN

0315-0941 (print)

1911-4850 (digital)

[Explore this journal](#)

Cite this document

(2007). Corporate Members of the Geological Association of Canada. *Geoscience Canada*, 34(2), 69–69.

ensure that relevant and reliable data produce models that are consistent and comparable to similar deposits elsewhere.

This can be achieved by grouping the workflow in sections that will ensure consistency and completeness in the way data are collected and reported over the life of a project. By properly documenting the data gathered and analyzed – survey, assay, geology, ore classification, metallurgy and density; compositing, interpolation, and validation – an easy-to-follow audit trail is produced showing that reliable data were used, that the appropriate methods were implemented, and that verifications were performed.

By better documenting the many steps required to build a resource estimate, and by leaving a clear audit trail, critical review of the model becomes relatively simple and much quicker. Both the project team and external auditors will be able to review the work that has been done and to make their own checks.

This checklist will require modification to meet the needs of specific projects; however, it can form the basis of a paper trail leading to improved data collection, a more accurate resource model, and a simplified audit process.

REFERENCES

- Hodgson, C.J., 1990, Uses (and abuses) of ore deposit models in mineral exploration: *Geoscience Canada*, v. 17, p. 79-89.
- Lawrence, M.J., 1997, Behind Busang: The Bre-X Scandal: *Australian Journal of Mining*, v. 9, p. 33-50.
- Sinclair, A.J., 2001, High-quality geology, axiomatic to high-quality resource/reserve estimates: *Canadian Institute of Mining Bulletin*, v. 94, p. 37-41.
- Sinclair, A.J., and Postolski, T.A., 1999, Geology – a basis for quality control in resource/reserve estimation of porphyry-type deposits: *Canadian Institute of Mining Bulletin*, v. 92, no. 1027, p. 37-44.
- Smith, L.D., 1994, Checklist for economic evaluations of mineral deposits: *Canadian Institute of Mining Bulletin*, v. 87, no. 983, p. 32-37.
- Smith, P.M., and Hancock, J.B., eds., 1995, Project Evaluation and Due Diligence: Proceedings of a short course given by the Prospectors and Developers Association of Canada., 179 p.
- Stone, J.G., and Dunn, P.G., 2002, Ore Reserves Estimates in the Real World: Society of Economic Geologists, Special Paper, No. 3, 121 p.
- Vallée, M., 2000, Mineral resource + engineering, economic and legal feasibility = ore reserve: *Canadian Institute of Mining Bulletin*, v. 93, no. 1038, p. 53-61.

CORPORATE MEMBERS OF THE GEOLOGICAL ASSOCIATION OF CANADA

PATRONS

Alberta Energy and Utilities Board
Memorial University of Newfoundland
Ministère des Ressources naturelles et de la Faune - Quebec
Natural Resources, Government of Newfoundland and Labrador
Northwest Territories Geoscience Office
Yukon Dept. of Energy Mines & Resources

SPONSORS

Geological Survey of Canada (Calgary)
Husky Energy
Royal Tyrrell Museum of Palaeontology
Saskatchewan Industry & Resources
Virginia Mines Inc.

SUPPORTERS

Aur Resources Inc.
Geoscience BC
IBK Capital Corp.
Pele Mountain Resources Inc.
Silver Spruce Resources Inc.
SRK Consulting
Suncor Energy
Universal Uranium Ltd.
Voisey's Bay Nickel Company Limited

UNIVERSITIES

Acadia University
Institut National de la Recherche Scientifique (INRS)
McGill University
University of Calgary
Université du Québec a Montréal
University of New Brunswick
University of Toronto
University of Victoria: Centre of Earth and Ocean Research
Utah State University